```
1
                 In the Court of Common Pleas
                Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
2
                     Civil Action - Law
3
    Prestige Fund A, LLC, et al.,
                 Plaintiffs
4
5
                                       No. CI-24-06012
           VS
6
    Paramount Management Group, LLC,
7
                 Defendant
8
9
10
                                       MAY 9, 2025
11
    DEPOSITION OF:
                                       BARRY RYNEARSON
12
    called for oral examination by counsel for the
13
    Plaintiffs, pursuant to Notice at 280 Granite Run
14
    Drive, Lancaster, Pennsylvania before Hillary
15
    Hazlett Walsh of Everest Court Reporting, a Notary
16
    Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,
17
    beginning at 9:53 a.m., when were present on behalf
18
    of the respective parties.
19
20
21
22
23
    Everest Job No. 40686
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
3	Saxton & Stump, LLC
4	By: Joshua J. Voss, Esquire
5	Alec J. Johnson, Esquire
6	280 Granite Run Drive, Suite 300
7	Lancaster, PA 17601
8	(717) 556-1072
9	jvoss@saxtonstump.com
10	ajj@saxtonstump.com
11	
12	On behalf of the witness:
13	Boyle & Jasari
14	By: Dennis Boyle, Esquire
15	1339 Chestnut Street, Suite 500
16	Philadelphia, PA 19107
17	(771) 217-2400
18	dboyle@boylejasari.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

•	5 9	•
1	INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION	
3	DEPOSITION OF	PAGE
4	Barry Rynearson	
5	By Mr. Voss	4
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	RYNEARSON EXHIBIT NO.	MARKED
9	Exhibit 1 - Subpoena	6
10	Exhibit 2 - Proof of Claim	68
11	Exhibit 3 - Management Report 7/31/2022	78
12	Exhibit 4 - Management Report 12/31/2021	90
13	Exhibit 5 - Spreadsheet	102
14	Exhibit 6 - Spreadsheet	108
15	Exhibit 7 - Raw Glorious Transactions	111
16	Exhibit 8 - 2024 PMG Financial Statements	117
17		
18	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION	
19	PAGE/LINE	
20	77/12	
21	77/25	
22	114/23	
23		
24		
25		

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## **STIPULATION**

It is hereby stipulated by and between counsel for the respective parties that sealing, certification, and filing are waived and that all objections except as to the form of the question are reserved to the time of the trial.

BARRY RYNEARSON, called as a witness, being duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY

MR. VOSS:

Q Good morning, Mr. Rynearson. My name is Joshua Voss. I represent a group of Plaintiffs collectively know as the Prestige Funds pending in Lancaster County Common Pleas.

Have you been deposed before?

A I don't believe so.

Q Okay. So I'm going to give you some basic instructions that will carry us through the day.

We will start with to my right and across from you is a court reporter. You will see, she types as I speak.

She is producing a written record of everything said in this room. To get the best record possible, you need me to finish my

question before you answer and I will do my best to wait for your answer to complete before I ask my next question.

In normal human conversation, that is what we do. But for deps, if we want the best transcript, you need to wait, even if you know exactly what I'm going to ask to complete the question.

The second piece is we need oral responses. This isn't a videotape deposition, so I need you to say yes or no or I don't know, what have you, but head shakes or nods are not going to show up on the record. So we need to make sure we have oral responses to the questions.

If I ask you a question and you answer it, I will assume you understood it. So if you don't understand, please ask for clarification or whatever piece you don't understand, we will ask -- we will -- I will do my best to restate it.

I'm not looking for guesses today unless I specifically ask for a guess. Same thing with estimates, I'll let you know when I'm looking for an estimate. Other than that, I will ask

for answers to the best of your recollection.

Do you understand all of that?

A I do.

Page 4

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Are you under the influence of any drugs or any other impediments that would impede your ability to be truthful with your answers today?

A No.

Q Okay. Good.

I will start with Exhibit 1. We can call this Rynearson 1.

I'm handing the witness what has been marked as Rynearson 1.

Mr. Rynearson, have you seen this before?

A I have.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Q And this is a subpoena to attend and testify. Is that why you are here today, sir?

A Yes.

Q And will you see in numbered Paragraph 2, there is a number of documents that are requested of you.

Page 7

Do you see that request?

A I do.

Q Did you look for those documents before coming here today?

A Yes.

Q Did you find any materials responsive to those requests?

A No.

Q What efforts did you undertake to look for those records?

A I looked in my -- I looked in my files. I have some files related to Glorious, which is the Michigan entity.

Q What other files did you look at?

A That is -- that is what I had.

Q Okay. And when you say Glorious, what do you mean specifically?

A So Glorious is an entity that exists in Michigan. Glorious Cannibis Company. It comprises about 25 legal entities.

Q Okay. We will talk about that at length later. So we will put a pin in that now.

A Okay.

Q Let's talk about your preparation today.

Did you have preparations with anybody

22

23

24

you had the title of CFO?

Q Were you an officer of any other

entities -- I sort of just call it the Heller

A I did.

Deposition of Barry Rynearson Page 10 before you appeared here today? was approximately 140 entities. 2 2 A No. Just my attorney. Were you an officer of any of those 3 3 Q Anyone else in the room other than your entities? 4 A No. attorney? 5 5 A No. Q Did you work at any of those entities? 6 6 A Help me understand. Did I work? Q Anyone else you spoke with about this deposition? Q Well, did you -- were you a W-2 8 8 A No. employee? 9 9 Q Did you talk to Daryl Heller about this A No. My paycheck was 100 percent from 10 10 deposition? Heller Capital. 11 11 Q You never got a 1099 from any other A No. 12 12 Q Does he know you are appearing here entity? 13 13 A I did not. 14 14 A Not to my knowledge. Q As far as -- well, what do you -- what 15 15 O Okay. Did you look at any documents in was your work for -- for Heller Capital as CFO? 16 16 preparation for today's deposition? That is what I'm most interested in. 17 17 What did you do kind of on a A I did not. 18 18 Q All right. Let's go into your month-to-month, day-to-day, year-to-year basis? 19 background a little bit. 19 A Sure. So my job at Heller Capital 20 20 Who is your current employer? focused on Daryl's startup companies, as well as 21 21 A Current employer is sportsmansdeals.com. his troubled entities, financially-troubled 22 22 Q And what is, just generally, your role entities, entities that were operationally not 23 23 there? performing well. 24 24 Q Okay. Let's start with the startup A Finance related. 25 25 entities. Q Okay. At some point in time, did you Page 11 1 1 work at Heller Capital Group, LLC? What does that mean startup entity? 2 2 A It would be a brand new entity. It is A Yes. Q What period of time did you work there organized, created, and go out and try to achieve the investment objective. Glorious is a start to stop? 5 5 A I started in August of 2018, and I perfect example of that, Josh. 6 6 resigned in April of 2024. Q And what specifically did you do to aid Q And the resignation date, do you have an these startup organizations? 8 exact date for that? A Whatever needed to be done. So 9 A I don't have the exact date. It would financial operational, the legal setup, and 10 be the third week though. Third week of April. 10 hiring employees, managing employees, sending 11 11 Q Third week. Okay. KPIs, Key Performance Indicators. 12 12 What titles did you have at Heller Q Okay. A minute ago you spoke about 13 13 Capital? troubled organizations. 14 14 A In the beginning, I was a consultant. What were troubled organizations? What 15 15 So I was hired on as a financial consultant. So do you mean by that? 16 that would have been August of 2018; and then in 16 A Like entities that weren't doing well. 17 17 December of 2018, he hired me as the CFO. Financially, they were not doing well. So 18 18 Q When you say he, who do you mean? example of that would be the Rockford Capital 19 19 A Sorry. Daryl Heller. Companies. That was a real estate portfolio. Q And from December 2018 to April 2024, 20 20 Q And why were they troubled?

Q Who performed the management for that 24 universe. I understand from other witnesses it A So that would have been Will Stoltzfus.

A That specific example was just bad

21

22

23

management.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 13

- Page 12 Q And any other examples of troubled entities that you can recall?
  - A Not right now. Most of it was startup.
- Q Okay. Did you have a role at Paramount Management Group, LLC?
  - A I did not.
- Q Okay. It was neither a startup nor a troubled entity as you described them?
  - A Correct.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q I think you mentioned this a minute ago; but for clarification, how were you paid by Heller Capital? Meaning were you biweekly? Check? A K-1? Distributions? How were you paid?
- A Employee, at-will employee. Check, biweekly checks.
  - Q I got you.

Were you ever an owner of Heller Capital?

- A No.
- Q Were you ever an owner of any entity within the Heller Capital or Daryl Heller universe of entities?
- A I was a member of an entity that had an equity ownership in a Glorious Company.
  - Q What company was that?
- A Tycoon -- Tycoon Holdings and Frank RE. They no longer exist. They were rolled into Glorious Cannabis Company.
- Q So you used to be a member of Tycoon Holdings?
  - A Um-hum.
  - Q But are not presently?
- A I believe that is correct how the legal structure -- my K-1 for 2020 -- my K-1 for 2024 is going to come from GCC MSO Holdings.
- Q When did your interest in Tycoon Holdings end?
- A So we did a -- Glorious did a large acquisition of -- of Choice Labs; and when those two entities merged, we came together and all of the -- all of the legal entities, all of the entities that had members rolled up into one consolidated membership.
  - Q And that membership is GCC MSO Holdings?
- A Let me just make sure -- that is the very top level. Yeah, that is very top level.
- Q And that is the only entity that you presently --
  - A Let me just back -- it is GCC Investment

Holdings. There is two entities that roll up into GCC MSO Holdings. And the cap table that I'm a part of is GCC Investment Holdings.

- Q Which entity is issuing a K-1?
- A It would be GCC Investment Holdings.
- O And is that an LLC?
- A Yes.
- Q Any other entities within the Heller Capital universe or Daryl Heller's universe that you owned previously or owned now?
  - A No.
  - Q Were you ever an owner in Paramount?
- Q Regarding Heller Capital from 2018 to 2024, can you identify the officers by year and duration? And I'm really just looking for C-Suite type folks.

A Daryl Heller, CEO the entire time. Aaron Fogleman, COO. I'm not going to be able to give you the dates unfortunately, Josh. Last two years for sure, maybe three.

Dan Burkholder would have been the Chief Cultural Officer and President. Again, I'm going to struggle with dates at least the last two or three years.

Page 15

Erin Farabaugh. She was the CLO. She was there probably the last two years, year and a half of me being there.

And then there was one other individual, his name is Neil Leininger and his title was Chief Project Officer.

- O Was there an accounting department at Heller Capital?
  - A Yes.
  - Q How many folks worked in that?
- A We had like five. Can I have a pen to write this down? Can I write on this?
  - Q That is a -- here is a notepad.
  - A That will just help me. Thank you. I feel like I'm forgetting people.
  - Q That is fine. It is a memory test.
  - A I'm failing.
  - Q Where did the --
  - A I got four that I think of right now.
- Q What were their names and to the extent you are able to recall their titles?
- A So Dana Schlicker, she was the controller. And then Austin Quinones was a senior accountant. Josh Baker was a senior

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Dej	position of Barry Rynearson Exhibit B to Certification
	Page 16
1	There was another Josh. I don't
2	remember his last name. He was the lowest level
3	accountant.
4	And then Pete Wisniewski, he did some
5	accounting for us as well. He was staff
6	accountant, lower level.
7	Q Who succeeded you as CFO?
8	A Nobody to my knowledge.
9	Q Do you know who performed the role of
10	CFO?
11	A I don't. I think they kind of shared
12	it.
13	Q Who is the they?
14	A Aaron Fogleman and Dana.
15	Q Are you familiar with an entity called
16	Luma Financial Group?
17	A I am.
18	Q What do you understand that entity is
19	and does?
20	A It was an entity that I believe that
21	Dana Schlicker established to do the accounting
22	work for Daryl Heller's entities.
23	Q Did you have you ever worked there?
24	A No.
25	Q Do you believe that they are performing
1	those services?
2	A I I don't know.
3	Q Do you know why that entity was formed?
4	A I do not.
5	Q Let's talk about Daryl Heller's role at
6	Heller Capital.
7	What did he do on a day-to-day,
8	month-to-month, year-to-year basis?
9	A Daryl was the visionary strategic
10	thinker. He was always looking for investment
11	opportunity and then he would manage the we
12	call them portfolio companies. He would engage
13	in managing the portfolio companies.
14	Q Did he control the finances?
15	A Control them? Help me understand.
16	Q Did he make major financial decisions?
17	A Definitely.
18	Q Did he make medium financial decisions?
19	A Could have, yeah.
20	Q How about minor financial decisions?
21	A Probably not.
22	Q Fair to say he he controlled the
23	finances that at a significant level?
24	A Yes.
25	O D' 11 - f-11 1'4' 0

O Did he follow corporate formalities?

A We would have -- we would have an executive team meeting once a month.

Q Were there minutes of those meetings?

A No.

Q How about was there any board for Heller Capital?

A No.

Q No board meetings that you are aware of?

A No.

Q Minutes?

A No.

Q How about intermingling of funds? Intermingling of funds between Heller Capital and entities within the Heller Capital universe?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q How about funds of Heller Capital? Did Daryl ever use those for personal purposes?

A If he did, they would be a distribution out of Heller Capital into Daryl's personal account.

Q Well, did he have a personal American Express card?

A It was a company card, to the best of my knowledge.

Q To the best of your knowledge, he had

Page 19

just one AMEX card?

A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

Q Okay. You are not aware that he had a personal AMEX card -- or if he had a personal AMEX card that was billed to Heller Capital?

A What I recall is we had a Heller Capital AMEX account and anybody who was an employee of Heller Capital received a credit card that had Heller Capital on the card.

Q A moment ago you said that Daryl managed the portfolio company?

A You mentioned earlier the 140 entities, that would be the whole entire group of entities that would roll up.

Q To your knowledge, how many entities were underneath Heller Capital in sort of a corporate org chart?

A Your 140 number is probably right and the only reason I know that is because of the taxes. I would make sure all of the taxes were filed.

Q Did each entity file its own tax return?

A If it was -- if it was a reporting entity, yes.

Q Reporting entity meaning it wasn't just

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Del	bosition of Barry Rynearson Exhibit B to Certifica
1	a mere passthrough?
2	A Yes. Like a single member LLC would not
3	be a reporting entity. It would flow through to
4	the individual who owned it.
5	Q What did what did Heller Capital do?
6	What was its business? How would you describe
7	it?
8	A Daryl used to describe it as a boutique
9	private equity firm. It was more akin to a
10	family office.
11	Q What do you mean by that?
12	A It was Daryl's money that was mostly
13	invested. It was friends and family money that
14	was mostly invested.
15	Q How did it make money?
16	A It made money through the portfolio
17	companies.
18	Q Well, what did they do? Give me some
19	industries, for example.
20	A So Paramount was a good example. So
21	Paramount Paramount when I started with Daryl
23	Heller in '18, they were portrayed to be the
24	fourth largest ATM company in the country and
25	they were generating significant cash flow at full C-Suite.
	Page 21
1	So dividends would come out of Paramount
2	or distributions rather into Heller. So that is
3	how Heller how it made its money.
4	Q From other businesses essentially doing
5 6	the same?
7	A Yeah. The ones that were profitable.
8	The ones that had cash flow, yes.
9	Q Is that a lengthy list of ones that were
10	cash flow positive?  A Not really, no.
11	Q Well, in that case, can you give me the
12	list?
13	A Sure. So you would have had Prestige
14	would have cash flowed.
15	Q What do you mean by Prestige?
16	A Prestige Investment Group.
17	Q Okay. What else?
18	A He would have had there is more.
19	Give me a second. I'm just thinking. PTG, so
20	that was a company, Premiere Technology Group,
21	Avail Solutions.
22	Q Any others?
23	A I don't recall any others, Josh.
24	Q So the ones that cash flow cash

flowed to the best of your recollection were

Prestige Investment Group, Premiere Technology Group, and Avail? Just those three?

- A And Paramount. Did you say Paramount?
- Q I did not say Paramount.
- A Okay.
- Q So that is a fourth.

  Any others you can recall?
- A No.
- Q Do you believe there were others there?
- A Could be. They weren't significant. They would break even, a couple hundred thousand. So those were the ones that were pulling in some cash.
- Q Well, did those four contribute equally or was one more important than another?
- A Oh, definitely Paramount was by far the biggest.
- Q Paramount, if you had to represent it as a percentage of Heller Capital earnings, what would you estimate that percentage to be?
- A Very high. Like 90th. Ninetieth percentile.
- Q So 90 percent of the money that Heller Capital was making while you were their CFO was out of Paramount?
  - A That is correct.
- Q A minute ago -- I just want to follow up on your answers.

You said Paramount was portrayed to be the fourth largest ATM company.

Who portrayed that to you?

- A Daryl.
- Q Anybody else?
- A No, not that I can recall.
- Q And when you say these entities including Paramount cash flowed, what do you mean?
  - A They were cash flow positive.
  - Q Meaning they were profitable?
- A The operations were generating positive cash flow.
- Q Okay. You are going to know a lot of accounting terms that seem real obvious to you that are not to me.
  - A Sure.
- Q So some of these questions are legitimately just me trying to understand the vernacular you are using so I'm not thinking they mean something other than what a guy with a law degree who is bad at math would think they

Page 23

Dep	osition of Barry Rynearson Exhibit B to Certification	ALFG	
1	Page 24	1	Page 26
2	mean.	2	Heller Capital earning money?
3	A Okay.	3	A Was Heller Capital earning money?
	Q You said that Paramount got paid	4	Q Yes.
4	dividends to Heller Capital. I think I wrote	5	A The only way Heller Capital earned
5	that down correctly.		income was from portfolio companies.
6	Is that what you said?	6	Q Okay. Same question. Was it earning
7	A It would be distributions actually	7	money in 2024?
8	Q Distributions.	8	A It was it had cash.
9	A because it is an LLC.	9	Q Was it profitable?
10	Q And how often would distributions flow	10	A So Heller Capital, again, is not an
11	from Paramount to Heller Capital?	11	operating entity. So it didn't really have like
12	A I mean, they would they would flow on	12	an operating P&L.
13	a regular basis. Weekly, there could be a	13	Q What do you mean it wasn't an operating
14	distribution every week.	14	entity?
15	Q Okay. Did Heller Capital make any money	15	A It didn't it didn't it did not
16	from PowerCoin sometimes referred to as Margo?	16	produce a product.
17	A Not to my knowledge.	17	Q Okay. But it had employees?
18	Q How about from an entity call Bitstop?	18	A Who all had services to the portfolio
19	A Bitstop might have been a profitable	19	companies.
20	company as well actually. I think they were	20	Q Right.
21	profitable. But again not significantly	21	It filed a tax return?
22	profitable, but they were cash flowing.	22	A It did.
23	Q So maybe that is a fifth entity with	23	Q It was an entity formed under
24	cash flow?	24	Pennsylvania law?
25	A Yes. Thank you.	25	A Yes.
1	Q To be clear, this is a memory test.	1	Q Okay. So
2	There is nothing in front of you. So if we come	2	MR. BOYLE: If I could just interrupt
3	up with others	3	and go off the record for a second.
4	A Okay.	4	THE REPORTER: Is that okay?
5	Q we will add them to the list.	5	MR. BOYLE: You are making a distinction
6	How about Raw Ventures? Was that cash	6	between holding and operating companies.
7	flow?	7	MR. VOSS: Okay. Yes. Is this
8	A Definitely not.	8	accounting?
9	Q I keep saying we are going to get to it	9	MR. BOYLE: Pardon?
10	so I don't get out of order, we are going to	10	MR. VOSS: Am I falling into an
11	talk about Raw	11	accounting trap here?
12	A Okay.	12	MR. BOYLE: I think so.
13	Q and the next entity a little bit	13	MR. VOSS: Okay.
14	more.	14	MR. BOYLE: I think he is speaking of
		15	profits coming from operating companies.
15	Glorique did that each flow?		profits coming from operating companies.
15 16	Glorious, did that cash flow?	16	
	A Glorious did not always have cash flow		Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it
16	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for	16	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies
16 17	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for expansion. There was an expansion to other	16 17	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies but it did not produce a product or service of
16 17 18	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for expansion. There was an expansion to other states, new capital equipment.	16 17 18	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies but it did not produce a product or service of its own.
16 17 18 19 20	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for expansion. There was an expansion to other states, new capital equipment. So it did hold its own from 2018 to	16 17 18 19 20	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies but it did not produce a product or service of its own.  MR. VOSS: Understood.
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for expansion. There was an expansion to other states, new capital equipment.  So it did hold its own from 2018 to 2021, it did not cash flow. And then about '21	16 17 18 19 20 21	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies but it did not produce a product or service of its own.  MR. VOSS: Understood.  MR. JOHNSON: We are just looking for
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for expansion. There was an expansion to other states, new capital equipment.  So it did hold its own from 2018 to 2021, it did not cash flow. And then about '21 is when it turned and started to cash flow but	16 17 18 19 20 21	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies but it did not produce a product or service of its own.  MR. VOSS: Understood.  MR. JOHNSON: We are just looking for income and expenses.
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Glorious did not always have cash flow and when it did, it needed the funds for expansion. There was an expansion to other states, new capital equipment.  So it did hold its own from 2018 to 2021, it did not cash flow. And then about '21	16 17 18 19 20 21	Whereas Heller Capital was a holding company, it received the profits from the other companies but it did not produce a product or service of its own.  MR. VOSS: Understood.  MR. JOHNSON: We are just looking for

yeah.

Q When you left in April of 2024, was

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BOYLE: Okay.

THE REPORTER: I actually stayed on the record because you didn't answer my question. I stay on the record until both sides agree.

MR. BOYLE: No problem.

MR. VOSS: Is that okay if that stays on the record? I think it is an important clarification.

MR. BOYLE: Yes.

BY MR. VOSS:

Q Was Heller Capital earning money when you left in 2024?

A They would have build -- built portfolio companies for services -- so Heller Capital would receive cash flow two ways through distributions and through billing out the portfolio companies for services performed.

So there was like a monthly management fee that the portfolio companies would pay. So they would have been earning money on a P&L and on a P&L you would have income coming in from management income.

Q So when you left in April of '24, it was still receiving -- let's try a different term -- deposits from the portfolio companies?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Was it receiving sufficient deposits to cover its expenses?

A I believe so.

Q Okay. Did you come to learn at some point in time that Heller Capital was out of money?

A Not -- not in my tenure.

Q Okay. So if I represented to you I had testimony that by April -- May of 2024 it was -- it was not earning money and not profitable, you don't have any information about that?

A I don't because I would have left in April.

Q Okay. I think we covered this.

You believe 140 is a good approximate number of entities under Heller Capital; is that right?

A Again, I don't want to misspeak. There was 140 companies that were either under Heller Capital and I want to say like Heller Capital invested into them or they were a special purpose entity that was set up and in the family of portfolio companies.

Q Everything rolls up to Heller Capital

though?

Page 28

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 29

A From a -- from a tax perspective and from an income book perspective, it wouldn't -- it wouldn't have consolidated up. And they were all standalone separate legal entities.

Page 30

Page 31

Page: 10 (28 - 31)

Q To your knowledge, was Heller Capital either the sole or majority member of each of those 140 entities?

A Definitely not.

Q Who else would have been involved with them? Is that a long answer?

A I couldn't even tell you. Like, Prestige, I could tell you that 60 percent --Heller Capital owned 60 percent of Prestige.

I could tell you they own 83 percent of Paramount. Of Glorious, it is about 25 to 30 percent of Glorious.

You know, with some entities, it is -some entities it is over 50 percent and some entities it is below 50 percent.

Q I see. I said majority. Fair answer.

A Okay.

Q Was Heller Capital an owner of any stake in the 140 entities?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Owner in all of them?

A Not always, no.

Q Okay.

A I would say the majority.

Q And the majority where they didn't or just performing services? A vendor? I don't understand the corporate relationship. That is what I'm trying to get at.

A I know. I'm trying to recall, Josh. Just give me a second to think.

There were -- there definitely were entities where Daryl didn't have an ownership in -- like in the beginning, I can remember Raw Ventures, we have mentioned that before Daryl or Heller Capital didn't have any ownership interest in Raw at one time.

There was another entity i Employee Services didn't have an ownership interest in that at any point of time.

They were service entities, to your point that provided services out to portfolio companies.

Q Those Raw and i Services?

A In i Employee.

Q They provided services to other

erestdepo.com

entities?	32 1
A They did.	2
Q How did Heller Capital receive money	3
from those entities?	4
A He didn't.	5
6 Q Okay.	6
A He did not.	7
Q Were there entities over top of Heller	8
9 Capital?	9
10 A No.	10
Q Okay. Do you know what the percentage	ge   11
ownership stake in Heller Capital, who were t	- 1
percentage owners in Heller Capital?	13
A Yeah, I believe it was Daryl was 99	14
percent and Accordo I believe was 1 percent.	15
Q And that was true at the time you left	16
in April of last year?	17
A I believe so, correct.	18
Q Is that always true the entire time you	19
are there?	20
A Yeah. I'm pretty sure it was.	21
Q Okay. Are you familiar with Heller	22
<sup>23</sup> Investment Holdings?	23
A I am, yes.	24
Q Okay. Is there any kind of corporate	25
tie between Heller Capital Group and Heller	33 1
Investment Holdings?	2
A There is no corporate tie. So Daryl was	3
the sole owner of Heller Investment Holdings	
So when I left in '24, April of '24, he was the	5
sole owner of Heller Investment Holdings.	6
Q And what did Heller Investment Holdin	$g_{\mathbf{S}}$ 7
8 do?	8
A So Heller Investment Holdings was	9
established to hold the investment in the	10
cannabis companies.	11
Q That is all it did?	12
A That is all it did.	13
Q And when was it formed?	14
A Probably 2019.	15
Q I see.	16
Did you keep the books and records for	17
that entity?	18
A Heller Investment Holdings, we did.	19
Q And the we who is the we?	20
A Heller Capital staff.	21
Q Okay. In or around February or March	of $ ^{22}$
2024, did you go to a meeting at Dan	23
Burkholder's house?	24
A February or March of 2024? So I was i	n 25

Page 34 Florida the entire month of March of 2024. So if it was March, I definitely wasn't there. I don't recall going -- actually, I don't think I have ever been to Dan's house.

- Q Did you ever have a meeting in that timeframe, February and March of 2024, with Dan Burkholder and Daryl Heller and you?
  - A Could have.
- Q Okay. Do you recall asking Daryl -well, let's try this.

At some point in time, did you have concerns that Paramount was running a Ponzi scheme?

- A So can I just back up?
- Q That is a yes-or-no question.

MR. BOYLE: That is a yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Did I have concerns? I don't honestly know how to answer that question. I didn't have concerns -- I don't know how to answer that question. BY MR. VOSS:

Q Okay. You said you wanted to back up a minute. Go ahead and back up a minute and tell me what you wanted to say.

A Do you mind if I take a bathroom break?

Page 35

MR. BOYLE: You got to answer the question first.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So I took a sabbatical in the month of March. That is how I knew the meeting couldn't take place in the month of March.

I was in Florida the entire month of March; and when I came back from being on sabbatical for an entire month, you come in and have a completely different perspective of what is going on.

You have that when you take a week vacation and you come back. And when you come in for a month, you have a different perspective.

I was at a point in my career I didn't want to work the hours that I was working. I was burnt out. I wanted to slow down a little bit.

So when I came back -- the reason why I ended up leaving in April was a couple of reasons and one of them was the Ponzi concern.

So I come in and Daryl is not behaving the way that he typically had behaved. And by that, I mean, he was -- he was not communicating

Page 38

with the investors on Prestige, which is really odd.

I know they were upset and they were, you know, raising concerns of why they weren't getting their dividends and he wasn't communicating with Will Powers or Matt Eby and he was kind of leaving them on the front lines to fend for themselves.

That was completely out of character for Daryl. That made no sense to me that he wouldn't be communicating to the investors and the people on the front line of Prestige. So that was odd.

There were funds being deposited into Heller's bank account for which my controller was asking for documentation and support, which, again, was odd that Daryl wasn't furnishing the documentation and support.

So when I came back from my sabbatical, Dana communicates this to me. And I said, well, don't worry about it. I'll get it. And I asked him multiple times and he didn't give it to me and that is --

MR. BOYLE: Who?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Daryl Heller.

So just very odd. So those are two flags that I'm looking at that doesn't make sense to me.

So I'm -- I'm talking about Matt Eby and he says to me the first time I ever hear the word Ponzi is from Matt Eby and he is saying the investors are saying this is a potential Ponzi scheme and I was like, you got to be kidding me. Right?

So that was -- so concern, yeah, he is telling me there is a potential Ponzi scheme. That is the first I'm hearing about it. It is obviously alarming. So there is concern to the sense that it is alarming and I'm hearing this.

And then the other thing honestly, Josh, is that he had a five-million-dollar tax liability, he being Daryl, had a five-million-dollar tax liability that was due -- that was due April of '23 for his '22 taxes and still hadn't been paid and that didn't make any sense to me and he knew I didn't like the fact that he wasn't paying his taxes.

So all of this is kind of coming together at the same time and I talked to Matt Eby and he mentions the word Ponzi and at that

point, I'm thinking this is not an environment I want to be a part of anymore.

MR. VOSS: I'm going to have a lot of followups but let's let you take that break.

(Break.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Page 37

Q What did Matt Eby say to you specifically?

A He said specifically that the investors were saying that they were concerned that this could be a Ponzi.

Q And what was your response?

A Shock.

Q What did you say to Matt?

A Do you think it is a Ponzi?

Q What was his response?

A He -- he was -- didn't believe that it was.

Q Okay. What did you do to follow up on that discussion with Matt?

A So I prepared my resignation for one. That was the icing on the cake for me to hear that.

The other thing I said to Daryl, I gave him the reasons and one of the reasons I gave

him was this word Ponzi is coming up and I don't want to be a part of it. And he said to me it is not a Ponzi. He goes, I can show you that it is not a Ponzi.

Q Okay. What did he show you?

A He prepared a -- he prepared a presentation and the presentation was the P&L of Paramount Management Group that showed that it was cash flow and be able to make the payments to the investors.

Q It was a written presentation?

A Yeah. It was -- it was a P&L, like a Profit and Loss Statement.

Q When you say he prepared a presentation, was it like you are in a room with a screen or documents he is handing you? What do you mean by presentation?

A It was a P&L. He prepared a P&L to present to myself to show me that Paramount Management Group was going to cash flow and be able to pay the dividend payments.

Q Okay. Let's talk about that document. Do you still have it?

A No.

O Were you given a copy?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sort of in a format that somebody with your credentials and qualifications would recognize as legitimate --

should have?

MR. BOYLE: Wait for him to finish. BY MR. VOSS:

Q What do you recall about the P&L?

13 A The only thing that I truly recall is 14 that it showed that Paramount operationally cash 15 flowed to be able to make the dividends to the 16 investors. 17

Q Do you recall if it had a line regarding ATM sales?

A No. I don't recall.

Q Do you recall if it had a line in it regarding PE debt payments?

A No. I don't recall.

Q Okay. Is it possible that those were in it?

A PE debt payments? Debt payments

flow sufficient to make the dividend payments to the Prestige investors.

Q Let's talk about operational cash flow. What do you mean by that?

A So the business was -- so Paramount was in the business of managing ATM machines, generating revenue from the ATM machines, and that revenue creates operating income that was sufficient to pay the investors.

Q What was your understanding of how much the investors were owed each month?

A That, I had no knowledge of. Other than for the payment that he said this was sufficient to pay the payment.

So like -- I know there was, like, negotiating payments -- again, I was not a part

Page: 13 (40 - 43)

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- of any of those conversations. So I -- I don't really know exactly what the amounts due each month were.
- Q Do you recall how much operating revenue that P&L showed for Paramount?
  - A I don't.
- Q Do you have a sense of the scale of it? A million? Ten million? One hundred million?
  - A I -- I don't recall the exact numbers.
- Q Okay. And you had no idea how much Paramount owed the investors each month?
  - A No.

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Would it surprise you in this April of 2024 timeframe the amount was around 19 million dollars?
- A Nineteen million? It is not surprising or -- I mean, I -- I have no knowledge of how much the payments due were.
- Q And this P&L that you looked at that Daryl presented to you, did it show operating income from ATMs in excess of 19 million dollars?
- A He had -- the way it was presented, Josh, is he showed the operating income and then what the payments due were and it exceeded what

the payments due were. I just don't recall either of those amounts.

- Q Before we -- well, let's -- before we leave this meeting, what questions did you have of Daryl Heller?
  - A At that meeting?
  - Q Yes, sir.
  - A I think I for the most part listened.
- Q Did you come away satisfied that Paramount wasn't a Ponzi scheme?
- A I came away satisfied that it appeared that there was not a Ponzi scheme, yes.
- Q Based solely on Daryl's representations and that P&L?
  - A That is correct.
- Q Did you do any independent examination before or after that meeting to --
  - A No.
  - Q What questions did Dan Burkholder have?
- A I don't recall. He -- same thing that he would have done most of the listening. I don't recall any specific questions.
- Q Okay. So to clarify some questions from before, you don't recall an in-person meeting at anyone's home to discuss these matters?

A No.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Did he have sort of a ten-point outline of why it wasn't a Ponzi scheme?
- A I don't recall like a ten-point presentation.
- Q Did he have sort of agenda points or bullet points?
- A To the best of my recollection, I don't recall that. There could have been. My focus was on the P&L. I was studying the P&L to see if it cash flowed.
- Q Okay. Was there an agenda for this meeting?
- A The agenda was to show that Paramount could support the payments.
- Q And I apologize. I mean a physical written document.
  - A No.
- Q Okay. So the only document used or created about the meeting was this P&L?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did he follow up with any written communications?
  - A No. I resigned the next day.
  - Q And the reason you resigned the next day

Page 47

was?

- A All of the reasons I previously mentioned.
- Q But not because you were concerned that there was a Ponzi scheme?
- A Correct. At that point, he -- at that point, he showed me that it was going to cash flow, so I felt better honestly.
  - Q You feel the same way now?
  - A No.
  - Q How do you feel differently?
- A Because a lot has transpired since April.
  - Q What, for example?
- A For example, in between Thanksgiving and first week of December, the judgment came out. There was testimony that was given saying that there was way less ATM machines than Daryl had represented.

I never suspected any of that was going to be the case. As a matter of fact, I thought Daryl was going to sell Paramount for a half a billion dollars and make everybody whole.

Q Why did you think he was going to sell it for half a billion dollars?

24

know the nature. That is why we were asking for

the documentation. I didn't know how to record

them on the books without the -- without the

Page 48 A Because he communicated that to me. records. 2 2 Q Who was he selling it to? Q And you asked Daryl for those records? 3 A I don't know. That I don't know. A Correct. O When did he communicate this? Q And what was his response? 5 A So when I left Heller Capital, I still A Get them. Yep. No problem. I'll get 6 did consulting work for Glorious; and Daryl was them to vou. an active board member on Glorious at that time. Q But he never supplied them? 8 8 And he -- he was telling me that he was A Never supplied them. 9 9 Q Did you see money from an entity called going to sell Paramount. He was in the process 10 of trying to sell Paramount. 10 Silverview Credit Partners? 11 11 Somewhere between maybe May and A Yeah. I believe, yes. November, beginning of November, he told me that 12 12 Q How about an entity -- I don't know the he was in active discussions to sell Paramount. 13 13 full name but I know it as Reliance? 14 Q So the sale -- and I probably just 14 A No. Not that I recall. misheard it but let's make sure the transcript 15 Q How about Deerfield? 16 16 is clear. A I never saw the money, but I have heard 17 17 When Daryl told you something was going that name before. 18 to be sold for half a billion dollars, he was 18 Q Was that one of the entities you were 19 talking about Paramount? 19 asking about when you were asking Daryl? 20 20 A I don't recall that. I have seen A Correct. 21 21 Q Not Heller Capital? Deerfield through a lawsuit that came out 22 22 A Correct. through Glorious. That is how I saw that name. 23 Q And when was this discussion? Q How about an entity called Fundonatic? 24 A I didn't talk to Daryl that often. 24 A Don't recall that name. Probably maybe like once every 30 days, every 45 25 25 O Web Bank? 1 days in my capacity of doing consulting work for A I don't recall that name. Glorious because I was doing work directly to 2 2 O We Bank? 3 the board of Glorious. A What was it? 4 And in those conversations, Daryl had O We Bank. W-e Bank? 5 mentioned to me probably like twice maybe that A No. Don't recall that. 6 6 he was going to sell Paramount for the half a Q And I apologize if I'm asking this 7 billion dollars. twice. 8 Q These were oral discussions? Text 8 Did he ever supply the documentation 9 9 discussions? that you asked for? 10 10 A Right, oral. A He did not. 11 11 Q Before we took a break, you said you Q Do you know if he supplied it to 12 started seeing deposits into Heller's bank anybody? 13 account and I want to get a clarification on 13 A I do not. 14 14 that. Q As I recall, I think you said Dana 15 15 Schlicker was asking for it as well. Your When you say Heller's, do you mean 16 Heller Capital? 16 understanding is she didn't receive it either? 17 17 A Not before I left. A Correct. 18 18 Q Okay. And who were the deposits from? Q Did Daryl give you an explanation of why 19 19 he had a five-million-dollar tax liability? A I don't recall. 20 20 Q Were they -- did you understand them to A Well, I know why. He -- his tax return 21 be loans? 21 calculated a five-million-dollar tax liability. 22 22 A I didn't understand them -- I didn't Q I asked a poor question.

23

24

25

it was unpaid?

A He did not.

Why was it unpaid? Did he explain why

Page 50

Page 51

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

2

3

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Q Did you have discussions let's keep
2	it in this timeframe; early '24 up to April of
3	'24 discussions with anybody else about
4	Paramount being a Ponzi scheme?
5	A Up until April of '24, did I have
6	discussions with anybody about a Ponzi scheme?
7	No.
8	Q How about since that time?
9	A No.
10	Q When you are at Heller Capital, did you
11	have access to its books and records generally?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What records let's by class did
14	you access to?
15	A Clarify that for me.
16	Q Financials? Corporate minutes?
17	Ledgers?
18	A Yeah. I had full access to the
19	financial statements.
20	Q Anything you were excluded from?
21	A Specifically with Heller Capital Group?
22	No.
23	Q Well, is there anything that Daryl ever
24	stopped you from getting besides the loan
25	documents that we just discussed? Anything
1	else?
2	A I never saw financials for Prestige. I
3	never saw financials for Paramount.
4	Q Is that because Daryl didn't give them
5	to you?
6	A Because I didn't have responsibility
7	there.
8	Q Did you want those?
9	A I got the tax returns.
10	Q Well, that wasn't my question.
11	A Okay.
12	Q Did you want those?
13	A At one point, I probably would have
14	liked to have seen them.
15	Q It sounds like your answer is yes.
16	A Yes.
17	Q Yes, you wanted them?
18	A At one point.
19	Q What was that point?
20	A When I started working for Heller
22	Capital.
23	Q And who did you ask for them?
24	A Daryl.
	Q And what did he say?

He said you don't need to worry about

those two entities because they are mature profitable full C-Suite entities.

I need you to focus on startups and troubled entities. So that would have been like 2019.

I'm sorry. It would have been 2020 because my first year and a half, I was 100 percent in Michigan.

- Q Did you ever ask again?
- A I did not.
- Q Were you satisfied with his response?
- A I was.
- Q You felt you were able to perform your role as CFO of Heller Capital without that information?
  - A I did, yes.
- Q Felt comfortable reporting financial results from those entities on management reports among other things?
- A I didn't report financial results from those entities.
  - Q Well, for Heller Capital, sir.
  - A Yes, for Heller Capital.
- Q You felt comfortable reporting earnings or liabilities on those entities on the Heller

Capital management report?

- A One hundred percent, yes.
- Q Without seeing the underlying documentation?

A It would be based on transactions that existed between Heller Capital and Paramount. So with distributions and dividends, those type of things, 100 percent.

MR. BOYLE: I think you two are talking about two different things.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.

## BY MR. VOSS:

- Q What documents do you have now that were -- that belonged to Heller Capital Group?
  - A I don't have any documents.
- Q Did you ever personally hold documents of Heller Capital, meaning at your home, personal computer?
- A While I worked for Heller, I mean, I worked from my home.
  - Q All right.
  - A Yeah.
- Q I'm really looking for materials on personal resources.

Did you have a laptop? External hard

Page: 16 (52 - 55)

Page 55

Page 59

Page: 17 (56 - 59)

drive? Flash drive? Printed files?

A I worked from home, and I had a laptop.

Q Where is that laptop now?

A I use it.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Heller Capital gave you that laptop?

A They did.

Q And at one point in time that laptop had Heller Capital files on it?

A It did.

Q Where are those files now?

A I completely wiped the computer clean.

Q When was that?

A When I resigned.

Q Were you instructed to do that?

A Nope. No.

Q You did that on your own?

A I did.

Q What do you mean by you wiped it clean?

A I just did a factory reset to put new software on it and be able to use the computer.

Q Any printed materials?

A No.

Q All right. Let's talk about Paramount now.

Were you generally familiar with

Paramount?

A You mean familiar in a sense I know they were a portfolio company? I know Dennis and Randall.

Q What did you understand Paramount's business to be?

A ATMs. So they were -- they would service ATM networks.

Q Did you understand Daryl to be an officer in that company?

A Yes.

Q What did you think his role was?

A Chairman of the Board possibly, yeah.

Q What do you think -- what was your understanding of what did he as Chairman?

A Set the strategic direction.

Q What does that mean?

A Just visionary, like where -- where the company is going tomorrow.

Q Okay. Did he control Paramount's finances?

A I don't know.

Q Who would know that?

A I would assume Dennis and Randall.

Q Do you know if Paramount filed corporate |25

formalities?

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 57

A I don't know.

Q Do you know if Daryl Heller used Paramount money for personal purposes?

A I don't know.

Q Do you know if he used Paramount money for other company purposes, meaning he used Paramount money for Glorious or for his restaurant or for any other entity within that Heller universe?

A So Paramount would make it so Heller Capital would ask for a distribution from Paramount and the distribution would come into Heller and Daryl would disburse money out for other investments. That would happen on a regular basis.

Q Were those distributions for Paramount's benefit?

A They would have been for the benefit of Daryl Heller.

Q Okay. Were they required by some sort of contract between Paramount and Heller Capital or some agreement?

A Daryl owned 83 percent of Paramount.

Q So fair to say if he asked for money

from Paramount, he got it?

A Yes.

Q Did you think those withdrawals or distributions or whatever you described them as were always reasonable?

A Yes.

Q What -- what -- what gave you that comfort?

A Seeing that the K-1 that would come out every year for Paramount. So the 25 million dollars was the K-1 income to Heller Capital in 2022.

Q Did you ever become aware that operating revenue from Paramount was being removed by Daryl Heller?

A No.

Q How did Paramount make money?

A They made money by the service fees that were on the ATM machines.

O You mean the transaction fee?

A Yes.

Q What did it do to earn its portion of those fees?

A A vague -- a general understanding of what they did. They managed the network and

1	picked up cash at the ATMs and they made sure	1	Q So these the notes that you described
2	the ATMS had enough cash when people were taking	2	from from that Heller Capital owed to
3	money out of the ATMs, repairs and maintenance	3	Paramount were documented only in the books?
4	on them. They serviced the ATMs. That was	4	A Correct.
5	that was my understanding.	5	Q And when you say the books, what do you
6	Q To your knowledge, was ATM revenue	6	mean specifically?
7	alone, so the service fees, sufficient to cover	7	A Balance sheet.
8	Paramount's liabilities?	8	Q Balance sheet.
9	A I don't know.	9	Anywhere else?
10	Q Who would know that?	10	A No.
11	A People who worked the Paramount, like I	11	Q Is the terminology there due to/due
12	would say, Dennis and Randall.	12	from?
13	Q And when you say Dennis, Dennis Ream?	13	A Or no payable/no receivable.
14	A Correct.	14	Q So I'll skip we'll skip down here.
15	Q And Randall Leaman?	15	Are you aware of PowerCoin owing money
16	A Correct.	16	to Paramount?
17	Q Are you aware of payments to Heller	17	A I'm not, no.
18	Capital from Paramount?	18	Q Are you aware of Heller Capital loaning
19	A From Paramount to Heller Capital?	19	money to Paramount in 2024?
20	Q Yes.	20	A Heller Capital loaning to Paramount?
21	A Yes.	21	No.
22	Q What types of payments?	22	Q Would that seem unusual to you?
23	A Strictly dividends.	23	A Yes.
24	Q Anything else?	24	Q Why?
25	A Distributions and sometimes Daryl would	25	A Because the money typically flowed the
	Page 61		Page 63
1	put it, he put it as a note that he would owe	1	other way.
2	the money back to Paramount.	2	Q To your knowledge, what is the most
3	And what he told me there, Josh, is that	3	number of ATMs that Paramount ever had online?
4	these were amounts that were above his 83	4	A I don't know.
5	percent.	5	Q Do you know how many it owned anywhere?
6	Q How often did that happen?	6	Online? Warehouse?
7	A Not often.	7	A I don't.
8	Q Let's go for an estimate.	8	Q Okay. Do you know why Paramount failed?
9	More than ten times?	9	A I don't.
10	A Over five years, yes.	10	Q As you sit here today, do you believe it
11	Q More than 100 times?	11	was a Ponzi scheme?
12	A Maybe. I don't know.	12	A I don't know.
13	Q Were those notes documented in some kind	13	Q Okay. All right. I want to talk about
14	of way?	14	Raw.
15	A So we always made sure that between the	15	Did Paramount have a receivable with
16	two companies records that they always balanced	16	Raw?
17	out.	17	A Paramount would have had a receivable
18	If we had a payable on our books, we	18	with Raw, correct.
19	always made sure the receivable on Paramount's	19	Q Okay. How is that documented?
20	books matched.	20	A There was like a there was an open
21	Q Other than the book matching that you	21	line of credit agreement.
22	just described, was there a formal note	22	Q So this this one was a formal

24

25

agreement?

A Yeah. There was a note. There was a

contract? Some formal document that says IOU?

A Not to my knowledge. I don't believe

23

24

25

Q Who had the note? A So that would have been that would have been on Raw's books as well as on Paramount's books. Q So again, we are talking about balance sheets? A Correct. Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU? A I remember there being a note, a formal document. Q You saw it? A Yes. Q Who were the parties to that? A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw. Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity? A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw Ventures, LLC. Q Is that a Michigan entity? A I believe it was. Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed? A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022. Q How much was it for? A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in. So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures. Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures, 112 LLC?
A So that would have been that would have been on Raw's books as well as on Paramount's books. Q So again, we are talking about balance sheets? A Correct. Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU? A I remember there being a note, a formal document. Q You saw it? A Yes. Q Who were the parties to that? A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw. Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity? A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw Ventures, LLC. Q Is that a Michigan entity? A I believe it was. Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed? A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for? A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in. So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures. Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Paramount's books.  Q So again, we are talking about balance sheets?  A Correct.  Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU?  A I remember there being a note, a formal document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures, 11
Paramounts books.  Q So again, we are talking about balance sheets?  A Correct. Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU?  A I remember there being a note, a formal document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was. Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures, 11
sheets?  A Correct.  Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU?  A I remember there being a note, a formal document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures, 11
A Correct. Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU? A I remember there being a note, a formal document. Q You saw it? A Yes. Q Who were the parties to that? A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw. Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity? A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw Ventures, LLC. Q Is that a Michigan entity? A I believe it was. Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed? A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for? A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in. So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures. Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q When you say there is a note, there is not a formal document saying IOU?  A I remember there being a note, a formal document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures, 11
not a formal document saying IOU?  A I remember there being a note, a formal document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures, 11
A I remember there being a note, a formal document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
document.  Q You saw it?  A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q You saw it? A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that? A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity? A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC. Q Is that a Michigan entity? A I believe it was. Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed? A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for? A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
A Yes.  Q Who were the parties to that?  A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q Who were the parties to that? A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw. Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity? A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw Ventures, LLC. Q Is that a Michigan entity? A I believe it was. Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed? A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for? A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in. So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures. Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
A It would have had to have been Paramount and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
and Raw.  Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q And when we say Raw, can you tell me the full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw  Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
full name of the entity?  A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
A Yeah. It was Raw Ventures. It was Raw Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Ventures, LLC.  Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q Is that a Michigan entity?  A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
A I believe it was.  Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q Okay. When was this note this formal note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for? A It was an open-ended line of credit. Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
note executed? Signed?  A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
A Somewhere between 2020 and 2022.  Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q How much was it for?  A It was an open-ended line of credit.  Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do?  A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q Who what what did Raw Ventures do? A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in. So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures. Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
A That is they were just set up to be the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
the passthrough entity for the loan money coming in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
in.  So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
So Raw would receive the money and Raw would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
would distribute it out to the various entities in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
<ul> <li>in Glorious whether they needed it for operations or capital expenditures.</li> <li>Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,</li> </ul>
operations or capital expenditures.  Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q Who were the owners of Raw Ventures,
Q who were the owners of Naw Ventures,
A At the time, when it was first
established it was Will Stoltzfus. And then at
sometime after it was formed, Daryl became the
sole owner.
Q Daryl personally?
A I believe it was him personally.
Q Daryl Heller personally owned 100
percent of Raw Ventures at one point in time?
A Correct.
Q Not Heller Capital?
, ~ 1
A Correct.
A Correct.  Q When was when was the entity formed  23  24

Page 66

- A I'm going to say it was like 2019.
- Q When do you think this ownership change happened?
  - A '22ish.
- Q Okay. To your knowledge, did Paramount loan money to Raw for this receivable -- or this note? Excuse me.
  - A Paramount loaned money to Raw, yes.
  - Q Do you know how much that was?
- A Yeah. So the financials at the end of 12/31/24, the note was like 21 million dollars at that point in time.
  - Q 12/31/2024?
  - A Correct.
  - Q The number you said again?
  - A Twenty-one million.
  - Q And how do you know that number?
- A Because I work with Glorious religiously. That was my No. 1, you know, that was my, you know, priority when I worked for Heller Capital.

And when I left Heller Capital, I continued to work for them until the end of the year.

Q End of the year of 2024?

Page 67

- A Correct.
- Q Glorious has -- well, let's try this. How did the money from Raw to

Glorious -- how was it documented? Was it a note?

- A Yeah. So there would have been a note between Raw and the Glorious entity.
  - Q A single Glorious entity?
  - A I don't recall.
- Q And is this one a formal note or is this a bookkeeping note like we described before?
  - A I believe it was a written note.
  - Q Who were the signatories to that?
  - A I don't recall.
  - Q When was it created?
- A Pretty much around the same time that the other note was created. So I would say somewhere between, you know, '20 and '22.
- Q What document or documents showed the 21 million dollars that Glorious received from Raw as of 12/3/24?
  - A The balance sheet.
  - Q Of what?
  - A Of Glorious. Of the consolidated

Glorious entities.

Q I'm going to see if we can really drill down to that. Maybe we can do this without marking it. I want you to look at Page 2 of this document.

MR. VOSS: Let's call this 2.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Mr. Rynearson, I will represent to you this is a proof of claim for a case that is proceeding in Michigan State Court.

A Okay.

Q I really just want to direct your attention to Page 2 of this document that has been marked Rynearson 2.

Do you see all of the entities listed in Question No. 3?

A I do.

Q Do you know those entities collectively as Glorious?

A I do.

Q Okay. So this 21-million-dollar note from Raw to Glorious, which of these entities received -- are on that note?

A That, I'm not going to be able to

identify for you, unfortunately. Just know that some of it is going to add up to 21 million -- 21 million dollars.

Q If I have done my math, there are 24 entities listed in Question 3. Did all 24 of these receive this 21 million dollars?

A I don't know how to answer that question. So the 21 million dollars was -- this operated as one company.

Just to kind of give you a perception of how -- this is one big company. Okay? They are all like different operating entities with inside of the one big company.

So if Premiere -- or let me say this --Tycoon needed money, Tycoon would get money from Raw.

Q Well, you say Tycoon, which Tycoon? There is Tycoon Holdings. There is Tycoon 1 RE. There is Tycoon 1 Operations?

A So Tycoon -- so Tycoon 1 Operations would have been the actual operating entity itself.

Q Oh, I see that one. Okay.

A Tycoon Holdings would have just simply been that, a holding company where the original

shareholders were invested in that company.

Tycoon 1 RE was a real estate entity. That is where the deed -- the property was deeded inside that entity.

Q You worked -- this consulting work you did for Glorious, was it on behalf of all --

A Yes.

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 69

Q -- 24 of these entities?

Just was it on behalf of all 24 of these entities?

A Yes. Sorry.

O When did that work end?

A End of the year. End of 2024.

Q And you had access to the balance sheet?

A Yeah. The consolidated financials.

Q And if I were to ask for that document from one or more of these entities, what specifically would I be asking for?

A You would be asking for the consolidating financials for Glorious. Let me give you the exact name, but it would be GCC MSO Holdings.

Q That is the entity at the top?

A That is the entity at the top.

Q That is one of these 24 entities?

Page 71

A That is correct. It is the second one on that list there.

Q I see.

And you said Consolidating.

A Yeah, i-n-g.

Q Financials for GCC MSO Holding, LLC would reflect this 21-million-dollar balance owed to Raw?

A Correct.

Q And if 21 million came from Raw to Glorious as a group, they all would have benefited from it?

A Yes.

Q And it would have been a liability of all 24 of these entities?

A The liability would have been specific to who owed the money; but collectively, it would have added up to 21 million dollars.

Q Okay. Were there any officers in Raw --were there any officers in Raw?

A No.

Q So it is just Daryl as an owner?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Does it strike you unusual that

an ATM company was loaning 21 million dollars to

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 72 a cannabis enterprise in Michigan? 2 A It didn't. 3 Q What was the explanation given for why that loan was made? A Paramount was cash flowing and Daryl would send the money to Glorious to make -- so Glorious could continue to grow and meet its 8 capital requirements. 9 Q Whose decision was it to loan that 10 money --11 A Daryl. 12 Q -- from Paramount to Raw --13 MR. BOYLE: Let him finish. 14 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 15 BY MR. VOSS: 16 Q Whose decision was it to loan that money 17 from Paramount to Raw? 18 A Daryl. 19 Q And whose decision was it to give that 20 money from Raw to Glorious? 21 A That would -- Daryl would have been at 22 the board level at that point in time. So the operating entity would request funding, you know, we need money for operations. We need 24 25 money for strategic growth. Page 73 1 And then so Daryl would say, okay, and 2 he would go and talk with Paramount and Paramount would send the money to Raw and then Raw would send the money to the operating 5 entity. 6 Q Did Paramount ever give money directly to Glorious? A The only time that that would happen was when it was like, hey, I need the money right 10 now. Like, I need it today. 11 Q That was a yes? 12 A Yes. 13 Q When was that done --14 MR. BOYLE: Take a second and let him 15 finish before you talk. 16 BY MR. VOSS: 17 Q When -- was that -- how many times was 18 that done? 19 A I don't know. 20 Q When was it done that you are aware of? A I -- I don't know when it was done. 21 22 It -- I don't know. 23 Q But you do believe that at some point 24 Paramount gave money directly to Glorious?

25

A Yes.

Q Would it be money -- was it a loan?

- A It would be part of that 21 million.
- Q Part of the 21 million. Great.
- A So it was part of that 21 million.
- Q You jumped the end. It saved me several steps. I appreciate that.
  - A Okay.
  - Q Was Daryl an owner of GCC MSO Holdings?

Page 74

A He would -- he was an owner in GCC Investment Holdings, the very first one. So that was the -- so the two entities, GCC Investment Holdings and Choice Holdings, LLC, those are the two entities that come up to form GCC MSO Holdings. Does that make sense?

O It does.

Was he an officer in -- let's start with the first three.

GCC Investment Holdings, LLC, was he an owner there?

- A He -- Heller Investment Holdings had membership/ownership in GCC Investment Holdings.
  - Q Do you know what the percent was?
- A Yeah, it was like -- it was about 25 percent directly. And then there was another like three or four points that he owned

Page 75 indirectly through other members that were on that cap table.

So Heller Investment Holdings had by itself right on the cap table Heller Investment Holdings, 25 percent.

And then there was Halo RE -- Halo RE and that was like three -- three points that Daryl would -- Heller Investment Holdings would have owned through that entity because it was on that entity's cap table.

- Q Was Heller Capital on the cap table?
- A Yes.
- Q Same question regarding GCC MSO Holding. Was HIH an owner there?
- A Seventy percent. Hold on. GCC Investment Holding was a 70 percent of GCC MSO Holding.
  - Q Oh, I see.

And then Choice Holdings, what was the ownership structure?

- A Thirty percent to GCC MSO Holding.
- Q Why were there so many tiers of entities here?
- A Because that is the -- that is the -that was the advice that we were given by legal

Case 25-11354-JNP Deposition of Barry Rynearson Page 76 counsel. 2 Q Okay. We will stop there. 3 What -- GCC Investment, you had HIH at 25, 3 to 4 percent through Halo RE. 5 Do you know who the balance of owners are of GCC Investment Holdings? A GCC Investment Holding was 100 percent 8 owned by Daryl Heller when I left. 9 I'm sorry. What did you ask me? I 10 don't think I answered that question right. 11 Q Yeah. I think I got my numbers turned 12 around here. 13 GCC Investment Holdings --14 A GCC Investment Holdings is a 70 percent 15 owner of --16 Q I'm just asking about that entity. 17 Who is inside it as an owner? 18 A There is 50 people. Fifty different 19 entities. 20 Q And what percent of that entity is HIH? 21 A The number that I gave you is the number 22 inside of HIH. Q Okay. I think our time is maybe better spent by me requesting this from people who have 24 these documents. 25 1 A I can give it to you, Josh. I do know this. I'm sorry if I'm making it confusing. Q No. The memory test is probably a

Page 77

little strained here, but fair to say HIH and/or Daryl Heller and/or both is inside one of these -- each of these first three?

A They are 100 percent GCC Investment Holdings. That is where HIH resides is in GCC Investment Holdings and he owns 25 percent of GCC Investment Holdings.

Q Do you personally right now possess paperwork that would reflect those ownership structures?

A I believe I do.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. VOSS: I'm going to call for production on that. And, Counsel, if you need a subpoena, I'm glad to issue a subpoena for that.

MR. BOYLE: I believe you do. Search for it and give it to me and I will give it to you. We do not need a subpoena. BY MR. VOSS:

Q Okay. The 21-million-dollar note reflected on consolidating financials, do you have that document?

A I would have the financials.

Page 78 Q Would the financials reflect this debt?

A Yes.

MR. VOSS: I will call for production of those financials as well counsel.

MR. BOYLE: Same answer.

BY MR. VOSS:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you need a break?

A I'm good.

Q Okay. I'm hoping we can go fast, but let's -- those are famous last words.

MR. VOSS: What are we up to?

THE REPORTER: Three.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Q I'm handing you, the witness, what is marked Rynearson 3 titled management report Heller Capital Group, LLC for the period ended July 31, 2022.

Sir, do you recognize that document?

And if it helps, the pages are numbered in the corner RL and then the numbers, if you jump to RL6, you will see your name, your typewritten name and digital signature that may or may not be yours.

Page 79

Do you see that?

A I do.

O Do you recognize this document?

A I'm not recalling it, honestly.

Q Is it possible that it is something you created?

A It is possible.

Q I'll direct your attention to RL16, your printed name and title and digital signature appears there as well.

Does that look like something that you would have affixed to this document?

A It is odd, Josh. I don't ever recall doing that.

Q Is it possible this document was created without your review?

A It is possible.

Q Do you know what this thing is?

A Sure. This would be a report right out of QuickBooks. It would be a report right out of QuickBooks.

Q This was a document that was created -documents like these, not this one in particular, documents like these, is that something that you create in the ordinary course

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of your duties at Heller Capital Group?

A Not often. I mean, we would create a management report but not very often. We just typically would print out the balance sheet and P&L straight out of QuickBooks.

- Q Any idea what this was created for?
- A That is what I -- this is very odd. This is something that was not done on a regular basis.

It is odd that it is January through July. It is super odd that I have my signature on the end there. I don't ever recall doing that.

- Q Is that your signature on Page 6?
- A Yes.
- Q But it is a digital version that could be applied by whoever had it?
  - A Correct.
- Q Was that signature available to others within Heller Capital?
  - A It could have been.
- Q Do you believe this document to be fraudulent?

MR. BOYLE: I'm going to object to the form of the question since that is a term of --

legal term of art.

Do you mean that specifically as a legal fraud or are you using it in the common vernacular?

MR. VOSS: I think my question goes to the witness whose name is on this several times doesn't recognize the document and I think in common parlance, let's set aside this, the evidence of a tort --

MR. BOYLE: That is fine.

## BY MR. VOSS:

Q Does this look fraudulent to you? Is it not something that you prepared that shouldn't be represented as something you prepared?

A So the financial presentation itself looks reasonable to me, like the information as it is presented.

- Q Have you ever seen another management report?
  - A From Heller Capital?
  - Q Correct.
  - A I'm sure I have.
  - Q Would your signature have been on those?
  - A It is really odd. I don't ever recall

putting my signature on financial statements.

It is really odd.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 81

Q I was hoping to direct you to some lines on this document but let's see if you are able to answer --

- A Okay.
- Q -- based on the set up there.

Again, I'm going to refer to the numbers in the corner. RL0004, which happens to be Page 4 of the 16-page document and I'm going to hold up mine so you can see where I am at here.

About halfway the down the page, there is something that say Paramount MGM fee. Do you see that?

- A Yes.
- Q And you see there is an amount there that says \$94,050?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What was that for?

A So that would have been the monthly fees, the monthly Heller Capital fees that would go out to the portfolio companies for the services that the Heller Capital Group employees provided.

Q What services did Heller Capital provide for Paramount?

Page 83

Page 82

- A Accounting, finance, HR, IT, legal. We would help them with legal.
- Q Let's go to RL0007 and I'm going to hold up mine so you can see it, the top third there is a line that says due from Paramount 37 -- \$375.86.

Do you see that?

- A I do.
- Q Any idea what that would be?
- A No.
- Q De minimus.

Let's jump to RL0011. RL0011.

And at this point in the document, we are pretty far past the header. So if you need to flip back to understand what you are looking at, that is fine.

- A Thank you.
- Q But my question concerns a box at the top bolded under total investment Paramount Management Group, LLC, and then there is a sum there of 73.3 million dollars and some particulars.

Do you see that?

- A I do.
- Q What does that sum represent? What is a

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 84

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

total investment in Paramount?

A So that would represent what Daryl --Daryl would fair market value his investment portfolio because these were all privately held investments.

He would fair market value his investment portfolio. So that adjustment would represent the amount above the capital invested.

- Q Is the representation here that as of July 31, 2022, Paramount was worth 73.3 million dollars?
  - A Yeah.
- Q Again, consult the report as much as you need.
- A Yeah. Sure. Give me one sec. It is not tying exactly. It is close, Josh.

What I'm looking at is on Page RL0013. There is a fair market adjustment there of 203 million dollars.

Q I see that.

A So I would have expected that 203 million to tie into on Page RL0012 to tie into that 207 million that is at the top there. It is off like four million bucks.

O What does that tell you?

Page 85 A That there is a reconciliation somewhere that needs to occur.

Q That suggests to you that you didn't, in fact, create this document that has an obvious error?

A It is possible, yes.

Q Let's toggle back to 11.

What is the basis for that fair market value? How is that calculated?

A You would have to talk to Daryl Heller. He is solely responsible for determining the fair market value.

Q I see.

How did he communicate that information -- well, I want to say to you because your name is on it.

How is that information communicated -well, in general, was that information communicated to you?

- A It would have been.
- Q How did he communicate that to you?

A We would have had a Teams call and we would have just went through the entities and he would just rattle off what he thought the fair market value was of each entity.

Q So just straight gave you a number?

A Correct.

O It wasn't a worksheet or an Excel or anything to document it?

A Not to me.

Q Okay. Would somebody else within Heller Capital?

A No.

Q Somebody else anywhere?

Q Do you have any idea what his methodology was?

A Not specifically. I could surmise or take a guess.

Q I will take an educated guess here.

A He would have looked at the operating cash flow of the business and put a multiple on it.

O I see.

I would ask you to look at RL0013. Again, I'm going to hold up mine so you can see. It is three lines down there, it says N/P Paramount/pledges and when we carry that over, it is \$34,945,085.48.

Do you see that?

Page 87

A I do.

Q What does that represent?

A What I believe that represented was the amount that was above the distribution from the 83 percent.

Q Is it your understanding that that sum 34.9 million with the additional numbers that are reflected in this document was a debt owed by Heller Capital Group to Paramount?

A Correct.

Q Do you have any reason to believe that that had been paid off?

A I know that when I left I believe it was down to like 11 million dollars.

Q Let's jump ahead to 14. And again, I'm going to hold up mine so you can see it. Maybe about six lines down there is a line called Expense Reimb due from related entities: Due from Paramount \$4,145.03.

Any idea what that is?

Q Okay. We will call that one de minimus.

Let's jump ahead to RL0015 and ask you about two lines on this one. Again, I will hold mine up so I can direct your attention, about

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

half down N/P Portfolio Company/Personal: N/P Paramount/pledges minus 500,000.
What is that 500,000 there?

A Let me see what that is in. That is in -- I had a comparative balance sheet. I don't know without seeing additional balance sheet periods.

Q Let me ask you this question.

A It is related to the 34 million, I would say.

Q Is this 500,000 a debt owed by Heller Capital Group to Paramount Management Group?

A What that would represent, Josh, is the change in that 34 million dollars on this period that is reported. So the period reported here is -- it is probably January 1st to July 31st, 2022.

Q I see.

A And so you are in a statement of cash flows. So what that is -- it is taking the change between January 1st and the balance sheet of July 31st of 2022.

That 500,000 represents the change in that 34 million. So in seven months, that 34 million changed by \$500,000.

Q Changed down or changed up?

A That is a good question.

Q Did it -- actually, let me ask a question so the answer will make perfect sense to me.

Did the debt increase by 500,000 or decrease by 500,000?

A I would need to see the 12/31/21 balance sheet to answer that question.

Q That we can get to. So we will stick a pin in that pending review of that document.

And then another entry on that same page towards the bottom, second from the bottom, investments in portfolio companies: Investment Paramount Management Group, LLC: Tax balance-Paramount 3.85 million.

Do you see that line?

A I do.

Q What does that represent?

A That again, if I got that 12/31 balance sheet, that will help me with the 12/31/21. That is the distribution that was provided out of Paramount related to Heller Capital's 83 percent ownership.

O So we will see --

A The investment should go down. I should see the investment should be going down on this balance sheet here.

Q So that is money that Heller Capital received from Paramount?

A That is what I believe it is, yes.

Q Okay. And that would have been, as you described it, not an additional debt but a distribution?

A Yes.

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 89

Q Okay. We are done with that one.

I will hand that to you so I don't get confused. I'm handing the witness what has been marked Exhibit 4, title management report Heller Capital Group, LLC for the period ended December 31, 2021.

Mr. Rynearson, do you recognize this document? Same as before, I'll direct your attention to -- I'm using the Bates now RL0022. You see your printed name and digital signature?

A I do.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Q Same thing on RL0032, your name and

Page 91

printed signature.

Do you recognize this document?

A I don't recall specifically this document.

Q Do you think you created this?

A I could have.

Q Do you think you reviewed it?

A I don't recall. It is odd that, again, I'm signing off on the document. It is very odd.

Q Why is that odd?

A Because it is just -- it wasn't a standard practice.

Q Wasn't a standard practice at Heller practice or a standard accounting practice or both?

A It wasn't a standard practice for me to issue management reports and put my signature on the report at the end of it.

Q And I should ask this about the last document; but if we look at Page 1, RL00172 says prepared by Heller Capital Group portfolio company.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 92 Q What does that mean? What are Heller Capital Group portfolio companies?

A That would be all of the entities listed in here where there is an investment.

- Q Actually, can I get you to look at 3 again and then cover of this one and the cover of each?
  - A Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q You see 3 was prepared at least on its face August 20, 2022 and 4 was prepared at least on its face July 12th, 2022.

Do you see that?

- A I do.
- O Does that strike you as unusual you would have prepared these reports essentially one month apart?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Why?

A I don't know. It is -- I'm trying to think the date of the report dated August 22nd, 2022 would make sense based on the balance sheet of July 31st, 2022 that would make perfect sense to me that is three weeks after closing the books down. That would be the perfect time to create that report.

Page 93 This one would have been done in more of the February/March timeframe. Again, this was not a standard practice to create a management report to report the financials.

We would have printed just standard balance sheet and P&L right out of QuickBooks. This is a CAN report that is in QuickBooks. It is like a CAN report, management reports, it is like a special presentation, if you will. That is what -- that wasn't the standard practice.

O I see.

Is it possible that you didn't create either Exhibit 3 or 4?

- A It is possible.
- Q One more thing about the cover of each and then we will get into the substance.

In the corner, it says for management use only.

Do you see that on both of those?

- A Yes.
- Q Any idea what that indicates?
- A Standard stamp coming off of the OuickBooks software.
- Q I'll direct you to RL0020 and I'll help you by holding mine up.

MR. BOYLE: Are you on Exhibit 3 or 4? MR. VOSS: Thank you, Counsel. I'm on

4. We are done with 3 unless we reconcile. BY MS. VOSS:

- Q For now let's focus on 4, RL0020, fourth of the way down Paramount MGM fee 114,000. Do you see that?
  - A I do.
  - Q And that is a -- what is that?
- A Same -- same as the previous period. It would be for Heller Capital Group Services to the portfolio company.
- Q Any idea why that is more than the management services fee in the last report that we just looked at?
- A Well, this is a year. So the one we are looking at right now is for an entire year period. The one we were looking at previously was for seven months.

O Fair.

Okay. Let's go to RL0023. In fact, let's skip that. I'm not interested in that.

So let's go to RL0026. I'll hold mine up again so you can find it. But I'm looking at the second from bottom kind of grouping there.

Page 95

Specifically, the bolded line that says total investment, Paramount Management Group, LLC and there is a sum there of \$35,201,490.

Do you see that?

- A Yes.
- Q What does that represent?
- A That would be the fair market value adjustment again.
- Q So as of this report, the company according to this report was worth 35 million?
  - A Yes.
- Q And on the previous report Exhibit 3, it was worth 73 million?
  - A Yes.
- Q And I'm talking about Line RL0011 in the previous report.

Do you have any idea why the value of the company went up almost 40 million dollars?

- A I don't.
- Q Do you recall talking to Mr. Heller
- A No, because I didn't pay much attention to the fair market values because those adjustments weren't cash flow adjustments.
  - O Do you think either of those fair market

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

evaluations are accurate?

- A I couldn't speak to it.
- Q Let's jump to RL0028.

Did we staple these out of order?

And I'm interested in the line about the third of the way from the bottom bolded total N/P portfolio companies personal. I'm interested in the line just above that. I apologize.

N/P Paramount/pledges the summary reflected there is 35 million -- we will say -- .4 but do you see the sum there?

- A Yep.
- Q What does that represent?
- A That represents the distributions above the 83 percent.
- Q So as of this report, that is money that Paramount owed to -- excuse me.

As of this report, that sum was the amount that Heller Capital owed to Paramount?

- A Correct. Yep.
- Q Okay. I will direct your attention to RL0031.
  - A 31? Okay.
  - Q And the text gets small and I'll hold

mine up so you can see where I'm indicating, a line about a third of the way down, it says N/P portfolio companies/personal N/P Paramount/pledges.

- A Yes.
- Q End the summary reflected there -- if I've carried my pen across correctly -- is 1.2 million dollars in change?
  - A I see that.
  - Q What does that represent?

A That represents the change when this reporting period -- so the note would have went up -- let me make sure I'm getting this right. I want to make sure. Here it is.

Okay. So let's go back. I'm going to answer your question. Let's walk through this. Bear with me.

So you see -- you asked me the question previously on RL0015 what that 500,000 represented?

Q Yes, sir.

A And I told you that was the change between 12/31/21 and July 31st of 2022. So let's go -- I want to look at the note as it existed 12/31/21. You asked me that question.

Q And I think that is on RL0028 --

Page 98

Page 99

- A Thank you.
- A Thank you.
- Q -- if that helps.
- A Yes. Okay. Good. So it is 34.4 million there.
  - Q In -- you are looking at exhibit --
  - A RL0028.
  - O Yes.

Page 96

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A It is 34 million.
- Q No. I believe it says 35 million.
- A 34.5 million. Thank you. 35.4 million.
- Q Okay.
- A And if we go back to in July of 2022 and look at that same number that was on RL0013, it was 34.9. So it went up \$550,000.
  - Q The it being what?
- A I'm sorry. The 34 -- I'm sorry -- 35.445 --

MR. BOYLE: Describe what it is. THE WITNESS: It is the -- it is the note payable Paramount/pledges on RL0028. BY MR. VOSS:

Q Yep.

A It was 35.4 and in the previous report, which is on RL0013, the note payable

Paramount/pledges is 34.9.

Q So from Report 4 to Report 3, the note went down and how does the sum on Page RL31 relate to that?

A So in -- if we look at -- you are right. It went down. So that 500,000 question that you asked me, that was on RL0015. You said what does that 500,000 represent. It was a note payable portfolio Paramount/pledges.

Q Yes, sir.

A That is the 500,000. That note went down. That 500,000. That 500,000 represents a payment that was made back from Heller Capital to Paramount.

Q Okay. So we got that one tied up. How about RL31, what does the 1.2 million there represent?

A So that is positive. So that is going to represent 1.2 million that came in. If we would get the beginning balance sheet and get December 31st, 2020, you would see the note balance go up.

Q It would go up?

A It would go up by RL0031 the 1 million 260.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 100 Q But whatever this number represents, an increase or a decrease, in this case it is an increase, it is rolled into RL28? A You got it. 5 Q Perfect. 6 Last question on this document RL32, once again hold mine up so you can see it. There is two Paramount-related entities on Line 9 3 and 4. 10 I'm going to skip to the end FMB ADJ and the end of the next one is tax balance. Let's 11 12 start with FMB adjustment, it looks like minus 13 32 million -- minus 32.3 million and change. 14 Do you see that? 15 A I do. 16 Q What does that represent? 17 A That is going to represent the change in the fair market value that was on page --18 19 Q 26? 20 A Correct. 21 O So --22 A And that is the change, Josh, between 12/31/2020 and 12/31/21. Q So in this reporting period, the fair 24 25 market value of Paramount went down by 32 Page 101 1 million dollars? 2 A Let me see. Just let me get my bearings. I don't know if it went up or went down. 5 I'm sorry. I just got to -- yes, I 6 believe it went up. That is what it means. It went up. Q The value of the company went up or went down by that sum? 10 A I believe it went up. 11 O Okay. Next line down tax balance 2.9 million, what does that represent? 13 A It would be tied back to that same 14 section in the balance sheet. 15 O Which means? 16 A It would be tied back to there is a tax 17 adjustment. 18 Q So that is money that Heller Capital 19 received from Paramount? 20 A I don't recall what that tax adjustment 21 22 Q Is it maybe more generally, the money

into Heller Capital or out of Heller Capital?

MR. VOSS: Can we -- is it okay if we

A I don't recall what that represents.

23

24

25

take a break right here? I'm going to need the computer next for the spreadsheets that print out rather small. Can we go off the record? MR. BOYLE: Sure.

(Break.)

MR. VOSS: Let's mark this one Exhibit 5.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Q I'm handing the witness what I will admit is a very small printed spreadsheet that we displayed on screen.

I represent to the witness that I received under a subpoena from -- to Dana Schlicker a spreadsheet in its native format which we have displayed on screen that you are holding on your hand titled HC NP to PMG Detail 1.

Sir, the spreadsheet in your hand is a printout of what is on screen. Is that a spreadsheet you have seen before?

A I can't speak to the exact spreadsheet, but I understand what it is representing.

Q What does this spreadsheet represent to you?

Page 103

- A It represents the balance that you were asking me about previously on the balance sheets of the Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4.
- Q So this would be a balance that Heller Capital owes to Paramount?
  - A Correct.
- Q And again, it is small on your document; but I see there is a subtotal at Line 101 and I will get that on screen. Of course, the headers aren't carrying through.
  - A That is all right.
- Q This is as fancy as I can do in a deposition.

So there in Column J on the screen Line 101, same in the printed document that we are using as our exhibit, do you see a sum there as 7.4 million dollars and change?

- Q And its description, I believe, is total for N/P Paramount/pledges.

Do you see that?

- A I do.
- Q What does that sum represent to you?
- A This should be analogous to RL0013 in Exhibit 3 or it was a 34.9 million. So that --

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com **Everest Court Reporting LLC** 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 25-11354-JNP Deposition of Barry Rynearson Page 104 so the 34.9 million, the detail behind it would be in the spreadsheet. This is dated July 31st, 2022. Let's see if we go to July 31st, 2022. Q Sure. Right tracking my outline. Which line are you looking for? A Column B. Let's see if we can find July 31st of 2022. Q I think the closest we got is an entry -- we are looking at Lines 39 and 40 for purposes of the written record in Exhibit 5 the spreadsheet? A So now, let's look at Exhibit 4 and let's go to --Q Sir, are you looking at -- which report --A I'm sorry. Exhibit 4, the period ending December 31st, 2021. I shifted gears because we couldn't find that balance sheet date. Q Yes, sir. I'm with you. A Hold on a second. So then look at RL0028. Q Okay. A And you will see 35,445,085.

Q And that matches exactly lines -- well, 39 and 40 but 39 is the last entity for that --

Page 105 the reporting period reflected in the management report?

A Correct.

Q So this spreadsheet again returning to Exhibit 5 represents -- what does it represent to you?

A It represents the detail behind the balance that we are looking at. So this is a balance at a point in time in Exhibit 3 and 4. This would be the detail that would support the balance.

Q Okay. And then I want to go back to -we are going to go to Line 102. There is a descriptor there loan payable Box 1 TVT LendSpark.

What are those things? What is this box describing, this description here in 102 Column A?

A I don't know.

Q Do you know what TVT is?

A I do not.

Q How about LendSpark?

A I don't -- this one looks odd to me.

Q Okay. Why does it look odd?

A Because this should flow. The balances

should flow. So you see in Column K. That is the cumulative balance.

Q Um-hum.

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A And you will see the transactional dates are coming through in Column J. So every time you have a transactional date in Column J it is taking the cumulative balance in Column K.

Q Um-hum.

A So, for example, K-87 is 9 million 123, 40,000 goes out and 9 million 123 goes down 40,000 to be 9 million 83.

Q It makes sense. Yes, sir.

A Now, go down to where you pointed out Row 102, you have this transactional description but nothing there and the balance goes from 7 million .4 to 7,027,000.

Q Let's maybe jump to row -- these are all in the printed documents. I think these are easier to look on the screen here?

So it reaches a total in Column J, Row 127 of 2.7 million and I think would you agree with me that that 2.7 in Line 127 appears to be added to the sum total in Line 101? I can scroll back up on screen.

A Hold on. You might have just nailed it.

Page 107

Page: 29 (104 - 107)

Yeah. That is -- yeah. Go back up there.

Q I'm returning to Row 101, Line J.

A Yeah. Now, go back to where you were. Yes. They are added together. So the 2.7 is added to that 7.4. That is up above.

Q Yes.

Based on your experience with Heller Capital, Heller Capital's account, accounting practices, do you believe Row 129 the total there is a sum owed from Heller Capital to Paramount?

A Yes.

Q I'm done with that one. We will mark this one as 6. I'm handing you, the witness, Exhibit 6.

This one is insanely small printed out. That is why we have the screen. I will represent to you this is a spreadsheet provided to me under subpoena to Dana Schlicker in native format and Excel native format. Title of which was PMG Investment Asset on HCBS.

And for the benefit of the record, we have the same document displayed on screen that we have printed in 6. It is quite small to read, so we displayed it for the witness.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

screen.

Mr. Rynearson, do you recognize this spreadsheet?

A I do not, but it looks like account detail again. It is a -- it is a transactional report that supports an account.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Maybe I will make this one smaller so you can see all of it. Hopefully, that doesn't make it worse.
  - A That makes it helpful.
- Q What generally does this look like to you?

A It is the support for -- it is the support for investment. So in Row 7, it says investment Paramount Management Group.

So it is the support for that balance. It is the transactional detail to support the balance.

Q What I'm trying to figure out, sir, does this reflect monies flowing from Paramount to Heller Capital or from Heller Capital to Paramount?

A This looks like -- these are incoming
Page 109

wires so they are coming into Heller Capital.

Q And again, does this to you look like it is money for the benefit of Paramount or -- I guess I'm trying to understand, what is investments in portfolio companies.

I'm looking at Column H in the first segment of this document. I'm trying to understand what I'm seeing here in real layman's terms.

A Understood. So this would be -- this would be -- these would be payments made that are distributions.

So this -- these are -- these are monies made -- or funds made related to Heller Capital Group 80 percent management in Paramount Management Group and that is why they are being applied against the investment.

So it would be debit cash because we received the cash and you are crediting the investment to bring the investment down.

- Q What does that mean, the investment?
- A The investment in -- the investment, go back to the left so you can see columns -- right there, investment in Paramount Management Group.

So that is the -- that is the -- that is

the balance sheet account investment in

Paramount Management Group. So that when the
cash came in, you can see it is a deposit in
Column C, you can see they are deposits.

So they -- the account would have debited cash and they would credit that investment because it is the funds are related to the ownership of Paramount Management Group.

- Q So these are sort of, I guess, I'm really still struggling, these are distributions --
  - A Correct.
- Q -- that Heller Capital is taking out of Paramount --
  - A That is correct.
  - Q -- in the ownership stake?
  - A A hundred percent. Correct.
  - Q I see.

Okay. So not loans?

- A Not loans.
- Q You don't happen to know anything about the Cash Depot first iso transaction reflected on 30 and 31, do you?
  - A No. Yeah, no.
  - Q Okay. I think we are done with the

Page 111

Page: 30 (108 - 111)

I'm going to hand you the next exhibit, which is 7. This is a document we received in discovery in its native format, which is not reflected here but the title of this document is Raw Glorious transactions.

Sir, looking at this spreadsheet, does this -- what does this look like to you?

A This looks like this would have been produced out of Paramount's book and the reason why I say that is because it is a note receivable. So Paramount would have had a note receivable from Raw Ventures.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Q I believe earlier today we had talked about a sum of approximately 21 million Glorious owed to Raw.

Am I recalling that correctly?

- A You are.
- Q And we see in Line 56, Column F a sum of just over 20 million dollars.

Do you see that?

A I do.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Do you know a person or persons on that team?

- A Yeah. David Prill would be the CFO.
- Q Anybody else?
- A Danny Frank would have been the controller.
- Q And when you say they were -- any others?
  - A Maybe staff members but I don't know.
- Q Glorious, do you know what entities specifically the accountants worked out of?

- Q I want to make sure I have close to what Raw owes Paramount.
  - A Got you.
- Q I'm trying to figure out who I direct the subpoena to, and it sounds like these folks, David Prill and Danny Frank would have it in your view, right?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Anybody else that might have it?
  - A They would have it.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 117

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q And you believe they work for an entity that you can't identify as you sit here right now?

A So with all Daryl's, you know, legal issues, they stopped doing the accounting work for Daryl's entities.

So I believe that ended the end of last year '24. I believe they would be able to furnish you the balance as it existed in '24 but I don't believe there were any transactions that occurred after.

Q Okay. Let me see if I want to do this one.

MR. BOYLE: You had directed several requests of us and we are happy to comply with those.

We could ask that you follow up with a letter afterwards so we know specifically what we are looking for.

MR. VOSS: Will do. Calls for production, so I can find them quickly when I get my transcript.

A smarter man would have sent this to the staff to make it big, but I did not. This is the last exhibit.

I'm handing the witness Exhibit 8, which I will represent to you is a document we received in discovery in its native format called 2024 PMG financial statements. BY MR. VOSS:

Q And if I had been really doing my job, I would have numbered the pages. So I didn't. On the seventh page in very small font -- I apologize, Row 37?

A What does your seventh page look like?

Q Second to the last. I should have numbered them. I apologize. Row 37, very small it says notes receivable Raw Glorious, will carry out that says 2000466867.

Do you see that very tiny entity there?

A I honestly can't see it.

(Rynearson Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. VOSS:

Q I'll represent to you that that is what it says and the document will speak for itself.

A Fair enough.

Q Can we agree that it matches the exhibit in 7 the same sum?

A Okay. Good.

MR. BOYLE: I got new glasses and I  $^{\tiny \mbox{Page }118}$  can't see it.

MR. VOSS: I knew the last ones are small and this one I added after I directed staff what --

THE WITNESS: What is the description, Josh? Is it the thing highlighted in red? BY MR. VOSS:

Q No. It is Line -- what did I just say -- Line 37?

A So what is the description of Line 37.

Q It says notes receivable-Raw, all caps parentheses, Glorious, end parentheses; and when you carry it over, it is the same sum as what we just looked at on the spreadsheet you can actually read.

A Okay.

Q I'll direct your attention to Page 1.

A Yeah.

Q This document purports to be as we understand it a balance sheet for Paramount as of July 31, 2024.

What I was simply hoping to show with that document is that balance we see on Exhibit 7 carried forward through at least July at the

same amount.

A And I'm assuming, Josh, that this sheet that we can't see the numbers totals up to the 94,000 that is on Page 1 of Exhibit 8 -- not 94,000 -- 94 million.

Q I don't know if this has a sum total; and again, this is not a document we created. So we are at the mercy of the custodian.

A I can help you out here. I never seen these documents. I don't know what they are or who prepared them.

Q We will decide if we need to get this on screen. I just have one more question.

A Okay.

Q Do you know -- it is on here. It doesn't matter if you read it. If you see an entry HCG Orrstown Bank Pledge Account, any idea what that would be?

A No.

Q HCG Orrstown Bank Pledge Account No. 2, any idea?

A No.

Q Same description, No. 3, any idea?

A No.

Q How about HCG Portfolio M Pledge

Dej	position of Barry Rynearson Exhibit B to Certifica	tiØi
1	Page 120	1
2	Account?	2
3	A No.	3
4	Q HCG Portfolio N Pledge Account, that is	4
5	N as in Nancy, the last time I said M as in	5
6	Mary. This time I said N as in Nancy.	6
7	Any idea what that is?	7
8	A No.	8
9	Q Okay. We don't need to make this	9
10	document bigger. We are fine.	10
11	All right. I think we can really quick	11
12	go through these.	12
13	Let's see if you even know the answers	13
14	to these.	14
15	Do you know what banks Paramount used?	15
16	A No.	16
17	Q Do you know if it had any brokerage	17
18	accounts?	18
19	A I do not.	19
20	Q Safe deposit boxes?	20
21	A No.	21
22	Q Actual safes?	22
23	A No.	23
24	Q Do you know any cars it owned?	24
25	A No.	25
	Q Computers?	
1	A I mean, they had computers.	1
2	Q But do you know specifically where they	2
3	are?	3
4	A No.	4
5	Q I think we went through the Raw	5
6	receivable and the Heller Capital intercoming	6
7	balance.	7
8	Any other large receivables at Paramount	8
9	that you are aware of?	9
10	A No.	10
11	Q Okay. Any loans it was owed?	11
12	A No.	12
13	Q Other than the ones we have discussed?	13
14	A Right.	14
15 16	Q Any debts owed to it other the ones we	16
17	discussed?	17
18	A No.	18
19	Q Any idea who it had insurance through	19
20	for general liability insurance?	20
21	A This is Paramount?	21
22	Q Yes.	22
23	A No.	23
23	Q All of these questions are about	24
25	Paramount.	25
	A Okay.	ري

Page 122 Q Any physical assets that you are aware of? Real property I mean.

A No.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with the beach house in New Jersey?

A Yes.

Q Do you know how that was funded?

A Paramount. It was -- it was a debt transaction and Paramount.

Q What does that mean, debt transaction?

A A bank loan.

Q What do you mean by that?

A Dennis and Randall took out a loan to purchase the beach house for the difference between the purchase price and the amount that they -- so they funded cash from Paramount, is what I recall, to purchase the beach house and then the difference was a bank loan.

Q Did Paramount pay for that beach house?

A I believe -- I believe it was -- I believe the money came into Daryl's. I believe the way it works it was funded out of Paramount into Heller Capital, Heller Capital to Daryl, and then Daryl paid for it out of his own account. I believe it was an individual

purchase.

Q Through all of that, did Daryl and Randall pay for the house ultimately or did Paramount?

A The funds would have originated out of Paramount, from what I recall.

Q Fair enough.

But when it all nets out, was Paramount paying for it or did they somehow pay for it individually?

A I'm trying to answer your question. The funds would have originated out of Paramount into Daryl's personal account and Daryl would have paid for the beach house out of his personal account.

Q What was the basis for the payment from Paramount to Daryl?

A Distribution.

O Is it a distribution he was entitled to?

A I don't know.

Q What I'm trying to get to -- I asked it a couple of different ways -- my basic point is, did Paramount pay for that house or did Randall and Daryl pay for that house?

A The funds would have originated -- I'm

Page 123

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 125

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 124 not trying to be evasive. I'm trying to answer your question.

The funds would have originated out of Paramount, whatever it was. Two million dollars originated out of Paramount.

Those funds would have went into Daryl's bank account as an individual and then Daryl would have funded the purchase price out of his account.

- Q And you have personal knowledge of the money coming out of Paramount to purchase this beach house?
  - A That is what I recall.
- Q Okay. Would in your view the proceeds of that house belong to Paramount?

MR. BOYLE: I'm going to object again. Are you asking for a legal conclusion or just --

MR. VOSS: I'm asking for his accounting opinion on the transaction.

THE WITNESS: So from an accounting perspective, if the distributions were appropriate, then no, because the money would have been funded out and would have been a distribution and those funds would have been Daryl's personally.

BY MR. VOSS:

Q And you would have expected if their distribution -- there would have been a reduction of the distribution for the year?

A It would have been included as a distribution, right. So Paramount would have recorded a distribution and Heller Capital would have had the incoming and then Heller Capital would have sent it to Daryl.

O I see.

Returning to Paramount, are you aware of any physical cash that they have?

- A No.
- Q I'm talking about U.S. currency.
- A No.
- Q Fine art of any kind?
- A No.
- Q Equipment of value of over 10,000?
- A No.

MR. BOYLE: Are you saying no or you

THE WITNESS: No. I don't know. I'm not aware and no are synonymous. I'm not aware.

MR. VOSS: Thank you, Counsel.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Thank you.

BY MR. BOYLE:

Q Are you familiar with TR-31?

A No.

Q You earlier talked about Daryl told you at some point in 2024 about a deal to sell Paramount; is that right?

A Correct.

Q And you have no idea who the deal partner was?

A I don't.

Q Do you know why that deal did not come together?

A I do not.

Q Do you think there was actually a deal partner?

A I don't know.

Q Did Mr. Heller ever talk to you about an exit plan for his companies?

Α Yes.

O When was that discussion?

A It was -- it was a discussion that Daryl -- that was always a strategic goal with everything Daryl was doing, to always have an exit out.

Q And what did he say to you?

Page 127

Page: 34 (124 - 127)

A Start the companies, get them cash flowing, and at some point have an exit.

Q That was the end game to get out of these companies?

A Yes.

Q Are you familiar with flights of -flights on a private jet with bags of cash?

A No.

Q Did Paramount pay any money to Daryl Heller outside of distributions?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q When was the last time you talked to Mr. Heller?

A It would have been before Thanksgiving of 2024.

O What was that discussion about?

A It would have been something related to Glorious.

Q What do you recall the substance of that conversation being?

A In my role as a consultant with Glorious, it was contentious with what was going on with Daryl.

So I would be the one that was elected to reach out to Daryl and communicate with

Del	Dosition of Darry Rynearson Exhibit D to Certifica
1	Page 128
2	Daryl.
3	So it would have been something related
4	to Glorious that would have been the impetus of
5	the conversation.
6	Q Did you at any point discuss with him
7	losses by Paramount?
8	A No.
9	Q Did you ever discuss with him losses by
10	Heller Capital?  A No.
11	
12	Q Did you ever discuss with him beyond the
13	conversation we already went through whether this is a fraud or a Ponzi?
14	A No.
15	
16	Q Are you familiar generally with the
17	Prestige Investment Group?  A Yes.
18	Q What was your understanding of the
19	relationship between Prestige Investment Group
20	and Paramount?
21	A My understanding was that Prestige would
22	purchase the assets, the ATMs, and Paramount
23	would manage them.
24	Q And was it your understanding that
25	Prestige was purchasing them through various
_	Page 129
1	funds?
2	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Do you know where the money went
5	to first when money came into well, scratch
6	that.
7	Did you ever see bills of sale for ATM sales?
8	A I don't believe so.
9	Q Okay. Do you know how much money the
10	funds invested in Paramount?
11	A I don't.
12	Q Would it shock you that it is over 700
13	million dollars?
14	A Significantly shock me.
15	Q Why is that shocking to you?
16	A I just had no idea it was that much
17	money.
18	Q Do you have any idea where the unpaid
19	investments are today?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you have any guesses?
22	A Getting judgment for 138 million. 138
23	million?
24	MR. BOYLE: No. He is asking where they
	$\mathcal{E}$

THE WITNESS: Where what is?
MR. BOYLE: Where is the money?
THE WITNESS: Oh, I don't know.
BY MR. VOSS:

- Q Are you familiar with a group of entities called Blackford Funds?
  - A Yes.

2

3

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q What is your understanding of that entities?
- A So the Blackford Funds, they were ATM Funds that were originally Daryl Heller and Richard Welkowitz.

And then Richard passed in December of 2018 and Daryl ended up purchasing the -- Richard's ownership percentage of those funds.

So that is -- that is my knowledge of those -- of those funds. So they were 100 percent owned by Heller Capital. They were 100 percent owned by Heller Capital at that time.

- Q Do you know how many ATMs were inside the Blackford Funds?
  - A I don't.
  - Q Any idea where they are?
  - A I don't.
  - Q Any reason to believe those ATMs are in

Page 131

any way separate from the ATMs that Paramount managed?

- A Paramount -- my understanding was that Paramount did manage those ATMs.
- Q Do you have any idea the scale of the ATMs in the Blackford Funds?
  - A I don't.
- Q Did you ever at some point in time see or hear about placeholder or PH serial numbers? Did you have a discussion with anybody about that?
  - A I did not.
- Q Okay. Are you familiar with Cash Ventures?
  - A No.
- Q Because I'm probably definitely going to forget.
- A I think I misspoke. What was the question Cash --
  - Q Are you familiar with Cash Ventures?
  - A I have heard of Cash Ventures.
- Q What is your understanding of Cash Ventures?
- A Very similar to Blackford, the Blackford Funds. So those were owned by Daryl Heller and

Page 132 Rick Haller. 2 Q Where did they come from? 3 A Same setup. They were purchasing the ATMs and Paramount was managing them. 5 Q Any idea of the scale of ATMs? 6 A I don't. Q This was marked in a previous deposition and I think we will -- I think we will refer to 9 it as its prior designation. This is called 10 Leaman Deposition Exhibit No. 7. What I will represent to you is this is -- if you read the pages, 1 goes with 2, 2 goes with 3 and 3 goes with 4. See, I managed 14 to stick with upside down. What I will represent to you, sir, this is -- we received a number of P&Ls in discovery in this case and merged them into a consolidated document, which is this document marked Leaman 19 Exhibit 7. 20 So earlier today, we had talked about at some point in time Daryl put a P&L in front of you. Obviously, it wasn't this P&L. I created it. 24 But did the P&L he put in front of you look similar to this? 25 Page 133 1 A I don't recall. 2 Q Okay. Well, when he talked -- as I recall, you said he talked to you about the operating revenue of Paramount was sufficient to cover their payments to the funds. 5 6 Did I -- did I describe your testimony correctly? Is that what he said? 8 A Operating income --9 Q Operating income --A -- versus revenue. Q And what is the difference between 12 operating income and operating revenue? A Operating revenue would be your top line and operating revenue would be the bottom line. Revenues is the very top. That is what comes in 16 to pay all of your expenses and then you have --MR. BOYLE: Revenue is gross. Income is the net. MR. VOSS: Appreciate that. THE WITNESS: Yep. Thank you. MR. BOYLE: Sorry. BY MR. VOSS: Q Let's try this way. So you see there is

September of 2019.

Do you see that --

A I do.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O -- 3.3?

And then we have a segment called cost of goods sold and expenses. And if you continue onto Page 2, we get adjusted net operating income right there --

A Yep. I see that.

O -- 99,987.

Would you agree with me that that 99,987 is a representation of the net revenue off of ATM's performance in this month?

A This is -- let me see. So that looks to me -- again, I'm not familiar with this presentation if you are asking for my opinion of what it represents.

Q Yes, sir.

A It looks like that net operating income, the adjusted nonoperating income looks like it relates to the ATM business, the fees and so forth collected from the ATMs.

And then down below -- so there is your net operating income. That is what Daryl would have showed me, the net operating income from

Page 135 the business. That is what he would have showed me was sufficient to make the payment to the Prestige investors.

Q Are you talking about the adjusted or the net operating income that is just --

A I don't recall there being an adjusted number, but it was net operating income.

Q Okay. So for the month that we are talking about here, September of 2019, you are talking about the 242,310.

A Correct.

O \$242,310.

A Correct.

Q Okay?

A And then below, I don't know what the consulting agreement is or any of that. I don't know what that is.

It must relate to the ATMs because that is the section it is tied to. And then down below it looks like these are related to ATM sales. So I don't know if they sold machines. I don't know what it represents.

Q Okay. And you may recall, I asked you about PE debt payments. And if you look down to the other expenses, it is one, two, three, four

Page: 36 (132 - 135)

Page 134

11 12 13

21 22 23

10 11

13 14 15

17 18 19

20 21 22

23

24 a line called total operating revenue in a box called operating revenue and let's just look at

1	from the bottom.	1	Page 138
2		2	A It comes down to a positive number
3	Do you see that?	3	though. When you look, it is 13 million
4	A I do.		positive all the way down the bottom line, all
5	Q I'm circling it on mine.	5	the way at the bottom right.
	Do you have any idea what that is?		Q Yep. I agree with that.
6	A I do not.	6	But my question to you, sir, are the PE
7	Q Was PE debt payments on the P&L that	7	debt payments in September 2019 significantly
8	Daryl shared with you?	8	higher than the net operating income?
9	A I don't recall.	9	A So you are asking me if the 4.2 million
10	Q Were ATM sales on the sheet?	10	is higher than the 242,000?
11	A I don't recall.	11	Q Yes, sir.
12	Q You did see net operating income,	12	A Yes.
13	correct?	13	Q And frankly, as we look at these things
14	A I believe so. That is in my in my	14	but for ATM sales, they wouldn't be cash flow
15	it could have been something different. In my	15	positive.
16	mind, it was the cash flow. It was the	16	Do you agree with that?
17	operating income from the business.	17	A Yes.
18	Q Any idea what the scale of that number	18	Q Okay. And that none of that was
19	was in the document that Daryl shared with you	19	reflected on the spreadsheet that Daryl Heller
20	in April of 2024? Thousands? Millions?	20	shared with you?
21	Billions?	21	A I don't recall ATM sales being a
22	A It was millions.	22	component of the operating income.
23	Q Millions?	23	Q Are you aware of these will go quick.
24	A Yeah.	24	Are you aware of Paramount owning any
25	Q Tens of millions?	25	Crypto assets of any kind?
1	Page 137	1	Page 139
2	A Tens of millions.		A I don't know what they owned but there
3	Q So he showed you a net operating income	3	was a Crypto division or a Crypto segment
	showing tens of millions of dollars	4	with associated with Paramount.
4	A I believe so.		Q And is that PowerCoin?
5	Q from operations of the ATMs?	5	A Yeah, PowerCoin. They called it
6	A I believe so, yes.	6	PowerCoin.
7	Q And that number as it was shown to you	7	Q Margo?
8	and perhaps described by Mr. Heller was did	8	A There you go. Thank you. Margo.
9	you understand that number or did he scratch	9	Q I'm talking in the name of Paramount,
10	that.	10	Paramount Management Group, LLC, are you aware
11	Did he tell you that number was revenue	11	of any Bitcoin, Ethereum?
12	off of the machines and machines alone?	12	A I'm not aware.
13	A I don't recall exactly what how he	13	Q Same thing with Heller Capital, any
14	described it. How I interpreted it was it was	14	Crypto assets that it owned that you are aware
15	the revenue from the operations of the ATM	15	of?
16	machines.	16	A Not that I'm aware of.
17	Q Okay. But not ATM sales, for example?	17	Q How about Daryl Heller personally, any
18	A I don't recall anything about ATM sales.	18	Crypto currency that he owned that you are aware
19	I didn't realize that was part of their	19	of personally?
20	business. I didn't realize that was part of	20	A Not that I'm aware of.
21	their business.	21	Q Any Heller Capital entity other than
22	Q Okay. Well, you would agree with me	22	Margo or PowerCoin that owned Crypt assets?
23	that the PE debt payments, again, just focusing	23	A I kind of think there were a couple of
24	an this anamanth 12 million dallars is quita	24	different entities but I don't recell the names

24

on this one month, 4.2 million dollars, is quite

a bit larger than the net operating income?

24

different entities, but I don't recall the names

of them. I had no involvement with that.

1	Q Would it maybe be Bitstop?	1
2	A There you go. Bitstop.	2
3	Q Okay. Are you aware of any entities	3
4	within the Heller Capital universe that are	4
5	going concerns today?	5
6	A Yeah. Well, Glorious I know for a fact	6
7	is going concern.	7
8	Q Anything else?	8
9	A I don't have direct knowledge of whether	9
10	it is a going concern or not. Glorious I have	10
11	direct knowledge. It is under receivership.	11
12	Q And is that receivership to your	12
13	knowledge sending money to Heller Capital?	13
14	A No.	14
15	Q Okay. So that business is going concern	15
16	but it is not generating money for Heller	16
17	Capital?	17
18	A Correct.	18
19	Q Anything else that you know of that	19
20	could be generating money actually to Heller	20
21	Capital right now?	21
22	A No.	22
23	Q Okay. Any other Paramount assets that	23
24	you are aware of that we haven't discussed?	24
25	A No.	25
1	Q Are you aware of girlfriends of Daryl	1
2	Heller?	2
3	A No.	3
4	Q You are not aware of any gifts to	4
5	paramours or girlfriends that he gave?	5
6	A No.	6
7	Q Are you aware of any real estate he	7
8	owned abroad?	8
9	A Abroad? No.	9
10	Q How about Heller Capital? Did it own	10
11	any real estate abroad?	11
12	A No, not that I'm aware of.	12
13	Q In your estimation, who else should we	13
14	talk to that would know about the assets of	14
15	Paramount Management?	15
16	A Randall, Dennis, the other finance guy	16
17	his name was Brett Davis. On the Crypto side,	17
18	it was Austin Haller. He was an executive over	18
19	there.	19
	there.	- 1
20	Q Of course, Daryl Heller? Yes?	20
		20 21
20	Q Of course, Daryl Heller? Yes?	
20 21	<ul><li>Q Of course, Daryl Heller? Yes?</li><li>A Oh, definitely.</li><li>Q Okay. Any assets of Paramount that you think exist today?</li></ul>	21
20 21 22	<ul><li>Q Of course, Daryl Heller? Yes?</li><li>A Oh, definitely.</li><li>Q Okay. Any assets of Paramount that you</li></ul>	21

you wanted me to find assets through Paramount? Anything I didn't ask you about?

MR. BOYLE: I'm going to object to the form of the question but go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any assets that are related to Paramount. None. BY MR. VOSS:

Q Okay. All right. Two quick followups. Did you give anyone authority to sign your name on the documents we referred to at 3 and 4?

A No.

Q Did you give anyone in Heller Capital your authority in general to put your name on documents?

A No.

Q Okay. And then I'm remiss, I didn't do this at the beginning.

Can you just give me your general academic and professional background in a nutshell, CV kind of format to explain how you became qualified to be CFO?

A Sure. I went to the school of Kutztown University and graduated with degrees in accounting and marketing.

Page 143

I worked for KPMG and PricewaterhouseCoopers for approximately seven or eight years, left -- left public accounting, did finance roles for various entities, publishing, printing, went to work for a family office entrepreneur, spent 12 years with that individual in various roles, finance roles, operational roles, pretty much doing the exact same thing that I did when I went to work with Daryl, starting companies and building companies.

And then an attorney friend of mine introduced me to Daryl Heller and I started working for Daryl as a consultant in August of 2018.

MR. VOSS: Okay. Thank you, sir. I have no further questions.

> MR. BOYLE: Okay. We are finished. (The deposition concluded at 12:45 p.m.)

THE REPORTER: Do you want him to read

MR. BOYLE: He will read and sign.

THE REPORTER: And then do you need a copy of the transcript?

MR. BOYLE: I don't believe so.

1				
2			ERRATA SHEET	
3				
4				
5	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT				
2					
3	I, , do hereby				
4	certify that I have read the foregoing pages and				
5	that the same is a correct transcription of the				
6	answers given by me to the questions therein				
7	propounded, except for the corrections or				
8	changes in form or substance, if any, noted in				
9	the attached Errata Sheet.				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15	Date Signature				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings					
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately					
3	in the notes taken by me on the within					
4	proceedings and that this is a correct					
5	transcript of the same.					
6						
7						
8	Hillary Hazlett Walsh, Reporter					
9	Notary Public					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

## **WORD INDEX**

<\$>
\$242,310 135:12
\$34,945,085.48 86:24
\$35,201,490 95:3
\$375.86 83:6
\$4,145.03 87:19
\$500,000 88:25
\$550,000 98:15
\$94,050 82:16

<1> **1** 3:9 6:10, 11, 13, 17 32:15 66:19 69:18, *19, 20* 70:2 91:21 99:24 102:18 105:14 118:18 119:4 132:12 **1.2** 97:7 99:16, 19 **10,000** 125:18 **100** 10:9 54:7 55:8 61:11 65:19 76:7 77:7 130:17, 18 **101** 103:8, 15 106:23 107:2 **102** 3:13 105:13, 17 106:14 **108** 3:*14* **1099** 10:*11* **11** 85:7 87:*14* **111** 3:*15* **114,000** 94:6 **114/23** 3:22 **117** 3:16 **12** 143:6 **12/3/24** 67:21 **12/31** 89:*20* **12/31/2020** 100:*23* **12/31/2021** 3:*12* **12/31/2024** 66:*13* **12/31/21** 89:8, 21 97:23, 25 100:23 12/31/24 66:11 **12:45** 143:*19* **123** 106:9, 10 **127** 106:21, 22 **129** 107:9 **12th** 92:*11* 

**13** 138:2

1339 2:15 138 129:22 14 87:15 140 10:1 19:12, 18 29:16, 20 30:8, 24 16-page 82:9 17601 2:7 18 20:22 19 44:14, 21 19107 2:16 1st 88:16, 21

1st 88:16, 21 < 2 > **2** 3:10 6:24 68:3, 5, *6*, *14*, *15* 112:*17*, *20* 119:20 132:12 134:7 **2.7** 106:21, 22 107:4 **2.9** 101:*11* **20** 67:18 92:10 111:23 112:8, 9 113:7 **2000466867** 117:*14* **2018** 9:5, 16, 17, 20 14:14 25:20 130:14 143:15 **2019** 33:*15* 54:*5* 66:1 134:1 135:9 138:7 **2020** 13:*10* 54:*6* 64:25 99:21 **2021** 25:21 90:16 104:17 **2022** 59:*12* 64:*25* 78:19 84:10 88:17, 22 92:10, 11, 21, 22 97:23 98:13 104:3, 7 **2024** 3:16 9:6, 20 13:10 14:15 25:25 26:7 28:12 29:10 33:23, 25 34:1, 6 44:14 62:19 66:25 70:13 112:17 117:4 118:22 126:5 127:15 136:20 **2025** 1:10 **203** 84:18, 21 **207** 84:23 **21** 25:21 66:11 67:19 69:2, 3, 6, 8

71:10, 18, 25 74:2, 3,

4 111:18 112:6 113:6 **217-2400** 2:*17* 21-million-dollar 68:22 71:7 77:22 **22** 37:19 67:18 22ish 66:4 **22nd** 92:20 **23** 37:19 **24** 28:23 33:5 52:2, *3*, *5* 69:*4*, *5* 70:*8*, *9*, *25* 71:*15* 114:*8*, *12* 116:8, 9 **242.000** 138:*10* **242,310** 135:*10* **25** 7:20 30:16 59:10 74:23 75:5 76:4 77:9 **26** 100:19 **260** 99:25 **280** 1:13 2:6

<3>**3** 3:11 68:17 69:5 76:4 78:13, 17 92:5, 9 93:13 94:1, 3 95:12 99:2 100:9 103:3, 25 105:9 119:23 132:13 142:10 **3.3** 134:*4* **3.85** 89:16 **30** 30:16 48:25 110:23 **300** 2:6 **31** 78:19 84:10 90:16 96:24 110:23 118:22 **31st** 88:16, 22 92:22 97:23 99:21 104:2, 3, 7, 17 **32** 100:13, 25 **32.3** 100:*13* **34** 88:9, 14, 24 98:9, 17 **34.4** 98:*4* **34.5** 98:*11* **34.9** 87:7 98:15

99:*1* 103:*25* 104:*1* 

**35** 95:10 96:11 98:10 **35,445,085** 104:23 **35.4** 98:11, 24 **35.445** 98:18 **37** 83:5 117:9, 12 118:10, 11 **39** 104:9, 25

<4>
4 3:5, 12 76:4 82:9
90:14, 22 92:10
93:13 94:1, 3, 5
96:12 99:2 100:9
103:3 104:12, 16
105:9 106:16 132:13
142:11
4.2 137:24 138:9
40 95:18 104:9, 25
40,000 106:10, 11
40686 1:23
45 48:25

<5>
5 3:13 102:7, 8
104:10 105:5
50 30:19, 20 76:18
500 2:15
500,000 88:2, 3, 11,
23 89:6, 7 97:19
99:6, 8, 11, 12
556-1072 2:8
56 111:22 113:3

<6>
6 3:9, 14 80:14
107:14, 15, 24 108:6
60 30:13, 14
68 3:10

<7>
7 3:15 106:15
108:16 111:3, 14
117:24 118:25
132:10, 19
7,027,000 106:16
7.4 103:17 107:5
7/31/2022 3:11
70 75:16 76:14

700 129:12 717 2:8 73 95:13 73.3 83:21 84:10 77/12 3:20 77/25 3:21 771 2:17 78 3:11

<8>
8 3:16 117:1, 17
119:4
80 109:15
83 30:15 58:24 61:4
87:5 89:23 96:16
106:11

<9>
9 1:10 106:9, 10, 11
9:53 1:17
90 3:12 22:23
90th 22:21
94 119:5
94,000 119:4, 5
99 32:14
99,987 134:10, 11

< A >**a.m** 1:17 **Aaron** 14:19 16:14 ability 6:6 **able** 14:19 15:21 25:23 39:9, 21 41:15 54:13 56:20 68:25 82:3 116:8 **abroad** 141:8, 9, 11 academic 142:20 access 52:11, 14, 18 70:14 **Accordo** 32:15 account 18:20 19:7 36:15 49:13 107:8 108:3, 5 110:1, 5 119:17, 20 120:1, 3 122:25 123:13, 15 124:7, 9 accountant 15:24, 25 16:3, 6 112:3 accountants 113:25

accounting 15:7 16:5, 21 23:18 27:8, 11 83:1 91:15 107:8 113:14 116:5 124:18, 20 142:25 143:3 accounts 120:17 accurate 96:1 accurately 146:2 achieve 11:4 ACKNOWLEDGMEN T 145:1 acquisition 13:15 Action 1:2 active 48:7, 13 actual 69:21 120:21 add 25:5 69:2 added 71:18 106:23 107:4, 5 118:4 additional 87:7 88:6 90:8 **adjusted** 134:7, 20 135:4, 6 **adjustment** 84:7, 18 95:8 100:12 101:17, 20 adjustments 95:24 admit 102:12 advice 75:25 **affixed** 79:12 agenda 46:6, 12, 14 ago 11:12 12:10 19:10 23:2 **agree** 28:4 106:21 117:23 134:11 137:22 138:5, 16 agreement 58:23 63:21, 23 135:16 ahead 34:23 87:15, *23* 142:*4* aid 11:6 ajj@saxtonstump.com 2:10 akin 20:9 **al** 1:3 alarming 37:13, 14 **Alec** 2:5 American 18:21 **AMEX** 19:1, 4, 5, 7 amount 44:14 82:15

84:8 87:4 96:20

112:14 113:5 119:1 122:15 amounts 44:2 45:2 61:4 analogous 103:24 113:7 and/or 77:4, 5 answer 5:1, 2, 16 28:3 30:11, 21 34:18, 20 35:1 53:15 69:7 78:5 82:4 89:4, 9 97:16 123:11 124:1 142:4 answered 76:10 answers 6:1, 7 23:3 120:12 145:6 anybody 7:25 19:7 23:8 51:12 52:3, 6 113:9, 18 115:24 131:10 anymore 38:2 anyone's 45:25 **apart** 92:16 apologize 46:16 51:6 96:9 117:9, 12 **appeared** 8:1 45:11 appearing 8:12 **appears** 79:10 106:22 **applied** 80:17 109:17 appreciate 74:6 133:19 appropriate 124:22 approximate 29:16 approximately 10:1 111:18 143:2 **April** 9:6, 10, 20 25:25 28:23 29:10, 14 32:17 33:5 35:21 37:19 40:21 44:13 47:*13* 52:*2*, *5* 136:*20* art 81:1 125:16 **aside** 81:8 asked 36:21 50:2 51:9, 22 58:25 97:18, *25* 99:7 123:*21* 135:23 asking 34:9 36:16 49:23 50:19 51:6, 15

103:2 124:17, 18 129:24 134:16 138:9 **Asset** 107:21 assets 122:1 128:22 138:25 139:14, 22 140:23 141:14, 22 142:1, 6 associated 139:3 assume 5:17 57:24 assuming 119:2 **ATM** 20:23 23:5 41:18 42:3 43:15, 16 47:18 57:8 59:19 60:6 71:25 129:6 130:10 134:21 135:20 136:10 137:15, 17, 18 138:14, **ATMs** 44:21 57:7 60:1, 2, 3, 4 63:3 128:22 130:20, 25 131:1, 4, 6 132:4, 5 134:22 135:18 137:5 **ATM's** 134:13 attached 145:9 **attend** 6:20 **attention** 68:14 79:8 87:25 90:19 95:22 96:22 118:18 attorney 8:2, 4 143:12 at-will 12:15 August 9:5, 16 92:10, 20 143:14 **Austin** 15:23 141:18 authority 142:9, 14 **Avail** 21:21 22:2 available 80:19 aware 18:8, 15 19:3 59:13 60:17 62:15, 18 73:20 121:9 122:1 125:11, 23 138:23, 24 139:10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 140:3, 24 141:1, 4, 7, 12, 24 142:5 < B > back 13:25 27:23

70:18, 19 76:16

34:14, 22, 23 35:8, 13,

20 36:19 61:2 83:15 85:7 97:15 98:13 99:13 101:13, 16 105:12 106:24 107:1, 3 109:23 background 8:19 142:20 **bad** 11:21 23:25 **bags** 127:7 Baker 15:24 **Balance** 62:7, 8 64:5 67:22 70:14 71:7 76:5 80:4 88:5, 6, 21 89:8, 20 90:3 92:21 93:6 99:20, 22 100:11 101:11, 14 103:1, 2, 4 104:18 105:8, 9, 11 106:2, 7, 15 108:18, 20 110:1 116:9 118:21, 24 121:7 **balanced** 61:16 balance-Paramount 89:16 **balances** 105:25 112:14 bank 36:15 49:12 50:25 51:2, 4 119:17, 20 122:11, 18 124:7 banks 120:14 **BARRY** 1:11 3:4 4:7 **Based** 45:13 55:5 82:6 92:21 107:7 **basic** 4:18 123:22 **basis** 10:18 17:8 24:13 58:16 80:9 85:8 112:4 123:16 **Bates** 90:19 bathroom 34:25 beach 122:4, 14, 17, *19* 123:*14* 124:*12* **Bear** 97:17 bearings 101:3 **beginning** 1:17 9:14 31:13 48:12 99:20 142:18 behalf 1:17 2:2, 12 70:6, 9

**behaved** 35:24 behaving 35:23 **believe** 4:16 13:9 16:20, 25 22:9 29:4, 16 32:14, 15, 18 38:17 43:1 50:11 61:24 63:10 64:22 65:18 67:12 73:23 77:14, 18 80:22 87:3, 11, 13 90:6 98:10 101:6, 10 103:19 107:9 111:17 112:22 113:5 116:1, 7, 8, 10 122:20, 21, 22, 25 129:8 130:25 136:14 137:4, 6 143:25 **belong** 124:15 belonged 55:14 **benefit** 58:18, 19 107:22 109:3 **benefited** 71:*12* **best** 4:24 5:1, 5, 20 6:1 18:23, 25 19:2 21:25 46:8 112:25 **better** 47:8 76:23 **bevond** 128:11 **big** 69:11, 13 116:24 **bigger** 120:9 biggest 22:17 **billed** 19:5 **billing** 28:16 billion 47:23, 25 48:18 49:7 **Billions** 136:21 **bills** 129:6 bit 8:19 25:13 35:19 137:25 **Bitcoin** 139:11 **Bitstop** 24:18, 19 140:1, 2 biweekly 12:12, 16 **Blackford** 130:6, 10, 21 131:6, 24 **board** 18:5, 8 48:7 49:3 57:13 72:22 **bolded** 83:19 95:1 96:6 book 30:3 61:21

111:10

bookkeeping 67:11

**books** 33:17 49:25 52:11 61:18, 20 62:3, 5 64:3, 4 92:24 112:13 113:12 **bottom** 89:13 94:25 96:6 133:14 136:1 138:3, 4 boutique 20:8 box 83:18 105:14, 16 133:24 boxes 120:19 Boyle 2:13, 14 27:2, 5, 9, 12, 14 28:1, 5, 9 34:16 35:1 36:24 41:10 55:9 72:13 73:14 77:18 78:5 80:24 81:10 94:1 98:19 102:4 115:6 116:*14* 118:*1* 124:*16* 125:20 126:1 129:24 130:2 133:17, 21 142:3 143:18, 22, 25 **brand** 11:2 break 22:11 34:25 38:4, 5 49:11 78:7 102:1, 5 **Brett** 141:17 **bring** 109:20 brokerage 120:16 bucks 84:24 **build** 28:13 **building** 143:10 **built** 28:13 **bullet** 46:7 Burkholder 14:22 34:7 40:18 45:19 Burkholder's 33:24 **burnt** 35:18 business 20:6 43:14, 15 57:6 86:17 134:21 135:1 136:17 137:20, 21 140:15 businesses 21:4 < C > cake 38:22 **calculated** 51:21 85:9

call 6:11 9:24 17:12 24:18 68:5 77:15

78:3 85:22 87:22 115:3 **called** 1:12 4:7 16:15 50:9, 23 87:17 114:14 117:4 130:6 132:9 133:24, 25 134:5 139:5 calls 40:15 116:20 Cannabis 13:4 33:11 Cannibis 7:19 cap 14:2 75:2, 4, 10, 11 capacity 49:1 **Capital** 9:1, 13 10:10, 15, 19 11:18 12:12, 19, 22 14:9, 14 15:8 17:6 18:6, 13, 14, 16, 19 19:5, 6, 8, 9, 16 20:5 22:19, 24 24:4, 11, 15 25:19, 24 26:1, 2, 4, 10 27:16 28:11, 14 29:6, 17, 21, *25* 30:6, *14*, *23* 31:*15* 32:3, 9, 12, 13 33:1, 21 40:2, 5 43:3 48:5, 21 49:16 52:10, 21 53:21 54:14, 22, 23 55:1, 6, 14, 17 56:5, 8 58:12, 22 59:11 60:18, 19 62:2, 18, 20 65:10, 22 66:21, 22 72:8 75:11 78:18 80:1, 20 81:20 82:20, 22, 24 84:8 86:7 87:9 88:12 90:4, 15 91:22 92:2 94:11 96:20 99:13 101:18, 23 103:5 107:8, 10 108:23 109:1, 14 110:13 121:6 122:23 125:7, 8 128:9 130:18, 19 139:13, 21 140:4, 13, 17, 21 141:10 142:13 Capital's 89:23 107:8 caps 118:12 card 18:22, 23 19:1, 4, 5, 8, 9

career 35:16 carried 97:7 118:25 carry 4:18 86:23 117:14 118:14 **carrying** 103:10 cars 120:23 case 21:11 47:21 68:*10* 100:*2* 132:*17* cash 20:24 21:7, 9, 14, 24 22:13 23:11, 13, 16 24:22, 24 25:6, 15, 16, 21, 22 26:8 28:15 39:9, 20 41:14 43:9, 12 46:11 47:7 60:1, 2 72:5 86:17 88:19 95:24 109:18, 19 110:3, 6, 22 115:10 122:16 125:12 127:1, 7 131:13, 19, 20, 21, 22 136:16 138:14 **CEO** 14:18 certainty 113:10 certification 4:4 **certify** 145:4 146:1 **CFO** 9:17, 21 10:15 16:7, 10 22:24 54:14 113:17 142:22 **Chairman** 57:13, 15 change 66:2 88:14, 21, 23 97:8, 11, 22 100:13, 17, 22 103:17 144:5 **changed** 88:25 89:1 114:16, 18 changes 145:8 character 36:9 **chart** 19:17 Check 12:13, 15 checks 12:16 Chestnut 2:15 Chief 14:22 15:6 Choice 13:15 74:12 75:19 CI-24-06012 1:5 circling 136:4 **Civil** 1:2 **Claim** 3:10 68:10 clarification 5:18

12:11 28:8 49:13 clarify 45:23 52:15 clarity 115:7 class 52:13 clean 56:11, 18 clear 25:1 48:16 **CLO** 15:*1* close 84:16 115:16 closest 104:8 **closing** 92:23 collected 134:22 collectively 4:13 68:19 71:17 **Column** 103:14 104:6 105:17 106:1, 5, 6, 7, 20 109:6 110:4 111:22 112:20 113:3 **columns** 109:23 come 13:11 21:1 25:2 29:5 35:9, 13, 23 45:9 58:13 59:9 74:13 115:11 126:11 132:2 comes 133:15 138:1 comfort 59:8 **comfortable** 54:17, 24 **coming** 7:4 27:15 28:21 37:23 39:1 65:5 93:22 106:5 109:1 124:11 **Common** 1:1 4:14 81:3, 8 Commonwealth 1:16 communicate 48:4 85:14, 21 127:25 communicated 48:1 85:17, 19 communicates 36:20 communicating 35:25 36:6, 11 communications 46:23 companies 10:20 11:19 17:12, 13 20:17 26:5, 19 27:6, 15, 17 28:14, 17, 19, *25* 29:20, 24 31:22 33:11 61:16 82:21

89:14 92:2 96:7

109:5 114:12 126:18 127:1, 4 143:10, 11 companies/personal 97:3 **Company** 7:19 11:24 12:25 13:1, 4 18:*23* 19:*11* 20:*23* 21:20 23:5 24:20 27:16 57:3, 10, 19 58:7 69:9, 11, 13, 25 70:1 71:25 91:23 94:12 95:9, 18 101:8 114:18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25 115:5 Company/Personal 88:1 comparative 88:5 complete 5:2, 7 completely 35:10 36:9 56:11 **comply** 116:*15* component 138:22 comprises 7:20 computer 55:18 56:11, 20 102:2 **Computers** 120:*25* 121:*1* concern 35:22 37:10, *13* 140:7, *10*, *15* concerned 38:10 47:4 concerns 34:12, 18, *19* 36:4 83:*18* 140:*5* concluded 143:19 conclusion 124:17 **confused** 90:13 confusing 77:2 consolidated 13:19 30:4 42:14 67:24 70:15 132:17 consolidating 70:20 71:4 77:23 constellation 114:6 **consult** 84:*13* **consultant** 9:14, 15 127:21 143:14 **consulting** 48:*6* 49:*1* 70:5 135:16 contained 146:2

contentious 127:22 continue 72:7 134:6 continued 66:23 contract 58:22 61:23 contribute 22:14 control 17:14, 15 57:20 controlled 17:22 controller 15:23 36:15 113:20 conversation 5:4 127:20 128:4, 12 conversations 44:1 49:4 COO 14:19 **copy** 39:25 40:3 143:24 corner 78:22 82:8 93:17 corporate 17:25 19:17 31:7 32:25 33:3 52:16 57:25 **Correct** 12:9 13:9 23:1 32:18 42:23 43:4 45:15 47:6 48:20, 22 49:17 50:3 60:14, 16 62:4 63:18 64:7 65:21, 23 66:14 67:1 71:1, 9, 23 80:18 81:21 86:2 87:10 96:21 100:20 103:6 105:3 110:12, *15*, *17* 126:7 135:*11*, 13 136:13 140:18 145:5 146:4 corrections 145:7 **correctly** 24:5 97:7 111:20 133:7 cost 134:5 counsel 1:12 4:3 76:1 77:16 78:4 94:2 115:3 125:24 **country** 20:23 **County** 1:1 4:14 couple 22:11 35:21 123:22 139:23 course 79:25 103:9 141:20 Court 1:1, 15 4:21 68:11

cover 29:3 60:7 92:6 93:15 133:5 covered 29:15 42:8 create 79:25 80:2 85:4 92:25 93:3, 12 created 11:3 40:25 42:24 43:5 46:20 67:15, 17 79:6, 15, 22 80:6 91:5 119:7 132:22 creates 43:17 credentials 41:4 credit 19:8 50:10 63:21 65:2 110:6 crediting 109:19 **Crypt** 139:22 Crypto 138:25 139:2, *14*, *18* 141:*17* **C-Suite** 14:17 20:25 54:2 115:9 **Cultural** 14:23 **cumulative** 106:2, 7 **currency** 125:14 139:18 current 8:20, 21 custodian 119:8 **CV** 142:*21* 

< D > **Dan** 14:22 33:23 34:6 40:18 45:19 Dana 15:22 16:14, *21* 36:20 51:14 102:15 107:19 **Danny** 113:19 114:3 115:*21* **Dan's** 34:4 **Daryl** 8:9 9:19 12:22 14:9, 18 16:22 17:5, 9 18:17 19:10 20:8, 21 23:7 31:12, *14* 32:*14* 33:*3* 34:*7*, 9 35:23 36:10, 17, 25 37:17 38:24 40:17 42:7 43:5 44:20 45:5 47:18, 22 48:6, *17*, *24* 49:*4* 50:*2*, *19* 51:18 52:23 53:4, 23 57:9 58:3, 14, 20, 24 59:15 60:25 65:15,

17, 19 71:22 72:5, 11, 18, 21 73:1 74:8 75:8 76:8 77:5 84:2, 3 85:10 122:24 123:2, 13, 17, 24 124:7 125:9 126:4, 22, 23 127:9, 23, 25 128:1 130:11, 14 131:25 132:21 134:24 136:8, 19 138:19 139:17 141:1, 20 143:10, 13, 14 **Darvl's** 10:20 18:19 20:12 45:13 116:4, 6 122:21 123:13 124:6, 25 data 41:7 date 9:7, 8, 9 92:20 104:18 106:6 145:15 dated 92:20 104:2 dates 14:20, 24 106:4 **David** 113:17 114:3 115:*21* **Davis** 141:17 day 4:19 46:24, 25 days 48:25 49:1 day-to-day 10:18 dboyle@boylejasari.co m 2:18 **De** 83:11 87:22 deal 126:5, 8, 11, 14 **debit** 109:18 debited 110:6 **debt** 41:21, 25 78:1 87:8 88:11 89:6 90:8 122:8, 10 135:24 136:7 137:23 138:7 debts 121:15 **December** 9:17, 20 47:16 90:15 99:21 104:17 130:13 **decide** 119:*12* decision 72:9, 16, 19 decisions 17:16, 18, 20 decrease 89:7 100:2 **deed** 70:3

deeded 70:4

**Deerfield** 50:15, 21 **Defendant** 1:7 **Definitely** 17:17 22:16 25:8 30:9 31:11 34:2 131:16 141:21 **degree** 23:25 **degrees** 142:24 **Dennis** 2:14 57:3, 24 60:12, 13 122:13 141:16 department 15:7 **DEPONENT** 145:*1* deposed 4:15 **deposit** 110:3 120:19 deposited 36:14 **DEPOSITION** 1:11 3:3 5:10 8:7, 10, 16 103:13 132:7, 10 143:19 deposits 28:25 29:3 49:12, 18 110:4 **Depot** 110:22 **deps** 5:5 **describe** 20:6, 8 98:19 133:6 **described** 12:8 59:4 61:22 62:1 67:11 90:8 137:8, 14 describing 105:17 description 103:19 105:17 106:14 118:6, *11* 119:23 descriptor 105:14 designation 132:9 **Detail** 102:18 104:1 105:7, 10 108:4, 19 determining 85:11 **difference** 122:14, 18 133:11 different 28:24 35:10, 14 55:10 69:12 76:18 123:22 136:15 139:24 differently 47:11 digital 78:24 79:9 80:16 90:20 direct 68:13 79:8 82:2 87:25 90:18

93:24 96:22 115:19 118:18 140:9, 11 directed 112:5 116:14 118:4 direction 57:16 directly 49:2 73:6, 24 74:24 **disburse** 58:14 discovery 111:4 117:3 132:16 discuss 45:25 128:5, 8, 11 discussed 52:25 121:13, 16 140:24 discussion 38:20 48:23 126:20, 21 127:16 131:10 discussions 48:13 49:8, 9 52:1, 3, 6 **displayed** 102:13, 17 107:23, 25 distinction 27:5 distribute 65:8 distribution 18:18 24:14 58:12, 13 87:4 89:22 90:9 123:18, *19* 124:24 125:3, 4, 6, **Distributions** 12:13 21:2 24:7, 8, 10 25:23 28:16 55:7 58:17 59:4 60:25 96:15 109:12 110:11 124:21 127:10 dividend 39:21 43:10 dividends 21:1 24:4 36:5 41:15 55:7 60:23 division 139:2 document 39:22 46:17, 19 61:23 64:9, 11 67:19 68:4, 14 70:16 77:24 78:20 79:3, 12, 15, 22 80:22 81:7 82:3, 9 83:13 85:4 86:4 87:8 89:11 90:18 91:2, 4, 9, 21 100:6 103:7, 15 107:23 109:7 111:3, *5* 112:*10* 117:*2*, *21* 

118:20, 24 119:7 120:9 132:18 136:19 documentation 36:16, 18 49:24 51:8 55:4 documented 61:13 62:3 63:19 67:4 **documents** 6:24 7:3 8:15 39:16 52:25 55:13, 15, 16 67:19 76:25 79:23, 24 106:18 115:4 119:10 142:10, 15 doing 11:16, 17 21:4 49:1, 2 79:14 80:12 116:5 117:6 126:23 143:8 dollars 44:15, 22 47:23, 25 48:18 49:7 59:11 66:11 67:20 69:3, 6, 8 71:18, 25 83:21 84:11, 19 87:14 88:14 95:18 97:8 101:1 103:17 111:23 124:4 129:13 137:3, 24 **drill** 68:1 **Drive** 1:14 2:6 56:1 drugs 6:5 due 37:19 44:2, 18, *25* 45:*1* 62:*11* 83:*5* 87:18 **duly** 4:8 duration 14:16 **duties** 80:1

<E>
earlier 19:12 111:17
126:4 132:20
early 52:2
earn 59:22
earned 26:4
earning 26:1, 2, 6
28:11, 20 29:11
earnings 22:19 54:24
easier 106:19
Eby 36:6 37:4, 6, 25
38:7
educated 86:15
efforts 7:9
eight 143:3

either 29:20 30:7 45:2 51:16 93:13 95:25 **elected** 127:24 e-mail 40:5 43:4 e-mailed 43:2 **employee** 10:8 12:15 19:7 31:17, 24 114:15 employees 11:10 26:17 82:22 115:9 employer 8:20, 21 ended 35:21 78:18 90:15 116:7 130:14 **engage** 17:*12* enterprise 72:1 entire 14:18 19:13 32:19 34:1 35:7, 9 94:17 **entities** 7:20 9:24 10:1, 3, 5, 21, 22, 25 11:16 12:2, 23 13:16, 17, 18 14:1, 8 16:22 18:14 19:12, 13, 15 23:10 29:17 30:5, 8, 18, 19, 20, 24 31:12, 20 32:1, 4, 8 50:18 54:1, 2, 4, 18, 21, 25 65:8 67:25 68:16, 19, 23 69:5, 12 70:8, 10, *17*, *25* 71:*15* 74:*11*, 13 75:22 76:19 85:23 87:18 92:3 100:8 113:24 114:8 116:6 130:6, 9 139:24 140:3 143:4 **entitled** 123:19 entity 7:13, 18 10:12 11:1, 2 12:8, 21, 24 13:23 14:4 16:15, 18, 20 17:3 19:22, 24, 25 20:3 24:18, 23 25:13 26:11, 14, 23 29:23 31:17 33:18 50:9, 12, 23 58:9 64:18, 21 65:5, 24 67:7, 8 69:21 70:2, 4, 23, 24 72:23 73:5 75:9 76:16, 20 85:25

104:25 114:2, 10, 11,

13, 14 115:8, 11, 12 116:*1* 117:*15* 139:*21* entity's 75:10 entrepreneur 143:6 entry 89:12 104:9 119:17 environment 38:1 equally 22:14 equipment 25:19 125:18 equity 12:25 20:9 **Erin** 15:1 **Errata** 145:9 error 85:5 **Esquire** 2:4, 5, 14 essentially 21:4 92:15 established 16:21 33:10 65:14 estate 11:19 70:2 141:7, 11 **estimate** 5:25 22:20 61:8 112:11 estimates 5:24 estimation 141:13 et 1:3 **Ethereum** 139:*11* evaluations 96:1 evasive 124:1 Everest 1:15, 23 everybody 47:23 114:11 evidence 81:9 146:2 exact 9:8, 9 44:9 70:21 102:22 112:14 143:8 exactly 5:7 44:2 84:16 104:24 137:13 examination 1:12 3:2 4:9 45:16 **example** 11:5, 18, 21 20:19, 20 47:14, 15 106:9 137:17 examples 12:1 exceeded 44:25 Excel 86:3 107:20 excess 44:21 excluded 52:20 Excuse 66:7 96:18 executed 64:24

executive 18:2 141:18 **EXHIBIT** 3:8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 6:10, 17 68:6 78:13 90:14, 22 93:13 94:1 95:12 98:6 102:6, 8 103:3, 16, 25 104:10, 12, 16 105:5, 9 107:15 108:6 111:2, 14 116:25 117:1, 17, 23 118:24 119:4 132:10, 19 **EXHIBITS** 3:7 exist 13:3 141:23 existed 40:5, 24 55:6 97:25 116:9 **exists** 7:18 exit 126:18, 24 127:2 expansion 25:18 **expected** 84:21 125:2 expenditures 65:10 **Expense** 87:18 expenses 27:22 29:3 133:16 134:6 135:25 experience 107:7 **explain** 43:7 51:23 142:21 explanation 51:18 72:3 **Express** 18:22 **extent** 15:20 External 55:25

< F > face 92:10, 11 fact 37:22 47:21 85:4 94:21 140:6 **factory** 56:19 **failed** 63:8 **failing** 15:17 Fair 17:22 30:21 58:25 77:4 84:3, 6, 18 85:8, 12, 24 94:20 95:7, 23, 25 100:18, 24 117:22 123:7 **falling** 27:10 familiar 16:15 32:22 56:25 57:2 122:4 126:2 127:6 128:*15* 

130:5 131:13, 20
134:15
family 20:10, 13
29:23 143:5
<b>famous</b> 78:10
fancy 103:12
far 10:14 22:16
83:14
Farabaugh 15:1
fast 78:9
February 33:22, 25
34:6 112:17
February/March 93:2
fee 28:19 59:20
82:12 94:6, 14
<b>feel</b> 15:15 47:9, 11
<b>fees</b> 59:18, 23 60:7
82: <i>20</i> 134: <i>21</i>
<b>felt</b> 47:8 54:13, 17,
24
<b>fend</b> 36:8
<b>fifth</b> 24:23
<b>Fifty</b> 76:18
<b>figure</b> 108:21 115:19
file 19:22
<b>filed</b> 19:21 26:21
57:25
files 7:11, 12, 14
56:1, 8, 10
<b>filing</b> 4:4
Finance 8:24 83:1
141:16 143:4, 7
<b>finances</b> 17:14, 23
57:21
<b>Financial</b> 3:16 9:15
11:9 16:16 17:16, 18,
20 52:19 54:17, 20
81:15, 25 117:4
Financially 11:17
financially-troubled
10:21
Financials 52:16
53:2, 3 66:10 70:15,
20 71:6 77:23, 25
78:1, 4 93:4
find 7:6 94:24
104: <i>6</i> , <i>18</i> 116: <i>21</i>
142:1
fine 15:16 81:10
83:16 120:9 125:16

**finish** 4:25 41:10 72:13 73:15 **finished** 143:18 firm 20:9 first 35:2 37:5, 12 47:16 54:7 65:13 74:10, 17 77:6 109:6 110:22 129:4 **five** 15:11 61:10 five-million-dollar 37:16, 18 51:19, 21 **flags** 37:2 **Flash** 56:1 flights 127:6, 7 flip 83:15 Florida 34:1 35:7 flow 20:3, 24 21:7, 9, 24 23:13, 16 24:10, 12, 24 25:7, 15, 16, 21, 22 28:15 39:9, 20 43:10, 12 47:8 86:17 95:24 105:25 106:1 115:10 136:16 138:14 flowed 21:14, 25 23:11 41:15 46:11 62:25 flowing 24:22 72:5 108:22 127:2 flows 88:20 **FMB** 100:10, 12 focus 46:9 54:3 94:5 **focused** 10:20 **focusing** 137:23 Fogleman 14:19 16:14 **folks** 14:17 15:10 115:20 **follow** 17:25 23:2 38:19 46:22 116:17 follows 4:8 **followups** 38:4 142:8 **font** 117:8 foregoing 145:4 forget 131:17 forgetting 15:15 form 4:5 74:13 80:25 142:4 145:8

**formal** 61:22, 23 63:22 64:9, 10, 23 67:10 formalities 17:25 58:1 format 41:3 102:16 107:20 111:4 117:3 142:*21* formed 17:3 26:23 33:14 65:15, 24 **forth** 134:22 **forward** 118:25 four 15:19 22:14 74:25 84:24 135:25 **fourth** 20:23 22:6 23:5 94:5 Frank 13:2 113:19 114:3 115:21 frankly 138:13 fraud 81:3 128:13 fraudulent 80:23 81:12 friend 143:12 friends 20:13 **front** 25:2 36:7, 12 132:21, 24 **full** 20:25 50:13 52:18 54:2 64:18 **fully** 146:2 **Fund** 1:3 **funded** 122:7, 16, 22 124:8, 23 **funding** 72:23 Fundonatic 50:23 Funds 4:13 18:12, 13, 16 25:17 36:14 109:14 110:7 123:5, 12, 25 124:3, 6, 24 129:1, 10 130:6, 10, 11, 15, 17, 21 131:6, *25* 133:5 **furnish** 116:9 furnishing 36:17 **further** 143:17 < G > **game** 127:3 **GCC** 13:11, 20, 25 14:2, 3, 5 70:21 71:6

75:13, 15, 16, 21 76:3, 6, 7, 13, 14 77:7, 8, 10 **gears** 104:17 general 59:24 85:18 121:19 142:14, 19 generally 8:22 52:11 56:25 101:22 108:13 128:15 generating 20:24 23:15 43:16 140:16, 20 **getting** 36:5 52:24 97:13 129:22 gifts 141:4 girlfriends 141:1, 5 give 4:17 14:20 20:18 21:11, 19 31:10 36:22 51:18 53:4 69:10 70:21 72:19 73:6 77:1, 19 84:15 142:9, 13, 19 given 39:25 47:17 72:3 75:25 145:6 **glad** 77:17 **glasses** 118:*1* Glorious 3:15 7:12, *16*, *18*, *19* 11:4 12:25 13:4, 14 25:15, 16 30:16, 17 48:6, 7 49:2, 3 50:22 58:8 65:9 66:18 67:2, 4, 7, 8, 20, 24, 25 68:20, 23 70:6, 20 71:11 72:6, 7, 20 73:7, 24 111:6, *18* 113:*13*, *24* 114:*5* 117:13 118:13 127:18, 22 128:3 140:6, 10 **go** 8:18 11:3 27:3, 23 33:23 34:23 61:8 73:2 78:9 82:21 83:3 90:1 94:21, 23 97:15, 24 98:13 99:22, 23, 24 102:3 104:3, 13 105:12, 13 106:13 107:1, 3 109:22 120:11 138:23 139:8 140:2 142:4 **goal** 126:22

74:8, 9, 11, 14, 18, 21

goes 39:3 81:5 106:10, 15 132:12, 13 going 4:17 5:7, 13 13:11 14:19, 24 23:17 25:9, 10 34:3 35:11 38:3 39:20 47:7, 20, 22, 24 48:9, 17 49:6 57:19 66:1 68:1, 25 69:2 77:15 80:24 82:7, 9 83:3 86:21 87:16 90:2 97:15 99:18 100:10, *17* 102:*1* 105:*13* 111:2 124:16 127:22 131:16 140:5, 7, 10, *15* 142:*3* Good 4:11 6:9 20:20 29:16 42:10 78:8 89:2 98:4 117:25 **goods** 134:6 graduated 142:24 **Granite** 1:13 2:6 **Great** 74:3 gross 133:17 **Group** 1:6 4:12 9:1 12:5 16:16 19:13 21:16, 20 22:1, 2 33:1 39:8, 20 52:21 55:14 71:11 78:18 80:1 82:22 83:20 87:9 88:12 89:15 90:15 91:22 92:2 94:11 95:2 108:17 109:15, 16, 24 110:2, 8 128:16, 19 130:5 139:10 **grouping** 94:25 **grow** 72:7 **growth** 72:25 guess 5:23 86:14, 15 109:4 110:9 guesses 5:22 129:21 **guy** 23:24 141:16 <H>

< H > half 15:3 47:22, 25 48:18 49:6 54:7 88:1

**halfway** 82:11 Haller 132:1 141:18 Halo 75:6 76:4 hand 90:12 102:18, *19* 111:2 **handing** 6:12 39:16 78:16 90:13 102:11 107:14 117:1 **happen** 58:15 61:6 73:8 110:21 happened 66:3 happens 82:8 **happy** 116:*15* hard 55:25 **Hazlett** 1:15 146:5 **HC** 102:18 **HCBS** 107:21 **HCG** 119:17, 20, 25 120:3 **head** 5:12 114:9 header 83:14 headers 103:9 hear 37:5 38:22 131:9 heard 50:16 131:21 hearing 37:12, 14 **held** 84:4 Heller 8:9 9:1, 12, 19, 24 10:10, 15, 19 12:12, 18, 22 14:8, 14, *18* 15:8 17:6 18:5, 13, 14, 16, 19 19:5, 6, 8, 9, 16 20:5, 22 21:2, *3* 22:19, 23 24:4, 11, 15 25:24 26:1, 2, 4, 10 27:16 28:11, 14 29:6, 17, 20, 21, 25 30:6, 14, 23 31:15 32:3, 8, 12, 13, 22 33:1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 19, 21 34:7 36:25 40:2, 5, *18* 42:7 43:*3* 45:*5* 48:5, 21 49:16 52:10, 21 53:20 54:14, 22, 23, 25 55:6, 14, 17, 19 56:5, 8 58:3, 10, 11, 14, 20, 22 59:11, 15 60:17, 19 62:2, 18, 20 65:19, 22 66:21, 22

74:20 75:3, 4, 8, 11

76:8 77:5 78:18 80:1, 20 81:20 82:20, 22, 24 85:10 86:6 87:9 88:11 89:23 90:4, 14 91:14, 22 92:1 94:11 95:20 96:20 99:13 101:18, 23 103:4 107:7, 8, 10 108:23 109:1, 14 110:13 121:6 122:23 125:7, 8 126:17 127:10, 13 128:9 130:11, 18, 19 131:25 137:8 138:*19* 139:*13*, *17*, *21* 140:4, *13*, *16*, 20 141:2, 10, 20 142:13 143:13 Heller's 14:9 16:22 17:5 36:15 49:12, 15 **Help** 10:6 15:14 17:*15* 83:*2* 89:*21* 93:24 119:9 **helpful** 108:12 helps 78:21 98:3 **hey** 73:9 high 22:21 **higher** 138:8, 10 highlighted 118:7 HIH 75:14 76:3, 20, 22 77:4, 8 Hillary 1:14 146:5 hired 9:15, 17 **hiring** 11:10 **hold** 25:20 33:10 55:16 75:15 82:9 83:3 86:21 87:16, 24 94:23 96:25 100:7 104:20 106:25 **holding** 27:6, 16 69:25 71:6 75:13, 16, 17, 21 76:7 93:25 102:17 **Holdings** 13:2, 6, 11, *13*, *20* 14:*1*, *2*, *3*, *5* 32:23 33:2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 19 69:18, 24 70:22 74:8, 10, 12, 14, 18, 20, 21 75:3, 5, 8, 19 76:6, 13, 14 77:8, 9, 10

home 45:25 55:17, 20 56:2 **honest** 40:4 honestly 34:18 37:15 47:8 79:4 117:16 **Hopefully** 108:10 **hoping** 78:9 82:2 118:23 hours 35:17 house 33:24 34:4 122:5, 14, 17, 19 123:3, 14, 23, 24 124:12, 15 HR 83:1 human 5:4 **hundred** 22:11 44:8 55:2 110:17

< I >

icing 38:22 idea 44:10 80:6 83:9 86:11 87:20 93:21 94:13 95:17 119:17, 21, 23 120:6 121:18 126:8 129:16, 18 130:23 131:5 132:5 136:5, 18 identification 6:18 68:7 78:*14* 90:*23* 102:9 108:7 111:15 117:18 identify 14:15 69:1 115:4 116:2 impede 6:6 impediments 6:5 **impetus** 128:*3* important 22:15 28:7 included 125:5 including 23:11 income 26:5 27:22 28:21, 22 30:3 43:17 44:21, 24 59:11 133:8, 9, 12, 17 134:8, 19, 20, 24, 25 135:5, 7 136:12, 17 137:2, 25 138:8, 22 **incoming** 108:25 125:8 increase 89:6 100:2,

3
independent 45:16
indicates 93:21
indicating 97:1
Indicators 11:11
indirectly 75:1
individual 15:4 20:4
122:25 124:7 143:7
individually 123:10
industries 20:19
influence 6:4
information 29:12
54:15 81:16 85:15,
17, 18
i-n-g 71:5
in-person 45:24
insanely 107:16
inside 69:13 70:4
76:17, 22 77:5
130:20
instructed 56:14
instructions 4:18
insurance 121:18, 19
intercoming 121:6
interest 13:12 31:16,
18
interested 10:16
94:22 96:5, 8
intermingling 18:12,
13
interpreted 137:14
interrupt 27:2
introduced 143:13
invested 20:13, 14
29:22 70:1 84:8
129:10
investment 11:4
13:25 14:3, 5 17:10
21:16 22:1 32:23
33:2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 19
74:10, 12, 18, 20, 21
75:3, 4, 8, 16 76:3, 6,
7, 13, 14 77:7, 9, 10
83:19 84:1, 3, 7
89:14 90:1, 2 92:4
95:2 107:21 108:16,
<i>17</i> 109: <i>17</i> , <i>20</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>22</i> ,
<i>24</i> 110: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> 128: <i>16</i> ,
19

investments 58:15 84:5 89:14 109:5 129:19 **investors** 36:1, 11 37:7 38:9 39:10 41:16 43:11, 18, 20 44:11 135:3 **involved** 30:10 involvement 139:25 IOU 61:23 64:9 iso 110:22 issue 77:17 91:18 **issues** 116:5 issuing 14:4 its 19:22 20:6 21:3 25:20 27:19 29:3 52:11 59:22 72:7 92:9, 11 102:16 103:19 111:4 112:13 117:3 132:9 < J >

January 80:10 88:16, 21 **Jasari** 2:13 **Jersev** 122:5 **jet** 127:7 **Job** 1:23 10:19 117:6 **Johnson** 2:5 27:21 **Josh** 11:5 14:20 15:*24* 16:*1* 21:*23* 31:9 37:15 44:24 61:3 77:1 79:13 84:16 88:13 100:22 112:7 118:7 119:2 Joshua 2:4 4:12 judgment 47:16 129:22 July 78:19 80:11 84:10 88:16, 22 92:11, 22 97:23 98:13 104:2, 3, 6 118:22, 25 jump 78:23 83:12

87:15, 23 96:3

jvoss@saxtonstump.co

**iumped** 74:5

106:17

m 2:9 < K > **K-1** 12:*13* 13:*10* 14:4 59:9, 11 **K-87** 106:*9* keep 25:9 33:17 52:1 **kept** 113:*13* **Key** 11:*11* kidding 37:8 **kind** 10:17 16:11 32:25 36:7 37:23 61:13 69:10 94:25 112:*1* 125:*16* 138:*25* 139:23 142:21 knew 35:5 37:21 118:3 **know** 4:13 5:6, 11, 24 8:12 16:9 17:2, 3 19:19 23:17 30:18 31:9 32:11 34:18, 20 36:3, 4 40:2, 12, 13 41:1 43:24 44:2 48:3 49:23, 24 50:12, *13* 51:*11*, 20 57:2, 3, 22, 23, 25 58:2, 3, 5, 6 60:9, 10 61:12 63:4, 5, 8, 12 66:9, 17, 19, 20 67:18 68:19 69:1, 7 72:24 73:19, 21, 22 74:22 76:5 77:1 79:18 87:13 88:6 92:19 101:3 105:19, 20 110:21 112:4, 8 113:9, 10, 15, 23, 24 114:2, 6, 23 116:4, 18 119:6, 10, 15 120:12, *14*, *16*, *23* 121:2 122:7 123:20 125:21, *22* 126:*11*, *16* 129:*3*, *9* 130:3, 20 135:15, *17*, *21*, *22* 139:*1* 140:6, 19 141:14, 25 **knowledge** 8:14 16:8 18:24, 25 19:2, 15 24:17 30:6 43:21 44:17 60:6 61:24 63:2 65:25 66:5 112:25 124:10

127:11 130:16 140:9, 11, 13 **KPIs** 11:*11* **KPMG** 143:1 **Kutztown** 142:*23* <L> **Labs** 13:15 **Lancaster** 1:1, 14 2:7 4:14 **laptop** 55:25 56:2, 3, 5, 7 large 13:14 121:8 larger 137:25 largest 20:23 23:5 Law 1:2 23:25 26:24 lawsuit 50:21 **layman's** 109:8 Leaman 60:15 132:10, 18 learn 29:5 leave 45:4 leaving 35:21 36:7 Ledgers 52:17 **left** 25:25 28:12, 23 29:13 32:16 33:5 48:5 51:17 66:22 76:8 87:13 109:23 143:3 legal 7:20 11:9 13:9, *17* 30:5 75:25 81:*1*, *2* 83:*1*, *2* 116:*4* 124:17 legitimate 41:5 legitimately 23:22 Leininger 15:5 LendSpark 105:15, 22 **length** 7:21 lengthy 21:8 **letter** 116:18 level 13:22 16:2, 6 17:23 72:22 **liabilities** 54:25 60:8 liability 37:17, 18 51:19, 21 71:14, 16 121:19 liked 53:14 line 36:12 41:17, 20 63:21 65:2 83:5

87:17 89:17 95:1, 15 96:5, 8 97:2 100:8
96:5, 8 97:2 100:8 101:11 103:8, 14
104:5 105:13 106:22,
23 107:2 111:22 112:16 118:9, 10, 11
133:13, 14, 24 138:3
144:5
lines 36:7 82:2 86:22 87:17, 24
104:9, 24
list 21:8, 12 25:5
71:2 <b>listed</b> 68:16 69:5
92:3
listened 45:8
listening 45:21 little 8:19 25:13
35:18 77:4
<b>LLC</b> 1:3, 6 2:3 9:1
12:5 14:6 20:2 24:9 64:20 65:12 71:6
74:12, 18 78:18
83:20 89:15 90:15
95:3 139:10 loan 52:24 65:5
66:6 72:4, 9, 16 74:1
105:14 122:11, 13, 18
loaned 66:8
loaning 62:18, 20 71:25
loans 49:21 110:19, 20 121:11
long 30:11
longer 13:3
look 7:3, 9, 14 8:15
40:23 41:2 68:3 79:11 81:12 86:20
79: <i>11</i> 81: <i>12</i> 86: <i>20</i> 91: <i>21</i> 92: <i>5</i> 97: <i>24</i>
98: <i>14</i> 99: <i>5</i> 104: <i>12</i> ,
20 105:24 106:19
108: <i>13</i> 109: <i>2</i> 111: <i>8</i> 117: <i>10</i> 132: <i>25</i>
133:25 135:24 138:2,
13
<b>looked</b> 7:11 44:19 86:16 94:15 118:15
looking 5:22, 24 14:16 17:10 27:21
14:16 17:10 27:21
37:2 55:23 83:15

```
84:17 94:17, 18, 24
98:6 104:5, 9, 14
105:8 109:6 111:7
115:14 116:19
looks 81:16 100:12
105:23 108:3, 25
111:9 134:14, 19, 20
135:20
Loss 39:13
losses 128:6, 8
lot 23:17 38:3 47:12
lower 16:6
lowest 16:2
Luma 16:16
< M >
machines 43:15, 16
47:18 59:19 135:21
137:12, 16
maintenance 60:3
major 17:16
majority 30:7, 21
31:4, 5
making 22:24 27:5
77:2
man 116:23
manage 17:11
128:23 131:4
managed 19:10
59:25 131:2 132:13
Management 1:6
3:11, 12 11:22, 23
12:5 28:18, 22 39:8,
20 54:18 55:1 78:17
80:3 81:18 83:20
88:12 89:15 90:14
```

91:18 93:3, 8, 17

94:*14* 95:*2* 105:*1* 

110:2, 8 139:10

managing 11:10 17:13 43:15 132:4

March 33:22, 25

34:1, 2, 6 35:4, 6, 8

Margo 24:16 139:7,

mark 102:6 107:13

**MARKED** 3:8 6:13,

*17* 68:6, *15* 78:*13*, *17* 

141:15

8, 22

108:17 109:15, 16, 24

```
90:14, 22 102:8
108:6 111:14 117:17
132:7, 18
market 84:3, 6, 18
85:8, 12, 25 95:7, 23,
25 100:18, 25
marketing 142:25
marking 68:3
Mary 120:5
matched 61:20
matches 104:24
117:23
matching 61:21
materials 7:6 55:23
56:21
math 23:25 69:4
Matt 36:6 37:4, 6, 24
38:7, 14, 20
matter 47:21 119:16
matters 45:25
mature 54:1
mean 7:17 9:18
11:1, 15 20:11 21:15
23:12, 24 24:1, 12
26:13 35:25 39:16
43:13 44:17 46:16
49:15 55:19 56:18
57:2, 17 59:20 62:6
80:2 81:2 92:1
109:21 121:1 122:2,
10, 12
Meaning 12:12
19:25 23:14 55:17
58:7
means 101:6, 15
medium 17:18
meet 72:7
meeting 18:2 33:23
34:5 35:5 40:7, 16,
25 42:7 45:4, 6, 17,
24 46:13, 20
meetings 18:3, 8
member 12:24 13:5
20:2 30:7 48:7
members 13:18 75:1
113:23
membership 13:19, 20
membership/ownershi
p 74:21
```

memory 15:16 25:1 77:3 112:7 mentioned 12:10 19:12 31:14 47:3 49:5 113:6 114:10 mentions 37:25 mercy 119:8 mere 20:1 merged 13:16 132:17 methodology 86:12 **MGM** 82:12 94:6 Michigan 7:13, 19 54:8 64:21 68:11 72:1 million 44:8, 14, 16, 21 59:10 66:11, 16 67:20 69:2, 3, 6, 8 71:10, 18, 25 74:2, 3, 4 83:21 84:10, 19, 22, 23, 24 87:7, 14 88:9, 14, 24, 25 89:16 95:10, 13, 18 96:11 97:8 98:5, 9, 10, 11 99:17, 19, 24 100:13 101:1, 12 103:17, 25 104:1 106:9, 10, 11, 16, 21 111:18, 23 112:6, 8, 9 113:6, 7 119:5 124:4 129:13, 22, 23 137:24 138:2, Millions 136:20, 22, *23*, *25* 137:*1*, *3* mind 34:25 136:16 mine 82:10 83:4 86:21 87:16, 25 93:25 94:23 97:1 100:7 136:4 143:12 minimus 83:11 87:22 minor 17:20 minus 88:2 100:12, minute 11:12 12:10 23:2 34:23 minutes 18:3, 10 52:16 misheard 48:15 misspeak 29:19 misspoke 131:18 moment 19:10

money 20:12, 13, 15, *16* 21:*3* 22:*23* 24:*15* 26:1, 2, 7 28:11, 20 29:7, 11 32:3 50:9, 16 58:4, 6, 8, 14, 25 59:17, 18 60:3 61:2 62:15, 19, 25 65:5, 7 66:6, 8 67:3 69:15 71:17 72:6, 10, 16, 20, 24, 25 73:3, 4, 6, 9, 24 74:1 90:4 96:17 101:18, 22 109:3 122:21 124:11, 22 127:9 129:3, 4, 9, 17 130:2 140:13, 16, 20 monies 108:22 109:13 month 18:2 34:1 35:4, 6, 7, 9, 14 42:8, 12, 17 43:20 44:3, 11 92:16 134:13 135:8 137:24 monthly 28:18 82:19, 20 months 88:24 94:19 month-to-month 10:18 17:8 **morning** 4:11 **MSO** 13:11, 20 14:2 70:21 71:6 74:8, 14 75:13, 16, 21 multiple 36:22 86:17

<N>
N/P 86:22 88:1
96:7, 10 97:2, 3
103:20
nailed 106:25
name 4:11 15:5
16:2 50:13, 17, 22, 24
51:1 64:18 70:21
78:23, 24 79:9 81:6
85:16 90:20, 25
114:18, 24 115:5
139:9 141:17 142:10, 14
names 15:20 139:24
Nancy 120:4, 5

native 102:16 107:19, 20 111:4 117:3 nature 49:23 **need** 4:25 5:6, 9, 11, 13 53:25 54:3 72:24 73:9, 10 77:16, 20 78:7 83:14 84:14 89:8 102:1 119:12 120:8 143:23 needed 11:8 25:17 65:9 69:15 needs 85:2 negotiating 43:25 Neil 15:5 neither 12:7 net 133:18 134:7, 12, 19, 24, 25 135:5, 7 136:12 137:2, 25 138:8 nets 123:8 **network** 59:25 networks 57:8 never 10:11 47:20 50:7, 8, 16 53:2, 3 119:9 new 11:2 25:19 56:19 114:19, 21 118:*1* 122:*5* Nineteen 44:16 Ninetieth 22:21 nods 5:12 nonoperating 115:12 134:20 Nope 56:15 normal 5:4 **Notary** 1:15 146:9 **note** 61:1, 22 63:24, 25 64:1, 8, 10, 23, 24 66:7, 11 67:5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 17 68:22, 24 77:22 97:12, 24 98:21, 25 99:2, 8, 11, *21* 111:*11*, *12* 112:*13* **noted** 145:8 **notepad** 15:13 notes 61:13 62:1 117:13 118:12 146:3

**Notice** 1:13

**November** 48:12 **NP** 102:18 **number** 6:24 19:18 29:17 63:3 66:15, 17 76:21 86:1 98:14 100:1 132:16 135:7 136:18 137:7, 9, 11 138:*1* numbered 6:23 78:*21* 117:7, *12* **numbers** 44:9 76:11 78:22 82:7 87:7 119:3 131:9 nutshell 142:21 < () > **object** 80:24 124:16 142:3 objections 4:5 objective 11:4 **obvious** 23:18 85:4 obviously 37:13 132:22 occur 85:2 **occurred** 116:*11* **odd** 36:2, 13, 17 37:1 79:13 80:7, 10, 11 81:24 82:1 91:8, 10, 11 105:23, 24 **office** 20:10 143:6 **officer** 9:23 10:2 14:23 15:6 57:10 74:16 officers 14:15 71:19, 20 **Oh** 22:16 69:23 75:18 112:19 130:3 141:*21* Okay 4:17 6:4, 9 7:16, 21, 23 8:15, 25 9:11 10:24 11:12 12:4, 7 19:3 21:17 22:5 23:17 24:2, 15 25:4, 12 26:6, 17 27:1, 4, 7, 13 28:1, 6 29:2, 5, 9, 15 30:22 31:1, 3 32:6, 11, 22, 25 33:22 34:9, 17, 22 35:3 38:19 39:5, 22 41:2, 23 43:1, 7

44:10 45:23 46:12, 19 49:18 53:11 55:11 57:20 58:21 63:8, 13, 19 64:23 66:5 68:12, 22 69:11, 23 71:19, 24 73:1 74:7 76:2, 23 77:22 78:9 82:5 86:6 87:22 90:7, 11 92:8 94:21 96:22, 24 97:15 98:4, 12 99:15 101:11, 25 104:22 105:12, 24 110:19, 25 115:3, 6, 15 116:12 117:25 118:17 119:14 120:8 121:11, *25* 122:*4* 124:*14* 129:3, 9 131:13 133:2 135:8, 14, 23 137:17, 22 138:18 140:3, 15, 23 141:22 142:8, 17 143:16, 18 once 18:2 48:25 100:7 ones 21:6, 7, 8, 24 22:12 118:3 121:13, 15 **online** 63:3, 6 open 63:20 open-ended 65:2 operated 69:9 operating 26:11, 12, *13* 27:6, *15* 43:17 44:4, 20, 24 59:13 69:12, 21 72:23 73:4 86:16 133:4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 24, 25 134:7, 19, 24, 25 135:5, 7 136:12, 17 137:2, 25 138:8, 22 operational 11:9 43:9, 12 115:10 143:8 operationally 10:22 41:14 operations 23:15 65:10 69:19, 20 72:24 137:5, 15 **opinion** 124:19

134:16 opportunity 17:11 oral 1:12 5:9, 14 49:8, 10 order 25:10 96:4 **ordinary** 79:25 **org** 19:17 organizations 11:7, 13.14 organized 11:3 **original** 69:25 originally 130:11 originated 123:5, 12, 25 124:3, 5 **Orrstown** 119:17, 20 **outline** 46:2 104:4 **outside** 127:10 **owe** 61:1 owed 43:20 44:11 62:2 71:8, 17 87:8 88:11 96:18, 20 107:10 111:19 112:11, 12 121:11, 15 owes 103:5 113:3, 10 115:17 owing 62:15 owned 14:10 20:4 30:14 58:24 63:5 65:19 74:25 75:9 76:8 120:23 130:18, *19* 131:*25* 139:*1*, *14*, *18, 22* 141:8 owner 12:18, 21 14:*12* 30:*23* 31:*1* 33:4, 6 65:16 71:22 74:8, 9, 19 75:14 76:15, 17 owners 32:13 65:11 76:5 ownership 12:25 31:12, 15, 18 32:12 66:2 75:20 77:12 89:24 110:8, 16 130:15 owning 138:24 owns 77:9 < P > **P&L** 26:12 28:20,

21 39:7, 12, 18 40:22,

24 41:2, 12 42:1, 4, 6, 9, 14, 24 43:5, 7 44:5, 19 45:14 46:10, 20 80:5 93:6 132:21, 22, *24* 136:7 **P&Ls** 132:16 **p.m** 143:19 **PA** 2:7, 16 **PAGE** 3:3 68:3, 14 80:14 82:8, 11 84:17, *22* 89:*12* 91:*21* 99:*3* 100:18 117:8, 10 118:18 119:4 134:7 144:5 PAGE/LINE 3:19 pages 78:21 117:7 132:12 145:4 paid 12:11, 14 24:3 37:20 87:12 115:10 122:24 123:14 paperwork 77:12 Paragraph 6:23 **Paramount** 1:6 12:4 14:12 20:20, 21 21:1 22:3, 4, 16, 18, 25 23:4, 11 24:3, 11 30:16 34:12 39:8, 19 40:24 41:14 43:8, 14 44:5, 11 45:10 46:14 47:22 48:9, 10, 13, 19 49:6 52:4 53:3 55:6 56:23 57:1, 25 58:4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 22, 24 59:1, 10, 14, 17 60:11, 18, 19 61:2 62:3, 16, 19, 20 63:3, 8, 15, 17 64:15 66:5, 8 72:5, 12, 17 73:2, 3, 6, 24 82:12, 25 83:5, 19 84:1, 10 87:9, 19 88:12 89:15, 23 90:5 94:6 95:2 96:18, 20 99:14 100:25 101:19 103:5 107:11 108:17, 22, 24 109:3, 15, 24 110:2, 8, 14 111:12 112:11, 12, 23 113:4, 11 115:17 118:21 120:14 121:8, 20, 24

122:8, 9, 16, 19, 23

123:4, 6, 8, 12, 17, 23 124:4, 5, 11, 15 125:6, 11 126:6 127:9 128:6, 20, 22 129:10 131:*1*, *3*, *4* 132:*4* 133:4 138:24 139:3, 9, 10 140:23 141:15, *22* 142:*1*, *6* Paramount/pledges 86:23 88:2 96:10 97:4 98:21 99:1, 9 103:20 Paramount-related 100:8 **Paramount's** 57:5, 20 58:17 60:8 61:19 64:4 111:10 paramours 141:5 Pardon 27:9 parentheses 118:13 parlance 81:8 part 14:3 38:2 39:2 42:1 43:25 45:8 74:2, 3, 4 137:19, 20 particular 79:24 particulars 83:22 parties 1:18 4:3 64:14 partner 126:9, 15 Partners 50:10 passed 130:13 passthrough 20:1 65:5 115:8 pay 25:23 28:19 39:21 43:18, 23 95:22 122:19 123:3, 9, 23, 24 127:9 133:16 payable 61:18 98:21, *25* 99:9 105:*14* 112:13 payable/no 62:13 paycheck 10:9 114:12, 13 paying 37:22 123:9 payment 43:22, 23 99:13 123:16 135:2 payments 39:9, 21 41:21, 25 43:10, 25

44:18, 25 45:1 46:15

60:17, 22 109:11 115:12 133:5 135:24 136:7 137:23 138:7 **PE** 41:21, 25 135:24 136:7 137:*23* 138:*6* pen 15:11 97:7 **pending** 4:13 89:11 Pennsylvania 1:1, 14, 16 26:24 **people** 15:15 36:12 60:2, 11 76:18, 24 percent 10:9 22:23 30:13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20 32:15 54:8 55:2, 8 58:24 61:5 65:20 74:22, 24 75:5, 15, 16, 21 76:4, 7, 14, 20 77:7, 9 87:5 89:24 96:16 109:15 110:17 130:18, 19 percentage 22:19, 20 32:11, 13 130:15 percentile 22:22 perception 69:10 **perfect** 11:5 89:4 92:22, 24 100:5 **perform** 54:13 Performance 11:11 134:13 performed 11:23 16:9 28:17 performing 10:23 16:25 31:6 period 9:3 42:15, 16, 17 78:18 88:14, 15 90:15 94:10, 18 97:12 100:24 104:16 105:*1* periods 88:7 **person** 40:8 113:15 personal 18:17, 19, 21 19:4 55:18, 24 58:4 96:7 123:13, 15 124:10 personally 55:16 65:17, 18, 19 77:11 124:25 139:17, 19 persons 113:15 perspective 30:2, 3

35:*10*, *15* 124:*21* perspectives 113:12 **Pete** 16:4 PH 131:9 Philadelphia 2:16 physical 46:16 122:1 125:12 **picked** 60:1 piece 5:9, 19 pin 7:22 89:11 **place** 35:5 placeholder 131:9 **Plaintiffs** 1:4, 13 2:2 4:12 **plan** 126:18 **Pleas** 1:1 4:14 **please** 5:18 **Pledge** 119:17, 20, 25 120:3 **PMG** 3:16 102:18 107:21 117:4 point 8:25 29:6 31:19, 21 34:11 35:16 38:1 47:6, 7 53:13, 18, 19 56:7 65:20 66:12 72:22 73:23 83:13 105:9 123:22 126:5 127:2 128:5 131:8 132:*21* **pointed** 106:13 points 41:7 46:6, 7 74:25 75:7 **Ponzi** 34:12 35:22 37:6, 7, 11, 25 38:11, *15* 39:1, 3, 4 43:8 45:10, 12 46:3 47:5 52:4, 6 63:11 128:13 poor 51:22 portfolio 11:19 17:12, 13 19:11 20:16 26:5, 18 28:13, 17, 19, 25 29:24 31:21 57:3 82:21 84:4, 7 88:1 89:14 91:22 92:2 94:12 96:7 97:3 99:9 109:5 119:25 120:3 **portion** 59:22 portrayed 20:22 23:4, 6

positive 21:9 23:13, 15 99:18 138:1, 3, 15 **possess** 77:11 possible 4:25 41:23 42:3 43:1 79:5, 7, 15, 17 85:6 93:12, 14 possibly 42:4 57:13 **potential** 37:7, 11 PowerCoin 24:16 62:15 139:4, 5, 6, 22 **Powers** 36:6 practice 91:13, 14, 15, 17 93:3, 10 practices 107:9 **Premiere** 21:20 22:1 69:14 preparation 7:24 8:16 preparations 7:25 prepared 38:21 39:6, 14, 18 81:13, 14 91:22 92:9, 10, 15 119:*11* present 1:17 39:19 presentation 39:7, 11, 14, 17 46:5 81:15 93:9 134:16 presented 44:20, 23 81:17 presently 13:8, 24 President 14:23 **Prestige** 1:3 4:13 21:13, 15, 16 22:1 30:13, 14 36:1, 12 43:11 53:2 128:16, 19, 21, 25 135:3 pretty 32:21 67:16 83:14 143:8 **previous** 94:10 95:12, 16 98:24 132:7 previously 14:10 47:2 94:18 97:19 103:2 114:9 price 122:15 124:8 **PricewaterhouseCoope** rs 143:2 **Prill** 113:17 114:3 115:21

**print** 80:4 102:2

**Printed** 56:1, 21 79:9 90:20 91:1 93:5 102:12 103:15 106:18 107:16, 24 printing 143:5 **printout** 102:20 **prior** 132:9 **priority** 66:20 **private** 20:9 127:7 privately 84:4 **probably** 15:2 17:21 19:18 33:15 42:1 48:14, 25 49:5 53:13 77:3 88:16 131:16 **problem** 28:5 50:5 proceeding 68:11 proceedings 146:1, 4 proceeds 124:14 process 48:9 **produce** 26:16 27:18 **produced** 111:*10* producing 4:23 **product** 26:16 27:18 **PRODUCTION** 3:18 77:16 78:3 115:4 116:21 professional 142:20 **Profit** 39:13 profitable 21:6 23:14 24:19, 21, 22 26:9 29:11 54:2 profits 27:15, 17 Project 15:6 **Proof** 3:10 68:10 property 70:3 122:2 propounded 145:7 protocol 40:14 **provide** 82:*24* provided 31:21, 25 82:23 89:22 107:18 **PTG** 21:19 **Public** 1:16 143:3 146:9 publishing 143:5 **pulling** 22:13 112:7 purchase 122:14, 15, 17 123:1 124:8, 11 128:22 purchasing 128:25

130:14 132:3
purports 118:20
purpose 29:23
purposes 18:17 58:4,
7 104:10
pursuant 1:13
put 7:22 56:19 61:1
86:17 91:18 132:21,
24 142:14
putting 81:25

< Q > qualifications 41:4 qualified 142:22 **quarter** 42:17 quarterly 112:4 question 4:6 5:1, 3, 8, 16 26:6 28:3 34:15, 19, 20 35:2 42:10 51:22 53:10 68:*17* 69:*5*, *8* 75:*13* 76:10 80:25 81:5 83:18 88:8 89:2, 4, 9 97:16, 18, 25 99:6 100:6 119:*13* 123:*11* 124:2 131:19 138:6 142:4 questions 5:15 23:21 45:4, 19, 22, 23 121:23 143:17 145:6 quick 120:10 138:23 142:8 QuickBooks 79:20, 21 80:5 93:6, 7, 23 quickly 116:21 **Ouinones** 15:23 quite 107:24 137:24

<R>
raising 36:4

Randall 57:4, 24
60:12, 15 122:13
123:3, 23 141:16

rattle 85:24

Raw 3:15 25:6, 11
31:13, 16, 23 63:14,
16, 18 64:16, 17, 19
65:3, 7, 11, 20 66:6, 8
67:3, 7, 20 68:23
69:16 71:8, 10, 19, 20

72:12, 17, 20 73:3, 4 111:6, 13, 19 112:11, *12, 23* 113:*3, 10* 115:17 117:13 121:5 Raw's 64:3 113:12 reach 127:25 reaches 106:20 read 107:25 118:16 119:16 132:12 143:20, 22 145:4 real 11:19 23:18 70:2 109:8 122:2 141:7, 11 realize 137:19, 20 really 14:16 21:10 26:11 36:1 44:2 55:23 68:1, 13 81:24 82:1 110:10 117:6 120:10 **Ream** 60:13 reason 19:19 35:20 46:25 87:11 111:10 112:22 130:25 reasonable 59:5 81:16 reasons 35:22 38:25 47:2 recall 12:2 15:21 19:6 21:23 22:7 23:9 31:9 34:3, 9 41:12, 13, 17, 19, 20, 22 42:11, 19 44:4, 9 45:1, 20, 22, 24 46:4, 9 49:19 50:14, 20, 24 51:1, 5, 14 67:9, 14 79:13 80:12 81:24 91:3, 8 95:20 101:20, 24 114:16 122:17 123:6 124:13 127:19 133:1, 3 135:6, 23 136:9, 11 137:13, 18 138:21 139:24 recalling 79:4 111:20 receivable 61:19 62:13 63:15, 17 66:6 111:12, 13 117:13 121:6 receivable-Raw 118:12

receivables 121:8

receive 28:15 32:3 51:16 65:7 69:6 received 19:8 27:17 67:20 68:24 90:5 101:19 102:15 109:19 111:3 117:3 132:16 receivership 140:11, 12 receiving 28:24 29:2 recognize 41:4 78:20 79:3 81:7 90:17 91:2 108:1 recollection 6:1 21:25 46:8 reconcile 94:3 112:1, 4, 6 reconciled 112:15 reconciliation 85:1 record 4:23, 25 5:13 27:3, 24 28:3, 4, 7 40:14 49:24 102:3 104:10 107:22 recorded 40:10, 12 125:7 records 7:10 33:17 50:1, 2 52:11, 13 61:16 115:1 red 118:7 reduction 125:4 **refer** 82:7 132:8 referred 24:16 142:10 reflect 71:7 77:12 78:1 108:22 **reflected** 77:23 87:8 96:11 97:6 105:1 110:22 111:5 113:2 138:19 Regarding 14:14 41:17, 21 75:13 regular 24:13 58:16 80:8 **Reimb** 87:18 relate 99:4 135:18 related 7:12 8:24 87:18 88:9 89:23 109:14 110:7 127:17 128:2 135:20 142:6

relates 134:21

relationship 31:7 128:19 Reliance 50:13 religiously 66:19 remember 16:2 31:13 64:10 114:24 remiss 142:17 **removed** 59:14 repairs 60:3 **Report** 3:11, 12 54:20 55:1 78:17 79:19, 20 80:3 81:19 84:13 90:14 91:19 92:20, 25 93:4, 7, 8 94:14 95:9, 10, 12, 16 96:17, 19 98:24 99:2 104:15 105:2 108:5 reported 88:15 reporter 4:21 27:4 28:2 78:12 143:20, *23* 146:5 Reporting 1:15 19:23, 25 20:3 54:17, 24 97:12 100:24 105:*1* reports 54:19 91:18 92:15 93:8 represent 4:12 22:18 68:9 83:25 84:2, 8 87:2 88:13 89:19 95:6 96:14 97:10 99:8, 17, 19 100:16, *17* 101:*12* 102:*14*, *24* 103:23 105:5 107:18 112:10 117:2, 20 132:11, 15 representation 84:9 134:12 representations 45:13 represented 29:9 42:13 47:19 81:14 87:3 97:20 representing 102:23 represents 88:23 96:15 97:11 99:12 100:*1* 101:*21*, *24* 103:1 105:5, 7 134:17 135:22

**REQUEST** 3:18 7:1

72:23 requested 6:25 requesting 76:24 requests 7:7 116:15 required 58:21 requirements 72:8 reserved 4:6 reset 56:19 resides 77:8 resignation 9:7 38:21 resigned 9:6 46:24, *25* 56:*13* resources 55:24 **respective** 1:18 4:3 response 38:12, 16 50:4 54:11 responses 5:10, 14 responsibility 53:6 responsible 85:11 responsive 7:6 restate 5:20 restaurant 58:9 results 54:18, 20 return 19:22 26:21 51:20 returning 105:4 107:2 125:11 returns 53:9 revenue 43:16, 17 44:4 59:14 60:6 133:4, 10, 12, 13, 14, *17*, *24*, *25* 134:*12* 137:11, 15 **Revenues** 133:15 review 79:16 89:11 reviewed 91:7 **Richard** 130:12, 13 **Richard's** 130:15 **Rick** 132:1 **right** 4:20 8:18 12:3 15:19 19:18 26:20 29:18 37:9 49:10 55:21 56:23 63:13 73:9 75:4 76:10 77:11 79:19. 20 93:6 94:17 97:13 99:5 102:1 103:11 104:4 109:23 115:22 116:2 120:10 121:14

36:19

54:11 saved 74:5

**Safe** 120:19

safes 120:21

sale 48:14 129:6

sales 41:18 42:3

satisfied 45:9, 11

saw 42:6 50:16, 22

saying 25:9 37:6, 7

38:10 47:17 64:9

61:23 82:16 83:5

95:1 97:2 98:10

scale 44:7 131:5

scheme 34:13 37:8,

11 43:8 45:10, 12

46:3 47:5 52:4, 6

16:21 51:15 102:16

scratch 129:4 137:9

Schlicker 15:22

**school** 142:23

132:5 136:18

86:22 91:21 93:17

108:16 117:13, 14, 21

says 27:24 37:5

53:2, 3 64:12

Saxton 2:3

125:20

118:12

63:11

107:19

129:7 135:21 136:10

137:17, 18 138:14, 21

125:6 126:6 134:8
138:4 140:21 142:8 <b>RL</b> 78:22
RL 78.22 RL0004 82:8
DI 0007 92.2
RL0007 83.3 RL0011 83:12 95:15
<b>RL0012</b> 84:22 <b>RL0013</b> 84:17 86:20
98:14, 25 103:24
<b>RL0015</b> 87:23 97:19
99:7
RL00172 91:21
RL0020 93:24 94:5
<b>RL0022</b> 90:19 <b>RL0023</b> 94:21
RL0026 94:23
<b>RL0028</b> 96:3 98:1, 7,
21 104:21
<b>RL0031</b> 96:23 99:24 <b>RL0032</b> 90:25
RL16 79:8
<b>RL28</b> 100:3
<b>RL31</b> 99:3, 16
RL32 100:6
<b>RL6</b> 78:23 <b>Rockford</b> 11:18
role 8:22 12:4 16:9
17:5 54:14 57:12
127:21
roles 143:4, 7, 8 roll 14:1 19:14
rolled 13:3, 18 100:3
rolls 29:25
room 4:24 8:3 39:15
<b>Row</b> 106:14, 17, 20
107:2, 9 108:16 112:20 113:3 117:9,
12
<b>Run</b> 1:13 2:6
running 34:12
<b>RYNEARSON</b> 1:11 3:4, 8 4:7, 11 6:11,
13, 14, 17 68:6, 9, 15
78:13, 17 90:17, 22
102:8 108:1, 6
111: <i>14</i> 117: <i>17</i>
<s></s>
sabbatical 35:4 0

screen 39:15 40:3 102:13, 17, 20 103:9, 14 106:19, 24 107:17, *23* 111:*1* 119:*13* scroll 106:24 sealing 4:3 **Search** 77:18 sec 84:15 second 5:9 21:19 27:3 31:10 71:1 73:14 89:13 94:25 104:20 117:11 **section** 101:14 135:19 see 4:22 6:23 7:1 sabbatical 35:4, 9 30:21 33:16 46:10 50:9 68:1, 16 69:23

71:3 75:18 78:23 79:1 82:3, 10, 13, 15 83:4, 7, 23 84:20 85:13 86:19, 21, 25 87:16 88:4, 18 89:8, *17, 25* 90:2, 20 91:24 92:9, 12 93:11, 19 94:7 95:4 96:12 97:1, 9, 18 99:21 100:7, 14 101:2 103:8, 16, 21 104:3, 6, *23* 106:*1*, *4* 108:*10* 109:23 110:3, 4, 18 111:22, 24 112:18 116:12 117:15, 16 118:2, 24 119:3, 16 120:12 125:10 129:6 131:8 132:13 133:23 134:2, 9, 14 136:2, 12 seeing 49:12 55:3 59:9 88:6 109:8 seen 6:14 50:20 53:14 81:18 102:21 119:9 segment 109:7 134:5 139:2 sell 47:22, 24 48:9, 10, 13 49:6 126:5 selling 48:2 send 72:6 73:3, 4 sending 11:10 140:13 senior 15:24 sense 36:10 37:3, 14, 21 44:7 57:2 74:14 89:4 92:21, 22 106:12 sent 116:23 125:9 separate 30:5 131:1 September 134:1 135:9 138:7 **serial** 131:9 service 27:18 31:20 57:8 59:18 60:7 114:10, 11, 13 serviced 60:4 services 17:1 26:18 28:14, 17 31:6, 18, 21, 23, 25 82:22, 24

set 29:23 57:16 65:4 81:8 82:6 sets 115:9 setup 11:9 132:3 seven 88:24 94:19 143:2 seventh 117:8, 10 **Seventy** 75:15 **shakes** 5:12 **shared** 16:11 40:3 136:8, 19 138:20 shareholders 70:1 **sheet** 62:7, 8 67:22 70:14 80:4 88:5, 7, *21* 89:9, *21* 90:3 92:21 93:6 99:20 101:14 104:18 110:1 118:21 119:2 136:10 145:9 sheets 64:6 103:2 **shifted** 104:17 Shock 38:13 129:12, 14 **shocking** 129:*15* **show** 5:13 39:3, 5, 19 44:20 46:14 118:23 **showed** 39:8 41:14 43:8 44:5, 24 47:7 67:*19* 134:*25* 135:*1* 137:2 **showing** 43:9 137:3 **shown** 137:7 side 141:17 sides 28:4 sign 142:9 143:21, 22 signatories 67:13 signature 78:24 79:9 80:11, 14, 19 81:23, 25 90:20 91:1, 18 145:*15* **Signed** 64:24 significant 17:23 20:24 22:10 significantly 24:21 129:14 138:7 signing 91:9 Silverview 50:10 similar 131:24

94:11, 14 114:15

132:25
simply 69:24 118:23
: 1 20 2 42 7
single 20:2 42:7
67:8
sir 6:21 45:7 54:22
78:20 97:21 99:10
18.20 91.21 99.10
102:19 104:14, 19
106:12 108:21 111:7
132:15 134:18 138:6,
<i>11</i> 143: <i>16</i>
sit 63:10 116:2
sitting 113:7
six 87:17
skip 62:14 94:22
100:10
slow 35:18
small 96:25 102:3,
<i>12</i> 103:7 107: <i>16</i> , <i>24</i>
117:8, <i>12</i> 118: <i>4</i>
smaller 108:9
smarter 116:23
software 56:20 93:23
<b>sold</b> 48:18 134:6
135: <i>21</i>
<b>sole</b> 30:7 33:4, 6
65: <i>16</i>
solely 45:13 85:11
Solutions 21:21
<b>somebody</b> 41: <i>3</i> 86: <i>6</i> ,
9 113:9
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 <b>sort</b> 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20
9 113:9 <b>Sorry</b> 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 <b>sort</b> 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9 specific 11:21 45:22
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9 specific 11:21 45:22 71:16
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9 specific 11:21 45:22 71:16
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9 specific 11:21 45:22 71:16
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9 specific 11:21 45:22 71:16 specifically 5:23 7:17 11:6 38:8, 9
9 113:9 Sorry 9:19 36:25 54:6 55:11 70:11 72:14 76:9 77:2 98:17 101:5 104:16 133:21 sort 9:24 19:16 41:3 46:2, 6 58:21 110:9 sounds 53:15 115:20 speak 4:22 96:2 102:22 117:21 speaking 27:14 special 29:22 93:9 specific 11:21 45:22 71:16

95:1 113:25 114:2, 6 116:18 121:2 spent 76:24 143:6 spoke 8:6 11:12 sportsmansdeals.com Spreadsheet 3:13, 14 102:12, 16, 19, 21, 22, 24 104:2, 11 105:4 107:18 108:2 111:7 118:15 138:19 spreadsheets 102:2 staff 16:5 33:21 113:23 116:24 118:5 stake 30:23 32:12 110:16 stamp 93:22 standalone 30:5 standard 91:13, 14, 15, 17 93:3, 5, 10, 22 **staple** 96:*4* start 4:20 6:10 9:4 10:24 74:16 100:12 127:*1* started 9:5 20:21 25:22 49:12 53:20 143:13 **starting** 143:10 startup 10:20, 24 11:1, 7 12:3, 7 startups 54:3 **State** 68:11 **Statement** 39:*13* 88:19 Statements 3:16 52:19 81:25 117:4 states 25:19 stay 28:4 **stayed** 28:2 stavs 28:6 steps 74:6 stick 89:10 132:14 stipulated 4:2 STIPULATION 4:1 **Stoltzfus** 11:25 65:14 **stop** 9:4 76:2 **stopped** 52:24 116:5 **straight** 80:5 86:1 strained 77:4

**strategic** 17:9 57:16 72:25 126:22 **Street** 2:15 **Strictly** 60:23 strike 71:24 92:14 structure 13:10 75:20 structures 77:13 struggle 14:24 struggling 110:10 **studying** 46:*10* **Stump** 2:*3* **Subpoena** 3:9 6:20 77:17, 20 102:15 107:19 115:20 substance 93:16 127:19 145:8 subtotal 103:8 succeeded 16:7 sufficient 29:2 43:10, 18, 22 60:7 115:4 133:4 135:2 suggests 85:3 Suite 2:6, 15 **sum** 83:20, 25 87:6 95:3 96:12, 19 99:3 101:9 103:16, 23 106:23 107:10 111:18, 22 117:24 118:14 119:6 summary 96:10 97:6 113:2 sums 112:1, 10, 12 **super** 80:11 **supplied** 50:7, 8 51:11 **supply** 51:8 **support** 36:16, 18 46:15 105:10 108:15, 16, 18, 19 supports 108:5 sure 5:14 10:19 13:21 14:21 19:20 21:13 23:20 32:21 40:12 48:15 60:1 61:15, 19 79:19 81:22 84:15 97:13, 14 102:4 104:4 115:2, 16 142:23

**surprise** 44:*13* surprising 44:16 suspected 47:20 **sworn** 4:8 synonymous 125:23 < T > table 14:2 75:2, 4, 10, 11 take 34:25 35:5, 12 38:4 73:14 86:14, 15 102:*1* taken 146:3 talk 7:21, 24 8:9 17:5 25:11 39:22 40:22 43:12 48:24 56:23 63:13 73:2, 15 85:10 126:17 141:14 talked 37:24 111:17 126:4 127:12 132:20 133:2, 3 talking 37:4 48:19 55:9 64:5 95:15, 20 125:14 135:4, 9, 10 139:9 **tallied** 114:8 tax 19:22 26:21 30:2 37:16, 18 51:19, 20, 21 53:9 89:15 100:11 101:11, 16, 20 taxes 19:20 37:20, 22 team 18:2 113:14, 16 **Teams** 40:7, 9, 14, 16 85:22 112:3 Technology 21:20 22:1 tell 30:12, 13, 15 34:23 42:24 43:5 64:17 84:25 137:11 telling 37:11 48:8 **Ten** 44:8 61:9 ten-point 46:2, 4 Tens 136:25 137:1, 3 **tenure** 29:8 term 28:24 80:25 81:*1* terminology 62:11 terms 23:18 109:9

**surmise** 86:*13* 

test 15:16 25:1 77:3 testified 4:8 testify 6:21 testimony 29:10 47:17 133:6 Text 49:8 96:25 **Thank** 15:14 24:25 83:17 94:2 98:2, 11 125:24, 25 133:20 139:8 143:16 Thanksgiving 47:15 127:14 thing 5:23 37:15 38:24 41:13 45:20 79:18 90:25 93:15 118:7 139:13 143:9 things 54:19 55:8, 10 105:16 138:13 think 12:10 15:19 16:11 23:25 24:4, 20 27:12, 14 28:7 29:15 31:10 34:3 38:15 45:8 47:24 51:14 55:9 57:12, 14 59:3 66:2 76:10, 11, 23 81:5, 7 91:5, 7 92:20 95:25 98:1 104:8 106:18, 21 110:25 113:2 120:10 121:5 126:14 131:18 132:8 139:23 141:23 thinker 17:10 **thinking** 21:*19* 23:23 38:1 **third** 9:10, 11 40:20 83:4 96:6 97:2 **Thirty** 75:21 thought 47:21 85:24 **thousand** 22:*12* **Thousands** 136:20 three 14:21, 25 22:2 74:17, 25 75:7 77:6 78:*12* 86:*22* 92:*23* 135:25 tie 33:1, 3 84:22 tied 99:15 101:13, 16 135:19 tiers 75:22 time 4:6 8:25 9:3 14:18 29:6 31:16, 19

32:16, 19 34:11 37:5, 24 48:7 52:8 56:7 65:13, 20 66:12 67:16 72:22 73:8 76:23 92:24 105:9 106:5 112:*24* 113:*1* 120:4, 5 127:12 130:19 131:8 132:21 timeframe 34:6 42:8 44:14 52:2 93:2 times 36:22 61:9, 11 73:17 81:6 tiny 117:15 title 9:21 15:5 79:9 90:14 107:20 111:5 titled 78:17 102:18 titles 9:12 15:21 to/due 62:11 today 5:22 6:7, 21 7:4, 24 8:1, 13 63:10 73:10 111:17 113:3 129:19 132:20 140:5 141:23 today's 8:16 **toggle** 85:7 **told** 48:12, 17 61:3 97:22 126:4 **tomorrow** 57:19 top 13:22 32:8 70:23, 24 83:4, 19 84:23 133:13, 15 tort 81:9 total 83:19 84:1 95:2 96:6 103:19 106:20, 23 107:9 119:6 133:24 totals 119:3 TR-31 126:2 tracking 104:4 transaction 59:20 110:22 112:16 122:9, 10 124:19 transactional 106:4, 6, 14 108:4, 19 **Transactions** 3:15 55:5 111:6 112:23 113:1 116:10 **transcript** 5:6 48:15 116:22 143:24 146:5

transcription 145:5 transpired 47:12 trap 27:11 **trial** 4:6 **troubled** 10:21 11:13, 14, 20 12:1, 8 true 32:16, 19 truly 41:13 truthful 6:6 try 11:3 28:24 34:10 67:2 133:23 trying 23:22 31:8, 9 48:10 92:19 108:21 109:4, 7 115:19 123:11, 21 124:1 turned 25:22 76:11 **TVT** 105:14, 20 **Twenty-one** 66:16 twice 49:5 51:7 **two** 13:16 14:1, 21, *25* 15:*2* 28:*15* 37:*1* 54:1 55:9, 10 61:16 74:11, 13 87:24 100:8 112:1 124:4 135:25 142:8 **Tycoon** 13:2, 5, 12 69:15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24 70:2 tying 84:16 type 14:17 55:7 types 4:22 60:22 typewritten 78:24 typically 35:24 40:14 62:25 80:4 < U > **U.S** 125:14 ultimately 123:3 **Um-hum** 13:7 106:3, underlying 55:3 underneath 19:16 **understand** 5:18, 19 6:2 9:25 10:6 16:18 17:15 23:22 31:7 49:20, 22 57:5, 9

83:15 102:23 109:4,

8 118:21 137:9

understanding 43:19 51:16 57:15 59:24 60:5 87:6 128:18, 21, 24 130:8 131:3, 22 understood 5:17 27:20 109:10 undertake 7:9 unfortunately 14:20 69:1 unit 42:21 universe 9:25 12:23 14:9 18:14 58:10 140:4 University 142:24 unpaid 51:23, 24 129:18 unusual 62:22 71:24 92:14 **upset** 36:3 **upside** 132:14 use 18:17 56:4, 20 93:18 < V > **vacation** 35:13 vague 59:24

vacation 35:13 vague 59:24 value 84:3, 6 85:9, 12, 25 95:7, 17 100:18, 25 101:8 125:18 values 95:23 various 65:8 128:25 143:4, 7 vendor 31:6 Ventures 25:6 31:14 64:19, 20 65:3, 11, 20 111:13 131:14, 20, 21, 23 vernacular 23:23 81:4 version 80:16 versus 133:10

version 80:16 versus 133:10 videotape 5:10 view 115:22 124:14 visionary 17:9 57:18 Voss 2:4 3:5 4:10, 12 6:19 27:7, 10, 13, 20, 23 28:6, 10 34:21 38:3, 6 41:11 55:12 68:5, 8 72:15 73:16 77:15, 21 78:3, 6, 11, 15 81:5, 11 90:24 94:2, 4 98:22 101:25 102:6, 10 108:8 111:16 115:3, 13 116:20 117:5, 19 118:3, 8 124:18 125:1, 24 130:4 133:19, 22 142:7 143:16 vs 1:5

< W > **W-2** 10:7 wait 5:2, 6 41:10 waived 4:4 walk 97:16 Walsh 1:15 146:5 want 5:5 23:2 27:23 29:19, 21 35:17 38:2 39:2 49:13 53:8, 12 63:13 68:3, 13 85:15 97:14, 24 105:12 115:16 116:12 141:25 143:20 wanted 34:22, 24 35:*18* 53:*17* 142:*1* Warehouse 63:6 wav 26:4 35:24 40:6 44:23 47:9, 18 61:14 63:1 94:6 96:6 97:2 112:2 122:*22* 131:*1* 133:*23* 138:3, 4 ways 28:15 123:22 **W-e** 51:4 **Web** 50:25 week 9:10, 11 24:14 35:12 40:20 47:16 Weekly 24:13 weeks 92:23 Welkowitz 130:12 Well 10:7, 14, 20, 23 11:16, 17 16:5 18:21 20:18 21:11 22:14 24:20 34:10 36:20 45:*3* 51:*15*, *20* 52:*23* 53:10 54:22 64:3 67:2 69:17 78:4

79:10 85:15, 18 94:16 104:24 129:4 133:2 137:22 140:6 went 85:23 95:18 97:12 98:15 99:3, 6, 11 100:25 101:3, 6, 7, 8, 10 121:5 124:6 128:12 129:3 142:23 143:5, 9 wiped 56:11, 18 wires 109:1 Wisniewski 16:4 withdrawals 59:3 witness 2:12 4:7 6:12 34:17 35:3 36:25 55:11 72:14 78:*16* 81:*6* 90:*13* 98:20 102:11, 14 107:14, 25 115:7 117:1 118:6 124:20 125:*22*, *25* 130:*1*, *3* 133:20 142:5 witnesses 9:25 word 37:6, 25 39:1 words 78:10 work 9:1, 3 10:5, 6, 15 16:22 35:17 48:6 49:1, 2 66:18, 23 70:5, 12 114:3 116:1, 5 143:5, 9 worked 15:10 16:23 40:1 55:19, 20 56:2 60:11 66:20 70:5 113:25 114:7 143:*1* working 35:17 53:20 143:14 works 122:22 worksheet 86:3 worry 36:21 53:25 worse 108:11 worth 84:10 95:10, 13 write 15:12 written 4:23 39:11 46:17, 22 67:12 104:10 wrote 24:4

< Y >

**Yeah** 13:22 17:19 21:6 27:23, 25 32:14, 21 37:10 39:12 50:11 52:18 55:22 57:13 63:24 64:19 66:10 67:6 70:15 71:5 74:23 76:11 84:12, 15 107:1, 3 110:24 112:19 113:17 114:22 118:19 125:25 136:24 139:5 140:6 vear 14:15 15:2 32:17 42:8, 18 54:7 59:10 66:24, 25 70:13 94:16, 17 116:8 125:4 vears 14:21, 25 15:2 61:10 143:3, 6 year-to-year 10:18 17:8 **Yep** 50:5 96:13, 21 98:23 133:20 134:9 138:5 **yes-or-no** 34:15  $\langle Z \rangle$ 

**Zoom** 40:8

	1	1	1
WORD LIST	1st (2)	<b>35.445</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>90th</b> (1)
		<b>37</b> (5)	<b>94</b> (1)
< \$ >	< 2 >	39 (3)	94,000 (2)
<b>\$242,310</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>2</b> (13)		<b>99</b> (1)
<b>\$34,945,085.48</b> (1)	2.7 (3)	< 4 >	99,987 (2)
<b>\$35,201,490</b> (1)	<b>2.9</b> (1)	4 (21)	
<b>\$375.86</b> (1)	20 (6)	4.2 (2)	< A >
<b>\$4,145.03</b> (1)	2000466867 (1)	40 (3)	<b>a.m</b> (1)
\$500,000 ( <i>I</i> )	2018 (8)	40,000 (2)	Aaron (2)
\$550,000 (1)	2019 (6)	<b>40686</b> ( <i>I</i> )	ability (1)
\$94,050 (1)	2020 (4)	<b>45</b> (1)	able (11)
\$ 1,000 (1)	2021 (3)		abroad (3)
<1>	2021 (3)	<5>	academic (1)
1 (18)	<b>2022</b> (13) <b>2024</b> (23)	5 (5)	access (4)
	2024 (23) 2025 (1)		` ′
1.2 (3)		50 (3)	Accordo (1)
10,000 ( <i>l</i> )	203 (2)	500 (1)	account (18)
100 (9)	207 (1)	500,000 (12)	accountant (5)
101 (4)	21 (16)	<b>556-1072</b> (1)	accountants (1)
102 (4)	217-2400 (1)	<b>56</b> (2)	accounting (15)
<b>108</b> ( <i>l</i> )	21-million-dollar (3)		accounts (1)
<b>1099</b> ( <i>l</i> )	<b>22</b> (2)	<6>	accurate (1)
11 (2)	<b>22ish</b> (1)	6 (7)	accurately (1)
<b>111</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>22nd</b> (1)	<b>60</b> (2)	achieve (1)
<b>114,000</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>23</b> (1)	<b>68</b> (1)	ACKNOWLEDGMEN
<b>114/23</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>24</b> (16)		<b>T</b> (1)
<b>117</b> ( <i>l</i> )	<b>242,000</b> ( <i>I</i> )	< 7 >	acquisition (1)
<b>12</b> ( <i>I</i> )	<b>242,310</b> ( <i>I</i> )	7 (9)	Action (1)
12/3/24 (1)	<b>25</b> (7)	<b>7,027,000</b> ( <i>I</i> )	active $(2)$
<b>12/31</b> ( <i>I</i> )	<b>26</b> ( <i>I</i> )	7.4 (2)	actual (2)
<b>12/31/2020</b> ( <i>I</i> )	<b>260</b> (1)	7/31/2022 (1)	add (2)
<b>12/31/2021</b> ( <i>I</i> )	280 (2)	<b>70</b> (2)	added (5)
<b>12/31/2024</b> ( <i>I</i> )		700 (1)	additional (3)
12/31/21 (5)	<3>	717 (1)	adjusted (4)
12/31/24 ( <i>I</i> )	3 (21)	73 (1)	adjustment (6)
12:45 ( <i>l</i> )	<b>3.3</b> (1)	<b>73.3</b> (2)	adjustments (2)
123 (2)	<b>3.85</b> (1)	77/12 (1)	admit (1)
<b>123</b> (2) <b>127</b> (2)	30 (3)	77/25 (1)	advice (1)
	<b>300</b> ( <i>I</i> )		` /
129 ( <i>l</i> )	1 ' '	771 (1)	affixed (1)
12th (1)	31 (6)	<b>78</b> (1)	agenda (3)
13 ( <i>l</i> )	31st (9)	105	ago (4)
1339 ( <i>l</i> )	<b>32</b> (2)	<8>	agree (7)
<b>138</b> (2)	32.3 (1)	8 (4)	agreement (4)
<b>14</b> ( <i>l</i> )	34 (6)	80 (1)	ahead (4)
<b>140</b> (7)	<b>34.4</b> ( <i>l</i> )	83 (7)	aid (1)
<b>16-page</b> (1)	<b>34.5</b> (1)		ajj@saxtonstump.com
<b>17601</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>34.9</b> (5)	< 9 >	(1)
<b>18</b> ( <i>I</i> )	<b>35</b> ( <i>3</i> )	9 (4)	akin (1)
<b>19</b> (2)	<b>35,445,085</b> ( <i>1</i> )	<b>9:53</b> ( <i>I</i> )	al(I)
<b>19107</b> ( <i>l</i> )	<b>35.4</b> (2)	90 (2)	alarming (2)

	1	1	
Alec $(1)$	back (22)	bookkeeping (1)	Chairman (2)
American (1)	background (2)	<b>books</b> (12)	change (12)
$\mathbf{AMEX}  (4)$	<b>bad</b> (2)	<b>bottom</b> (8)	changed (7)
amount (9)	bags $(I)$	boutique (1)	changes (1)
amounts (3)	Baker (1)	<b>box</b> (4)	character (1)
analogous (2)	Balance (38)	boxes (1)	chart (1)
and/or (2)	balanced (1)	<b>Boyle</b> (38)	Check (2)
answer (19)	balance-Paramount	brand (1)	checks (1)
answered (1)	(1)	break (8)	Chestnut (1)
answers (5)	balances (2)	Brett (1)	Chief (2)
anybody (10)	<b>bank</b> (11)	bring (1)	Choice (3)
anymore (1)	banks (1)	brokerage (1)	CI-24-06012 (1)
anyone's (1)	BARRY (3)	bucks (1)	circling (1)
apart (1)	Based (5)	build $(I)$	Civil (1)
apologize (5)	basic (2)	building (1)	Claim (2)
appeared (2)	basis (8)	<b>built</b> $(I)$	clarification (4)
appearing $(I)$	Bates (1)	bullet (1)	clarify (2)
appears (2)	bathroom (1)	Burkholder (4)	clarity $(I)$
applied (2)	beach (6)	Burkholder's (1)	class (1)
appreciate (2)	Bear $(1)$	burnt (1)	clean (2)
appropriate (1)	bearings (1)	business (11)	clear (2)
approximate $(I)$	beginning (6)	businesses (1)	CLO(1)
approximately (3)	behalf (5)		close (2)
<b>April</b> (17)	behaved (1)	< C >	closest (1)
art (2)	behaving (1)	cake (1)	closing (1)
aside (1)	believe (50)	calculated (2)	collected (1)
asked (10)	belong (1)	call (10)	collectively (3)
asking (16)	belonged (1)	called (14)	<b>Column</b> (13)
Asset (1)	benefit (4)	calls (2)	columns (1)
assets (10)	benefited (1)	Cannabis (3)	come (15)
associated (1)	best (11)	Cannibis (1)	comes (2)
assume (2)	better (2)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{cap} & (5) \end{array}$	comfort (1)
assuming (1)	beyond (1)	capacity (1)	comfortable (2)
<b>ATM</b> (21)	big (3)	Capital (130)	coming (10)
ATMs (18)	bigger (1)	Capital's (2)	Common (4)
ATM's (1)	biggest (1)	caps (1)	Commonwealth (1)
attached (1)	billed (1)	card (7)	communicate (4)
attend (1)	billing (1)	career (1)	communicated (3)
attention (7)	billion (4)	carried (2)	communicates (1)
attorney (3)	Billions (1)	carry (4)	communicating (3)
at-will (1)	bills (1)	carrying (1)	communications (1)
August $(5)$	bit (4)	cars(l)	companies (30)
Austin (2)	Bitcoin (1)	$\begin{array}{ccc} case & (5) \\ case & (5) \end{array}$	companies/personal
authority (2)	Bitstop (4)	cash (49)	(1)
Avail (2)	biweekly (2)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Company (34)
available (1)	Blackford (6)	certainty (1)	Company/Personal
aware (29)	board (6)	certification (1)	
within (2)	bolded (3)	certify (2)	comparative (1)
< B >	book (3)	CFO (9)	comparative (1)
· D *	NOOK (3)		complete (2)

completely (3)
completely (1)
component (1)
comprises (1)
computer (4)
Computers (2)
concern (6)
concerned (2)
concerns (6)
concluded (1)
conclusion (1)
confused (1)
confusing (1)
consolidated (6)
consolidated(6)consolidating(3)constellation(1)
constellation (1)
consult (1)
consultant (4)
consulting (4)
contained (1)
contentious (1)
continue (2)
continued (1)
contract (2)
contribute (1)
control (3)
controlled (1)
controller (3)
conversation (4)
conversation (4)
COO (1)
<b>copy</b> (3) <b>corner</b> (3)
corporate (7)
Correct (42)
corrections (1)
correctly (4)
cost $(l)$
counsel (8)
country (1)
country (1) County (2)
county (2)
couple (4) course (3)
Court (4)
cover (6)
covered (2)
create (6)
created (14)
creates (1)

Exhibit B to C
credentials (1)
credit (5)
crediting (1)
Crypt (1)
Crypto (6)
C-Suite (4)
Cultural (1)
cumulative (2)
currency (2)
current (2)
custodian (1)
CV (1)
(-)
< D >
<b>Dan</b> (5)
<b>Dana</b> (7)
Danny (3)
Dan's $(I)$
~ (-)

**Daryl** (94) **Daryl's** (10) data (1)date (7)dated (2) dates (3)David (3)Davis (1)day (3) days (2)day-to-day (2) dboyle@boylejasari.co  $\mathbf{m}$  (1) **De** (2) deal (4)debit (1)debited (1)**debt** (14) debts (1)December (7) decide (1)decision (3) decisions (3) decrease (2) deed (1)

deeded (1)

Deerfield (2)

**Defendant** (1)

**Definitely** (8)

degree (1)

degrees (1)

Dennis (8)department (1) **DEPONENT** (1) deposed (1) deposit (2) deposited (1) **DEPOSITION** (10) deposits (5) **Depot** (1)deps (1)describe (4) described (8) describing (1) description (6) descriptor (1) designation (1)Detail (6) determining (1) difference (3) different (9) differently (1) digital (4) direct (11)directed (3) direction (1) directly (4) disburse (1) discovery (3) discuss (4) discussed (4) discussion (6) discussions (6) displayed (4) distinction (1) distribute (1) distribution (14) **Distributions** (16) dividend (2) dividends (6) division (1)document (47) documentation (5) documented (4) documents (17) **doing** (11) dollars (28)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{due} & (10) \\ \textbf{duly} & (1) \\ \textbf{duration} & (1) \\ \textbf{duties} & (1) \end{array}$ 

< E > earlier (4) early (1) earn (1)earned (1) earning (6) earnings (2) easier (1) Eby (5)educated (1) efforts (1) eight (1)either (6) elected (1) e-mail (2)e-mailed (1) employee (7) employees (5) employer (2) ended (5)engage (1)enterprise (1) entire (7)entities (70) entitled (1) entity (60)entity's (1) entrepreneur (1) entry (3)environment (1) equally (1)equipment (2) equity (2) Erin (1)Errata (1) error (1)Esquire (3)essentially (2) established (3) estate (4) estimate (4) estimates (1)estimation (1)

**et** (1)

drill (1)

Drive (4)

drugs (1)

Ethereum (1)
evaluations (1)
evasive (1)
Everest (2)
everybody (2)
evidence (2)
exact (7)
exactly (5)
examination (4)
example (9)
examples (1)
exceeded $(1)$
Excel (2)
excess $(1)$
excluded (1)
Excuse (2)
executed (1)
executive (2)
EXHIBIT (42) EXHIBITS (1)
EXHIBITS (1)
exist (2)
existed (5)
exists (1)
<b>exit</b> (3)
expansion (2)
expected (2)
expenditures (1)
Expense (1)
expenses (5)
experience (1)
explain (3)
explanation (2)
Express (1)
extent (1)
External (1)

< F >
face (2)
fact (5)
factory (1)
failed (1)
failing (1)
Fair (18)
falling (1)
familiar (12)
family (4)
famous (1)
fancy (1)
far (3)

Exhibit B to Certific
Farabaugh (1)
fast $(1)$
February (4)
February/March (1)
fee (5)
feel $(3)$
feel (3) fees (6)
<b>felt</b> (4)
$\begin{array}{c} \text{fend}  (I) \\ \text{fifth}  (I) \end{array}$
<b>fifth</b> $(1)$
Fifty $(1)$
figure (2)
<b>file</b> (1)
filed $(3)$
files $(6)$
filing $(1)$
Finance (5)
finances (3)
Financial (13)
Financially (1)
financially-troubled
(1)
Financials (12)
find (6)
fine $(5)$
finish (4)
finished (1)
firm (1)
first $(12)$
five (2) five-million-dollar (4)
flags $(I)$
Flash $(I)$
flights (2)
flip $(I)$
Florida (2)
flow (28)
flowed $(6)$
flowing $(4)$
flows $(1)$
FMB (2)
focus (3)
focused (1)
focusing $(1)$
Fogleman (2)
folks (3)
C-11 (5)

follow (5) follows (1)

followups (2)

<b>font</b> (1)
foregoing (1)
Commod (1)
forgetting (1)
form (5)
formal (7)
formalities (2)
format (7) formed (5)
forth (1)
forward (1)
four (5)
fourth (4)
Frank (4)
frankly (1)
fraud (2)
fraudulent (2)
friend (1)
friends $(I)$
front (5) full (5)
fully (1)
fully $(I)$ Fund $(I)$
funded (5)
funded (3) funding (1)
funded (5) funding (1) Fundonatic (1)
Funds (25)
furnish $(I)$
furnishing (1)
further $(I)$
. ,
<g></g>
game (1)
GCC (26)
gears (1)
general (5)
generally (6)
generating (5)
getting (4)
gifts (1)
girlfriends (2)
give $(20)$
given $(5)$
glad (1)
glasses (1) Glorious (51)
go (34)

**Good** (9) goods (1) graduated (1) Granite (2) Great (1) gross (1) **Group** (39) grouping (1)grow (1) growth (1)guess (5)guesses (2) guy(2)<H> half (7)halfway (1) Haller (2) **Halo** (3) hand (4)handing (7)happen (4) happened (1)happens (1) happy (1)hard (1)Hazlett (2) **HC** (1) HCBS (1)HCG (4) head (2)header (1) headers (1)hear (3)heard (2)hearing (2) held (1)Heller (174) Heller's (6) **Help** (7) helpful (1) helps (2)**hey** (1) high (1)higher (2) highlighted (1) HIH (6) Hillary (2)

**going** (48)

goal (1)

goes (8)

eposition of Burly 10
hired (2)
hiring (1)
hold (14)
holding $(11)$
Holdings (37)
home (4)
honest (1)
honestly (5)
Hopefully (1)
hoping (3) hours (1)
hours $(I)$
house $(12)$
HR (1)
human (1)
hundred (4)
nunureu (4)
<i></i>
icing (1)
idea (21)
identification (
identify (4)

8) impede (1) impediments (1) impetus (1)important (2) included (1) including (1)income (26) incoming (2) increase (3) independent (1) indicates (1) indicating (1)**Indicators** (1) indirectly (1) individual (5) individually (1) industries (1) influence (1) information (6) i-n-g (1) in-person (1) insanely (1)inside (6)

instructed (1)

insurance (2)

interest (3)

instructions (1)

intercoming (1)

interested (4) intermingling (2) interpreted (1) interrupt (1) introduced (1) invested (6) investment (55) investments (5) investors (11) involved (1) involvement (1) **IOU** (2) iso (1)issue (2) issues (1)

issuing (1)

its (17)

<.J> January (3) Jasari (1) Jersey (1) **jet** (1) **Job** (3) Johnson (2) **Josh** (17) Joshua (2) judgment (2) **July** (14) iump (6) jumped (1)jvoss@saxtonstump.co  $\mathbf{m}$  (1)

< K > K-1 (6) **K-87** (1) keep (3)kept (1)**Key** (1) kidding (1)kind (13)knew (3)know (109) knowledge (22) KPIs (1)KPMG (1)

Kutztown (1)

<L> Labs (1)Lancaster (4) laptop (5)large (2) larger (1) largest (2) Law (3)lawsuit (1) layman's (1)Leaman (3)learn (1) leave (1)leaving (2) Ledgers (1)

**left** (14) legal (12)legitimate (1) legitimately (1)Leininger (1) LendSpark (2) length (1)lengthy (1)letter (1) level (6) liabilities (2) liability (7) liked (1)line (32) lines (7)

listed (3) listened (1) listening (1)little (4) LLC (19) loan (11)loaned (1)loaning (3)loans (4)long (1)longer (1)look (28) looked (6) looking (21)

**looks** (10)

Loss (1)

**lot** (3)

losses (2)

**list** (4)

lower (1)lowest (1)Luma (1)

< M >machines (8)maintenance (1)major (1)majority (4) making (3)man(1)manage (3)managed (4) managing (4)March (8)

Management (34)Margo (4) mark (2) MARKED (15) market (11) marketing (1)marking (1) Mary (1) $\mathbf{matched}$  (1) matches (2) matching (1)materials (3)  $\mathbf{math}$  (2) **Matt** (7) matter (2)matters (1) mature (1)mean (31)Meaning (5) means (2)medium (1) meet (1)meeting (14)meetings (2)member (5)members (3)membership (2) membership/ownershi **p** (1) memory (4)

mentioned (7)mentions (1)mercy (1) mere (1)

merged (2)
methodology (1)
MGM (2)
Michigan (6)
million $(73)$
Millions (6)
<b>mind</b> (2)
mine $(11)$
minimus (2)
minor (1)
minus $(3)$
minute $(5)$
minutes (3)
misheard (1)
misspeak (1)
misspoke (1)
moment (1)
money (66)
monies (2)
month (17)
monthly (3)
months (2)
month-to-month (2)
morning $(1)$
<b>MSO</b> (10)
multiple (2)
< N >
N/P (8)

N/P (8) nailed (1)name (24)names (2)Nancy (2)native (5)nature (1) **need** (21) needed (4) needs (1)negotiating (1)Neil (1)neither (1)**net** (12) nets (1)network (1) networks (1) never (8)**new** (7) Nineteen (1) Ninetieth (1)

```
nods (1)
nonoperating (2)
Nope (1)
normal (1)
Notary (2)
note (31)
noted (1)
notepad (1)
notes (5)
Notice (1)
November (2)
NP (1)
number (18)
numbered (4)
numbers (7)
```

nutshell (1) < () > object (3) objections (1) objective (1) obvious (2) obviously (2) occur (1)occurred (1) **odd** (15) office (2)officer (6) officers (3) **Oh** (6) **Okay** (128) once (3)ones (8)online (2) open (1)open-ended (1)operated (1)operating (36) operational (5) operationally (2) operations (7)opinion (2) opportunity (1)oral (5)order (2) ordinary (1) org (1)organizations (3)

organized (1)

original (1) originally (1) originated (5) Orrstown (2) outline (2) outside (1) owe (1)**owed** (15) owes (4)owing (1)**owned** (19) owner (15) owners (3)ownership (12) owning (1)owns (1)

< P > **P&L** (32) **P&Ls** (1) **p.m** (1) **PA** (2) **PAGE** (18) PAGE/LINE (1) pages (4)**paid** (8) paperwork (1) Paragraph (1) Paramount (153)Paramount/pledges (8) Paramount-related (1) Paramount's (7) paramours (1) Pardon (1)parentheses (2) parlance (1) **part** (11) particular (1)

particulars (1)

parties (3)

partner (2)

passed (1)

**pay** (13)

payable (6)

Partners (1)

passthrough (3)

payable/no (1)

paycheck (3) paying (2)payment (5)payments (20) **PE** (6) **pen** (2) pending (2) Pennsylvania (4) people (6) percent (35) percentage (5) percentile (1) perception (1) perfect (5) perform (1) Performance (2) performed (3) performing (3) period (14)periods (1) person (2)personal (12) personally (8) persons (1) perspective (5) perspectives (1) **Pete** (1) **PH** (1) Philadelphia (1) physical (3) picked (1)piece (2) **pin** (2) place (1)placeholder (1) Plaintiffs (4) plan (1)Pleas (2)please (1)Pledge (4) **PMG** (4) **point** (25) pointed (1)points (5)**Ponzi** (20) poor (1)portfolio (28) portion (1)portrayed (3)

positive (7)
possess (1)
possible (11)
possibly (2)
potential (2)
PowerCoin (6)
Powers (1)
practice (7)
practices (1)
Premiere (3)
preparation (2)
preparations (1)
prepared (12)
present (2)
presentation (9)
presented (3)
presently (2)
President (1)
Prestige (17)
pretty (4)
previous (5)
previously (6)
price (2)
PricewaterhouseCoope
rs $(l)$
Prill (3)
print $(2)$
Printed (11)
printing (1)
printout (1)
prior (1)
priority (1) private (2)
privately (1)
probably (12)
problem (2)
proceeding (1)
proceedings (2)
proceeds (1)
process (1)
produce (2)
produced (1)
producing (1) product (2)
PRODUCTION (5)
professional (1)
Profit (1)
profitable (8)
profits (2)
promes (2)

Project (1) Proof (2) property (2) propounded (1) protocol (1) provide (1) provided (5) **PTG** (1) Public (3) publishing (1)pulling (2) purchase (7) purchasing (3) purports (1) purpose (1) purposes (4) pursuant (1)**put** (9) putting (1)

< Q > qualifications (1) qualified (1) quarter (1) quarterly (1) question (37) questions (9) quick (3) QuickBooks (6) quickly (1) Quinones (1) quite (2)

< R >raising (1)Randall (8) rattle (1) **Raw** (46) **Raw's** (2) reach (1) reaches (1) read (7)real (7)realize (2) really (13)Ream (1)reason (7)reasonable (2) reasons (4)

recall (57)recalling (2) receivable (9) receivable-Raw (1) receivables (1) receive (5) received (11) receivership (2) receiving (2) recognize (7) recollection (3) reconcile (4) reconciled (1) reconciliation (1) record (13)recorded (3) records (8) red (1)reduction (1) refer (2)referred (2) reflect (4) reflected (9) Regarding (4) regular (3) Reimb (1)relate (2) related (11) relates (1) relationship (2) Reliance (1) religiously (1) remember (4) remiss (1)removed (1) repairs (1) Report (31)reported (2) reporter (7) Reporting (9) reports (4) represent (28) representation (2) representations (1) represented (6) representing (1)represents (12)

requesting (1)requests (2) required (1)requirements (1) reserved (1) reset (1) resides (1) resignation (2) resigned (4) resources (1) respective (2) response (4) responses (2) responsibility (1) responsible (1) responsive (1) restate (1) restaurant (1) results (2) return (3)returning (3) returns (1) revenue (16) Revenues (1)review (2) reviewed (1) Richard (2) Richard's (1) Rick (1)**right** (36) **RL** (1) **RL0004** (1) **RL0007** (1) **RL0011** (3) **RL0012** (1) **RL0013** (5) **RL0015** (3) **RL00172** (1) **RL0020** (2) **RL0022** (1) **RL0023** (1) **RL0026** (1) **RL0028** (5) RL0031 (2) **RL0032** (1) **RL16** (1) **RL28** (1) **RL31** (2)

**RL32** (1)

REQUEST (3)

requested (1)

RL6 (1) Rockford (1) role (7) roles (4) roll (2) rolled (3) rolls (1) room (3) Row (10) Run (2) running (1) RYNEARSON (21)
<s> sabbatical (3) Safe (1) safes (1)</s>
sale (2) sales (9) satisfied (3) saved (1)
saw (6) Saxton (1) saying (7) says (16)
scale (4) scheme (11) Schlicker (5) school (1)
scratch (2) screen (13) scroll (1) sealing (1)
<b>Search</b> (1) <b>sec</b> (1) <b>second</b> (10) <b>section</b> (2)
see (83) seeing (5) seen (6) segment (3)
sell (7) selling (1) send (3) sending (2)
sending (2) senior (2)

**sense** (11)

separate (2)

sent (2)

EXHIBIT D to CC
September (3)
serial (1)
service (8)
serviced (1)
services (14)
set (5)
sets $(1)$
<b>setup</b> (2)
seven $(3)$
seventh (2)
Seventy (1)
shakes (1)
shared (5)
shareholders (1)
sheet (21)
sheets (2)
shifted (1)
Shock (3)
shocking $(1)$
<b>show</b> (7)
showed (10)
showing (2)
shown $(1)$
side $(1)$
sides (1)
sign $(3)$
signatories (1)
signature (11)
Signed (1)
significant (3)
significantly (3)
signing $(1)$
Silverview (1)
similar (2)
simply (2)
single (3)
sir (17)
sit (2)
sit (2) sitting (1)
six (1)
skip (4)
slow $(1)$
small (9)
smaller (1)
smarter (1) software (2)
<b>sold</b> (3)
<b>sole</b> (4)
solely (2)
J (- )

<b>Solutions</b> (1)
somebody (4)
<b>Sorry</b> (13)
<b>sort</b> (7)
sounds (2)
speak (4)
speaking (1)
special (2)
specific (3)
specifically (17)
spent (2)
spoke (2)
sportsmansdeals.com
(l)
Spreadsheet (16)
spreadsheets (1)
staff (5)
stake (3)
stamp (1)
standalone (1)
standard $(8)$
staple (1)
start (7)
started (6)
starting (1)
startup (6)
startups (1)
State (1)
State (1) Statement (2) Statements (4)
Statements (4)
states (1)
stay (1)
stayed (1)
stays (1) steps (1)
stick (2)
` '
stipulated (1) STIPULATION (1)
Stoltzfus (2)
stop (2)
stopped (2)
straight (2)
strained (1)
strategic (4)
Street (1)
Strictly (1)
strike (2)
structure (2)

struggle (1) struggling (1)studying (1)Stump (1)Subpoena (8) substance (3) subtotal (1) succeeded (1) sufficient (8)suggests (1) Suite (2) **sum** (17) summary (3)sums (3)super (1)supplied (3) supply (1)support (8) supports (1) **sure** (23) surmise (1)surprise (1) surprising (1)suspected (1) sworn (1)synonymous (1) <T>

table (5) **take** (8) taken (1)talk (16) talked (7)talking (11)tallied (1)tax (14) taxes (4) team (3)Teams (6) Technology (2) **tell** (9) telling (2) **Ten** (2) ten-point (2) Tens (3)tenure (1)term (3) terminology (1)

terms (2)

structures (1)

<b>test</b> (3)
testified (1)
testify (1) testimony (3)
Text (2)
Thank $(11)$
Thanksgiving (2)
thing $(11)$
things (5)
think (44)
thinker (1)
thinking (3)
<b>third</b> (7)
Thirty $(1)$
thought (2)
thousand (1)
Thousands $(1)$
three (12)
tie (4)
tied (4)
tiers (1)
time $(33)$
times (5)
times (5) tiny (1)
title (6)
titled (2)
titles (2)
to/due (1)
today (15)
today's (1)
toggle $(I)$
<b>told</b> (5)
tomorrow (1)
<b>top</b> (10)
<b>tort</b> (1)
<b>total</b> (10)
totals (1)
TR-31 (1)
tracking (1)
transaction (6)
transactional (5)
Transactions (6)
transcript (5) transcription (1)
transpired (1)
trap (1) trial (1)
troubled (7)
a vunicu (/)

P Doc 297-3 Fi Exhibit B to Ce
true (2)
truly (1) truthful (1)
try (5)
trying (13)
turned (2)
TVT (2)
Twenty-one (1)
twice (2)
two (19)
Tycoon (15)
tying $(1)$
<b>type</b> (2)
<b>types</b> (2)
typewritten (1)
typically (4)
< U >
<b>U.S</b> (1)
ultimately (1)
Um-hum $(3)$
underlying (1)
underneath (1)
1 (10)

<i>y</i> <b>F</b> • • • <i>y</i> (• <i>y</i>
< U >
<b>U.S</b> (1)
ultimately (1)
Um-hum $(3)$
underlying (1)
underneath (1)
understand (19)
understanding (12)
understood (3)
undertake $(I)$
unfortunately (2)
unit $(1)$
universe (7)
University (1)
unpaid (3)
unusual (3)
upset (1)
upside (1)
upsiuc (1)

< V >
vacation (1)
vague (1)
value (11)
values (1)
various (4)
vendor (1)
Ventures (12)
vernacular (2)
version (1)
versus (1)
videotape (1)

**use** (4)

view	(2)
vision	<b>ary</b> (2)
Voss	(53)
<b>vs</b> (1	)

< <b>W</b> >
<b>W-2</b> (1)
<b>wait</b> (3)
waived (1)
walk (1)
Walsh (2)
want (22)
wanted (5)
Warehouse (1)
way (17)
ways (2)
$\mathbf{W}$ -e $(1)$
Web $(1)$
week (7)

weeks (1)
Welkowitz (1)
Well (33)
went (22)
wiped (2)
wires (1)
Wisniewski (1)
withdrawals (1)
withdrawals (26)
witnesses (1)
word (3)
words (1)
work (19)

works (1)
worksheet (1)
worry (2)
worse (1)
worth (3)
write (2)
written (6)
wrote (1)

worked (12) working (3)

< Y > Yeah (35) year (14) years (6) year-to-year (2)

```
Yep (7)
yes-or-no (1)
```

< Z > Zoom (1)